2020

# Knox County Hazard Mitigation Plan

Knox County Emergency Management Agency

# **Table of Contents**

| Introduction  | iii          |
|---|--------------|
| 1.0 The Planning Process  | 1-1          |
| 1.1 Plan Development  | 1-1          |
| 1.2 Stakeholder Involvement                                       | 1-4          |
| 1.3 Research Methodologies  | 1-6          |
| 1.4 Plan Maintenance  | 1-7          |
|   |              |
| 2.0 Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment                     | 2-1          |
| 2.1 County Profile  | 2-1          |
| 2.2 Hazard Identification   | 2-13         |
| Drought/Extreme Heat  | 2-14         |
| Earthquake  | 2-16         |
| Flood   | 2-19         |
| Hazardous Materials Incident                                      | 2-24         |
| Infrastructure Failure (Dams, Roads and Bridges, Utility Systems) | 2-26         |
| Invasive Species  | 2-32         |
| Land Subsidence   | 2-34         |
| Severe Thunderstorm   | 2-35         |
| Tornado/Windstorm   | 2-37         |
| Water Quality Emergency   | 2-41         |
| Winter Storm  | 2-41         |
| 2.3 Vulnerability Assessment                                      | 2-46         |
| Knox County   | 2-46         |
| Centerburg  | 2-48         |
| Danville  | 2-50         |
| Fredericktown   | 2-51         |
| Gambier   | 2-53         |
| Gann/Brinkhaven   | 2-55         |
| Martinsburg   | 2-56         |
| Mount Vernon  | 2-57         |
| 2.4 Risk Analysis   | 2-59         |
|   |              |
| 3.0 Mitigation Strategies   | 3-1          |
| 3.1 Status of Past Mitigation Efforts                             | 3-1          |
| 3.2 Risk Priorities   | 3-5          |
| 3.3 Mitigation Goals and Strategies                               | 3-6          |
| Knox County   | 3-8          |
| Centerburg  | 3-13         |
| Danville  | 3-15         |
| Fredericktown   | 3-18         |
| Gambier   | 3-21         |
| Gann/Brinkhaven   | 3-24         |
| Martinsburg   | 3-26         |
| Mount Vernon  | 3-28         |
| 3.4 Implementation  | 3 <b>-32</b> |

| 4.0 Plan Adoption                                |     |
|--|-----|
| 4.1 State Review and Federal Plan Approval       |     |
| 4.2 Local Adoption                               |     |
| 4.3 Plan Expiration                              | 4-1 |
| 5.0 Appendix A: Mitigation Planning Team         |     |
| 6.0 Appendix B: Hazard Mitigation Annual Reports |     |
| 7.0 Appendix C: Hazard and Vulnerability Data    |     |

# INTRODUCTION

The 2020 Knox County Hazard Mitigation Plan provides a comprehensive review of mitigation needs in communities across Knox County. It is one opportunity for government officials, businesses, and residents to increase their resilience to disasters and catastrophic events. The mitigation strategies are intended to help community leaders implement projects and develop policies that make the county more resistant to damage from disasters and facilitate rapid recovery when disasters do occur. The plan is also a tool for community development partners to ensure that growth across the county can be implemented in ways that does not increase the county's vulnerability to hazards.

The hazard mitigation plan was developed using a whole community approach. The planning team, which included broad representation from jurisdictions and agencies across Knox County, assessed hazards, risks, and vulnerabilities; developed mitigation goals and strategies; and planned for implementation of mitigation efforts. This work was completed through a series of countywide and jurisdiction work sessions. Throughout the process, the planning team reviewed and considered various regional, county, and local plans and documents that guide community and economic development in Knox County.

This process aligns with the mitigation planning guidance established by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in March 2013. Broad community participation was achieved by seeking input and participation from jurisdictions, county officials, community agencies, residents, and other partners and is evidenced by documentation of meetings and work sessions included in the plan.

# **1.0 THE PLANNING PROCESS**

To develop this multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan, Knox County utilized a comprehensive whole community planning process. This included participation from stakeholders representing the county, municipalities, townships, community organizations, and residents. This section describes the process used to develop the hazard mitigation plan and explains how stakeholders and the community were included throughout.

# **1.1 PLAN DEVELOPMENT**

Knox County's mitigation planning process began in July 2019 and concluded with the submission of a revised plan for state review and federal approval on March 30, 2020. The plan development process included five phases: pre-update planning, project kick-off, risk and vulnerability assessment, mitigation strategy development, and final plan review.

#### 1.1.1 Pre-Update Planning

Knox County's existing hazard mitigation plan had an expiration date of May 21, 2020. The EMA Director submitted a grant application through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program on July 24, 2018 seeking funding to update the plan. The grant was awarded in May 2019, enabling Knox County to proceed with the planning process.

Resource Solutions Associates LLC was hired in July 2019 to lead the planning process. The EMA Director and Consultant met to establish a project timeline, review planning requirements, and identify local reference documents to incorporate in the plan. The Consultant collaborated with the EMA Director to develop a contact list for the new mitigation planning team; this list was based on the contact list used in 2014 and updated to include current officials and additional partners and community organizations. EMA Directors from adjacent counties were also included and invited to participate in the planning process.

#### 1.1.2 Plan Maintenance Activities, 2015 – 2019

Following adoption of the 2015 hazard mitigation plan, Knox County conducted annual plan review sessions with stakeholders to assess progress on hazard mitigation efforts. Annual review sessions were conducted in 2016, 2017, and 2018. During these sessions, planning team members reviewed mitigation strategies, documented progress, and discussed hazard events that occurred during the year. This feedback was summarized in annual reports which are included in Appendix B of this plan.

#### 1.1.3 Project Kick Off

The planning team's work began with a countywide planning meeting on September 25, 2019. During this meeting, which was held at the Central Ohio Technical College campus in Mount Vernon, the Consultant and EMA Director presented an overview of the project and discussed requirements and timelines with stakeholders. Attendees included jurisdiction representatives, local government officials, and other community stakeholders. During the meeting, stakeholders also participated in a hazard assessment survey to identify the hazards that affect their jurisdiction and the range of damages they experience.

# 1.1.4 Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

The risk and vulnerability assessment phase focused on research and information gathering. Jurisdiction-specific work sessions were conducted to identify local vulnerability and analyze the impact of incidents on each jurisdiction. In October 2019, the Consultant and EMA Director met with individual jurisdictions to discuss hazard vulnerability and critical infrastructure protection needs. Participants provided input regarding critical assets and infrastructure, areas with specific or heightened risk or vulnerability, and areas where mitigation actions would have a positive effect on future disaster loss. These discussions included vulnerabilities within the specific jurisdiction and Knox County as a whole. Participants included mayors, administrators, city/village council members, trustees, fiscal officers, road/street department employees, law enforcement officials, fire service personnel, public works and utility employees, and other key employees. Representatives from regional planning, natural resources, public health, education, and healthcare also participated.

# 1.1.5 Mitigation Strategy Development

Mitigation strategy sessions focused on developing mitigation goals and strategies for each jurisdiction. Throughout November and December 2019 and January 2020, the Consultant and EMA Director held a second work session with jurisdictions to update the mitigation strategies from the 2015 plan and develop new strategies that reflected current risks and vulnerabilities. The strategy development discussion also addressed priority, timeline, and lead agency for the identified strategies.

# 1.1.6 Final Plan Review

After completing the hazard identification and risk assessment and developing mitigation strategies, the complete draft plan was shared with the planning team and the community for review. A final countywide plan review meeting was held on February 25, 2020. This meeting was attended by planning team members representing jurisdictions, stakeholders, and partners across Knox County and was open to the public. During the meeting, the Consultant explained the plan's structure and organization and discussed formal plan adoption and ongoing plan maintenance.

Table 1-1 includes a complete list of planning team meetings and work sessions conducted throughout the planning process.

| Date     | Location                                     | Purnose  | Particinating Jurisdictions            |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 09/25/19 | Central Ohio Technical<br>College, Mt Vernon | Project Kick Off and Hazard<br>Identification                    | Countywide Meeting<br>Centerburg       |
|          | -  |  | Fredericktown<br>Gambier               |
|          |  |  | Knox County<br>Mount Vernon            |
| 10/22/19 | Mount Vernon Fire<br>Department              | Hazard Identification and Risk<br>Assessment                     | Mount Vernon<br>Knox County            |
| 10/23/19 | Centerburg Village Hall                      | Hazard Identification and Risk<br>Assessment                     | Centerburg                             |
| 10/24/19 | Kokosing Training Center,<br>Fredericktown   | Hazard Identification and Risk<br>Assessment                     | Fredericktown                          |
| 10/24/19 | Memorial Building, Mount<br>Vernon           | Hazard Identification and Risk<br>Assessment                     | Countywide Meeting<br>Knox County      |
| 10/30/19 | Gambier Community Center                     | Hazard Identification and Risk<br>Assessment                     | Gambier                                |
| 10/30/19 | Gann Village Hall                            | Hazard Identification and Risk<br>Assessment                     | Gann/Brinkhaven                        |
| 11/26/19 | Kokosing Training Center,<br>Fredericktown   | Mitigation Strategy<br>Development                               | Fredericktown<br>Kokosing Construction |
| 11/26/19 | Gambier Community Center                     | Mitigation Strategy<br>Development                               | Gambier                                |
| 11/26/19 | Mount Vernon Fire<br>Department              | Mitigation Strategy<br>Development                               | Mount Vernon                           |
| 12/03/19 | East Knox Fire Department,<br>Danville       | Hazard/Risk Assessment and<br>Mitigation Strategy                | Danville<br>Union Township             |
| 12/00/10 | Cann Villago Hall                            | Development<br>Mitigation Stratomy                               | Cann/Brinkhavon                        |
| 12/09/19 |  | Development  | Ganny Brinknaven                       |
| 01/15/20 | Central Ohio Technical<br>College, Mt Vernon | Mitigation Strategy<br>Development                               | Countywide Meeting<br>Knox County      |
|          |  |  | Centerburg<br>Gambier                  |
| 01/15/20 | Martinsburg Village Hall                     | Hazard/Risk Assessment and<br>Mitigation Strategy<br>Development | Martinsburg                            |
| 02/25/20 | Central Ohio Technical                       | Final Plan Review  | Countywide Meeting                     |
|          | College, Mt Vernon                           |  | Knox County                            |
|          |  |  | Danville                               |
|          |  |  | Fredericktown                          |
|          |  |  | Gambier                                |
|          |  |  | Mount Vernon                           |

| Table | 1-1: | Planning    | Team      | Meetings  |
|-------|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Table | T-T' | 1 iaiiiiiie | ; i caili | wieetings |

# **1.2 STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT**

To encourage countywide participation in the mitigation plan, a large group of stakeholders were invited to participate in the planning process. Invitations to participate in the Hazard Mitigation Planning Team were extended to more than 140 individuals representing the following Knox County partners:

- Incorporated jurisdictions (county, city, and village officials)
- Township representatives (trustees, fiscal officers)
- Specialized disciplines, including floodplain management, fire service, law enforcement, engineering, utilities, public health, healthcare, hospitals, education, nonprofits, and social service agencies
- Elected and appointed officials, including the county commissioners, auditor, treasurer, engineer, and regional planning
- Economic development organizations, chambers of commerce, and tourism bureaus
- Emergency management officials from adjacent counties (Ashland, Coshocton, Delaware, Holmes, Licking, Morrow, and Richland)
- Non-government agencies and community action groups
- Special interest groups such as watershed coalitions, conservancy districts, federal partners, and state agencies with facilities in the county
- Residents, businesses, and the general public

Throughout the planning process, more than 75 people contributed by attending work sessions and providing crucial information and feedback. The complete list of participating stakeholders is provided in Appendix A: Mitigation Planning Team. The planning team's participation occurred over the four phases of plan development previously described in this section.

When scheduling meetings and work sessions, the EMA considered a variety of schedules, work situations, and other issues. Most meeting invitations were sent via e-mail because this is the most efficient and widely utilized communication method. When necessary, the EMA Director reached out to stakeholders by phone, regular mail, or other communication mechanisms to ensure delivery of the information. The EMA and Consultant worked diligently to maintain a list of participants so those who had not yet been involved could be identified. The EMA Director reached out directly to any jurisdictions and key stakeholders who did not respond to other methods of communication.

# **1.2.1 Jurisdiction Participation**

The incorporated jurisdictions in Knox County all elected to participate in the countywide mitigation plan. The only exception is the village of Utica, which is located primarily in Licking County. Because only a small section of the village falls within Knox County, the village aligns with Licking County for emergency planning purposes, including the hazard mitigation plan. Townships were invited and encouraged to participate in all planning activities. The officials identified in table 1-2 served as the primary representative and point of contact for their jurisdiction.

| Jurisdiction    | Position/Title              | Representative   |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| COUNTY          |                             |                  |
| Knox County     | EMA Director                | Mark Maxwell     |
| MUNICIPALITIES  |                             |                  |
| Centerburg      | Village Administrator       | Joe Hardin       |
| Danville        | Village Council/Mayor Elect | Joe Mazzari      |
| Fredericktown   | Village Administrator       | Bruce Snell      |
| Gambier         | Village Administrator       | RC Wise          |
| Gann/Brinkhaven | Mayor                       | Josh Moreland    |
| Martinsburg     | Mayor                       | David DeNune     |
| Mount Vernon    | Fire Chief                  | Chad Christopher |

Table 1-2: Participating Jurisdictions and Primary Representatives

# 1.2.2 Public Participation

In addition to jurisdiction representatives, county officials, and community partners, the public was also invited to participate in mitigation meetings and work sessions. News releases were sent to local media for the kick off and final plan review meetings. Jurisdiction representatives were encouraged to invite additional employees, partners, and community members to participate and to promote the sessions to the community by sharing information on websites, social media accounts, and others available means.

Upon completion of the plan, a public review period was conducted from February 24 – March 8, 2020. During this time, the plan was posted on the Consultant's website and accessible to all stakeholders, planning team members, and the community. A printed copy of the plan was available at the Knox County EMA. The public was notified of the review period through notifications sent to planning team participants, letters to jurisdictions, and a news release to local media outlets. All notifications included a link to view the plan online, the timeline for public review, and instructions for submitting comments and questions. Jurisdictions were encouraged to share plan review information on their websites and social media accounts.

Within the public review period, a countywide plan review forum was held on February 25, 2020. This forum was open to all jurisdictions, planning team participants, and the general public. The forum provided stakeholders with the opportunity to view and comment on the plan and discuss multi-jurisdictional implementation of the identified mitigation strategies.

All comments received from the public review period and plan review forum were reviewed by the EMA Director and Consultant. As appropriate, revisions were incorporated into the plan. After final revisions, the plan was submitted to the Ohio EMA for state review before submission to FEMA for federal approval. Following federal approval, the formal adoption process began. This process is explained in section 4.0 Plan Adoption.

## **1.3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES**

Multiple information sources were used in the research and development of this plan. Research also involved extensive discussions with stakeholders, subject matter experts, and community leaders to obtain information about the Knox County community. The county profile was developed based on various county and jurisdiction documents that addressed community development, business and industry, land use regulations, and community life. Demographic and statistical information came from the U.S. Census Bureau and other government sources. The Knox County Comprehensive Plan provided information about community growth and development conditions and goals. Municipalities provided local jurisdictional documents and websites that confirmed and explained collaboration between jurisdictions and the county. Watershed and natural resource documents provided information about local rivers, creeks, and streams.

The plan incorporates local disaster history and hazard occurrences through October 2019, which is the most current information available from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Storm Events Database. Additional hazard information was provided by the Ohio Emergency Management Agency, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Tornado History Project, Stanford University Dam Program, Ohio Department of Natural Resources, and other federal, state, and private sources.

The vulnerability analysis and risk assessment utilize information from multiple sources. HAZUS projections were used to develop loss estimates for floods and earthquakes. FEMA records of federal disaster assistance provided in the county and the 2019 Ohio Enhanced Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Draft provided additional data and information. Agriculture industry value and loss information came from United States Department of Agriculture records and watershed reports and information from the Ohio Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Agency were consulted. Table 1-3 identifies the references, reports, and studies utilized in plan development.

| Document                                   | Author/Agency                             | Date |
|--|---|------|
| American Fact Finder                       | US Census Bureau                          | 2020 |
| Federal Disaster Declaration Statistics    | FEMA                                      | 2020 |
| Fredericktown Downtown Revitalization      | Fredericktown Community Development       | 2017 |
| Plan                                       | Foundation                                |      |
| HAZUS Earthquake and Flood data            | Ohio EMA                                  | 2018 |
| Knox County Community Health               | Knox County Health Department             | 2018 |
| Assessment                                 |   |      |
| Knox County Comprehensive Plan 2018        | Knox County Regional Planning Office      | 2018 |
| Update                                     |   |      |
| Knox County Profile                        | Ohio Department of Development, Office of | 2018 |
|  | Research                                  |      |
| Kokosking Scenic River Watershed Plan      | Ohio Department of Natural Resources,     | 2004 |
|  | Division of Natural Areas and Preserves   |      |
| Mount Vernon Downtown Plan (not            | Mount Vernon Development Company          | 2019 |
| finalized)                                 |   |      |
| Mount Vernon Source Water Assessment       | Mount Vernon                              | 2012 |
| and Protection Plan                        |   |      |
| Mount Vernon Stormwater Management         | Mount Vernon                              | 2014 |
| Plan                                       |   |      |
| State of Ohio Hazard Mitigation Plan Draft | Ohio EMA                                  | 2019 |
| Storm Events Database                      | National Oceanic and Atmospheric          | 2020 |
|  | Administration                            |      |
| United States Census Quick Facts           | US Census Bureau                          | 2020 |

| Table 1-3. Studies, Reports, and References |
|---|
|---|

# **1.4 PLAN MAINTENANCE**

Plan maintenance is a critical component of hazard mitigation planning. Plan maintenance activities incorporate hazard mitigation considerations into ongoing community development activities, help the EMA to engage stakeholders in issues related to disaster risk reduction on a long-term basis, and streamlines the mitigation plan update process. The Knox County EMA will continue to lead mitigation plan maintenance efforts, as they did between the 2015 and 2020 hazard mitigation plans.

# 1.4.1 Annual Plan Maintenance Activities

Following approval of this plan, Knox County will continue the plan maintenance process that was put in place following approval of the 2015 plan. This process focuses on an annual meeting of stakeholders and jurisdiction representatives to discuss progress on mitigation strategies, recent disaster incidents and damages, and changing community needs. Feedback from stakeholders is summarized in an annual mitigation report.

Because it can be difficult to obtain participation in meetings, the EMA may choose to collect feedback from stakeholders through alternate methods including, but not limited to, digital or paper surveys, conference calls, and webinars. These methods may be utilized if the EMA feels

they will receive more robust feedback than a traditional meeting and can be used in addition to or in place of the annual review meeting.

At any point that the county or jurisdictions have been impacted by a disaster incident, the EMA will consider a special post-incident meeting with affected stakeholders to capture relevant mitigation information and document necessary changes for the next plan update. Additionally, the EMA will review the hazard identification and risk assessment and document any changes to the hazard list, incident history, and significant community development changes or challenges.

#### 1.4.2 Community Participation

The EMA is the lead agency for coordinating mitigation plan maintenance but stakeholder and community participation are necessary to make that a robust process. The EMA will continue to include a broad group of stakeholders and community members in ongoing mitigation activities. This group will include the mitigation planning team, jurisdiction representatives, community partners, and the public.

To encourage participation from the community, all mitigation review meetings will be open to the public. The EMA will promote these events through news releases, websites, social media posts, and other appropriate means. Any digital surveys used during the review will also be made available for community comment. The EMA will review any feedback received from the public and maintain documentation of community participation in the process.

#### 1.4.3 Integration with Community Planning Mechanisms

In Knox County, several entities collaborate to promote community and economic development for the betterment of Knox County. These agencies worked collaboratively to implement Knox County's 2015 mitigation plan and will continue to support and encourage implementation of hazard mitigation strategies.

Following adoption of the 2015 mitigation plan, the Knox County EMA worked with stakeholders to encourage progress on hazard mitigation and incorporate mitigation strategies into community planning documents. The 2018 update to the county's comprehensive plan included multiple references to the hazard mitigation plan and emphasizes responsible land use and preservation of natural resources, a continuation of the county's 2015 mitigation strategies. Like the mitigation plan, the comprehensive plan was developed by stakeholders across the county and included opportunities for public participation. Key stakeholders involved in the plan included zoning inspectors, economic development leaders, county engineer, public health, elected officials, park district leaders, and natural resource organizations. Because the comprehensive plan addresses so many issues related to disaster risk and hazard mitigation, this process emphasized the importance of the hazard mitigation plan and helped the county incorporate mitigation issues in other key planning documents.

Annual mitigation planning meetings were another key community planning activity that occurred between adoption of the 2015 hazard mitigation plan and development of the 2020

plan. The EMA Director diligently communicated with stakeholders and the public throughout the 5-year planning cycle to encourage implementation of mitigation strategies and to assess progress. Every year, he conducted a formal mitigation planning session that was open to all stakeholders and community members. During these sessions, each mitigation strategy was reviewed and changing hazards and vulnerabilities were discussed. A complete record of these sessions is included in Appendix B: Annual Reports. These sessions were key to consistently addressing hazard mitigation in Knox County and led to significant progress on the 2015 mitigation strategies. Moving forward with the 2020 plan, the EMA intends to continue this annual mitigation planning process.

In addition to the EMA's efforts, additional agencies in Knox County have key roles in community planning and implementation of hazard mitigation strategies. The Knox Regional Planning Commission is responsible for administering the county's subdivision regulations, floodplain regulations, and airport zoning regulations; the commission also works with township to amend and maintain zoning regulations and coordinate the county's comprehensive land use planning process. While this important planning process includes robust involvement from partners across Knox County, the planning commission serves as the coordinating agency for the project. This plan was most recently updated in 2018.

Multiple agencies are involved in Knox County's economic development. The Knox County Area Development Foundation assists businesses seeking to locate or expand in Knox County in finding appropriate property, financing, and workforce for their business. The foundation also participates in the Knox County Land Bank to clean up vacant and blighted properties throughout the county. The Knox County Chamber of Commerce represents businesses and seeks to promote leadership and trade among the business community. The Ariel Foundation is a private foundation that promotes arts, culture, and health in the community and seeks to help balance community preservation with revitalization efforts.

Through the work of these organizations and the efforts of county and jurisdiction officials, community development occurs. The EMA supports community development by collaborating with local officials and participating in committees and workgroups. The EMA Director incorporates disaster preparedness and hazard mitigation issues in development practices by engaging with development organizations and partners. The EMA also collaborates with partners across the county to plan and prepare for disasters, including public safety organizations, schools and educational institutions, social service agencies, and others. The EMA serves as Knox County's primary voice on these issues to the county commissioners and jurisdictions across the county.

#### **1.4.4 Documentation of Plan Maintenance**

All plan maintenance activities will be documented and maintained by the EMA. Documentation will include attendance records, stakeholder and planning team contact information, surveys, and any progress reports or damage information submitted by jurisdictions. This information will be shared with the planning team during the next plan update cycle.

## 1.4.5 Plan Update Cycle

The Knox County Hazard Mitigation Plan will expire in 2025. To ensure the county is prepared to submit an updated plan prior to this expiration date, efforts to update the plan will begin in mid-2023. The EMA Director will ensure that the appropriate and necessary steps are taken to complete this process.

# 2.0 HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT

The Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (HIRA) addresses the type and frequency of disasters that affect Knox County and the risk to people and property. The HIRA is addressed in four sections. The County Profile provides general information about Knox County and its jurisdictions. The Hazard Identification section describes hazards that impact Knox County; the Vulnerability Assessment discusses each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the identified hazards and the Risk Analysis evaluates and ranks the hazards Knox County intends to address through mitigation efforts.

# 2.1 COUNTY PROFILE

Knox County is located in central Ohio; it is a rural county with a land area of 527.2 square miles. The county borders Richland, Ashland, Holmes, Coshocton, Licking, Delaware, and Morrow counties. Columbus, the state capital, is the closest major city and is approximately 50 miles to the southwest.



#### Map 2-1: Knox County

#### 2.1.1 Demographics

According to US Census data, Knox County's estimated 2018 population is 61,893. The 2010 population was 60,921. The county's population has remained relatively steady, a trend that is expected to continue for the next several decades.

| Statistic               | Figure          |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Population Density      | 1015.9/sq. mile |
| Female Population       | 51.0%           |
| Male Population         | 49.0%           |
| Number of Households    | 23,229          |
| Population under 18     | 22.7%           |
| Population over 65      | 18.1%           |
| White                   | 96.6%           |
| African-American        | 1.0%            |
| Native American         | 0.1%            |
| Asian                   | 0.4%            |
| Other                   | 0.1%            |
| Two or More Races       | 1.7%            |
| Hispanic (of any race)  | 1.4%            |
| Average Household Size  | 2.47 persons    |
| Median Household Income | \$51,211        |
| Persons in Poverty      | 13.8%           |

| Table 2-1. Knox County | <b>A</b> Dopulation Statistic | · ~ |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| Table 2-1: Knox Count  | y Population Statistic        | .5  |

Knox County has 25,648 housing units. The owner-occupied housing rate is 71.3%. The median value of owner-occupied units is \$138,900. The median monthly cost for a home with a mortgage is \$1,151. The county has 6,658 renter-occupied housing units, including approximately 1,191 mobile homes. The median gross rent for these rental units is \$712 per month.

# 2.1.3 Incorporated Jurisdictions

Knox County has seven incorporated municipalities. Mount Vernon is the largest municipality and only city in the county. It serves as the county seat and is the primary business and retail center of the county.

The county has six incorporated villages. By definition, a village in Ohio has fewer than 5,000 residents. The village of Gann was previously incorporated under the name Brinkhaven. For clarity, the village is referred to as Gann/Brinkhaven throughout the mitigation plan.

| Table 2-2: Municipal Demographics |            |            |               |                       |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| City/Village                      | Population | Households | Median Income | Persons Below Poverty |
| Centerburg                        | 2,214      | 760        | \$37,368      | 17.8%                 |
| Danville                          | 1,014      | 591        | \$39,964      | 19.7%                 |
| Fredericktown                     | 2,493      | 1,332      | \$42,222      | 12.2%                 |
| Gambier                           | 2,458      | 495        | \$65,438      | 24.6%                 |
| Gann/Brinkhaven                   | 121        | 73         | \$50,625      | 14.4%                 |
| Martinsburg                       | 228        | 128        | \$41,518      | 10.6%                 |
| Mount Vernon                      | 16,701     | 6,996      | \$41,098      | 21.9%                 |

The village of Utica is partially located in Knox County. For mitigation planning purposes, they participate in the plan for Licking County and are not included in this plan.

#### 2.1.4 Unincorporated Areas

The unincorporated area of Knox County is divided into 22 townships. In Ohio, townships are governed by an elected board of trustees. They meet at least once per month and are responsible for the safety and welfare of township residents. Townships also have elected Fiscal Officers who manage the township's finances. Because townships are unincorporated, they are considered part of the county for the purpose of hazard mitigation planning and activities.

| Township   | Population |
|------------|------------|
| Berlin     | 1,738      |
| Brown      | 1,862      |
| Butler     | 1,171      |
| Clay       | 1,604      |
| Clinton    | 2,826      |
| College    | 2,731      |
| Harrison   | 806        |
| Hilliar    | 3,715      |
| Howard     | 5,617      |
| Jackson    | 988        |
| Jefferson  | 633        |
| Liberty    | 1,716      |
| Middlebury | 1,278      |
| Milford    | 1,772      |
| Miller     | 1,006      |
| Monroe     | 2,165      |
| Morgan     | 1,085      |
| Morris     | 2,049      |
| Pike       | 1,532      |
| Pleasant   | 1,606      |
| Union      | 2,646      |
| Wayne      | 892        |
|            |            |

| Table | 2-3:1 | Γownship | Population  | <b>Statistics</b> |
|-------|-------|----------|-------------|-------------------|
| 10010 |       |          | - opalation | 01010100          |

#### Unincorporated Communities

Knox County has 23 unincorporated communities and three census-designated places. These small neighborhoods are not organized municipalities and do not have any official form of government; they function as part of the township in which they are located. Most of these locations have historical significance or were incorporated in the past but have a population that has decreased to the point that they are no longer considered a municipality. Howard (Howard Township) and Bladensburg (Clay and Jackson Townships) are two of the larger census-designated places in the county.

Apple Valley is another unincorporated community of significant size. This community, located in Howard and Brown Townships, occupies approximately 3,770 acres surrounding Apple Valley Lake. Apple Valley Lake is a 511-acre man-made lake with 9.25 miles of shoreline. The community was formed in 1972 and is a mix of year-round and seasonal residences. According to 2010 U.S. Census figures, the population is 5,058, making it the second most populated community in Knox County.

# 2.1.5 Institutions and Special Facilities

Across Knox County, residents have access to abundant educational and healthcare resources. These services contribute to the quality of life and the successful development of the local economy.

# Education

Students in Knox County are served by nine public school districts and several private schools. In the most rural areas of the county, several Amish schools also serve Knox County's significant Amish population.

| Public School Districts                                  | Private/Parochial Schools          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Centerburg Local School District                         | Christian Star Academy             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear Fork Valley Local School District                  | Mount Vernon SDA Elementary School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Danville Local School District                           | St. Vincent De Paul School         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Knox Local School District                          | Temple Christian Academy           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fredericktown Local School District                      |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knox County Career Center                                |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loudonville-Perrysville Exempted Village School District |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mount Vernon City School District                        |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Fork Local School District                         |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northridge Local School District                         |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Table 2-4: Knox County Schools

The county is also home to several colleges and universities. Kenyon College, located in Gambier, is a private liberal arts college with approximately 1,700 students, all of whom live on campus. Mount Vernon Nazarene University is a private college offering undergraduate and graduate programs to approximately 2,200 students. Approximately 1,000 students live on campus. Central Ohio Technical College also operates a campus in Mount Vernon that provides associate degree, certificate, and career-technical programs.

# Healthcare

Knox Community Hospital is the only hospital in Knox County. This 115-bed facility, located in Mount Vernon, offers a wide range of inpatient and outpatient services, included emergency care, intensive care, and a cancer center.

Residential healthcare facilities are present throughout Knox County. These facilities include eight licensed nursing homes with a total of 557 beds and five residential care facilities with a

total of 220 beds. These facilities provide healthcare and housing for elderly individuals, dementia patients, and others recovering from short- and long-term illnesses and injuries.

#### 2.1.6 Infrastructure

Infrastructure and utility systems provide access and critical services to residents, workers, and visitors. This section describes the county's road and rail infrastructure, airports, and utility systems.

#### Transportation Systems

Knox County is traversed by more than 1,335 miles of roadways. Of these, 59 miles are U.S routes, 140 miles are state highways, and 1,136 miles are county, township, and municipal roadways. There are no interstate routes within the borders of Knox County but I-70, I-71, and I-77 are easily accessible from various highways and routes within the county. A complete list of federal and state highways in the county is provided in the table below.

| Interstates | U.S. Highways | Stat   | te Highways |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---------------|--------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| None        | US 36         | SR 3   | SR 514      |  |  |  |  |
|             | US 62         | SR 13  | SR 541      |  |  |  |  |
|             |               | SR 95  | SR 586      |  |  |  |  |
|             |               | SR 205 | SR 661      |  |  |  |  |
|             |               | SR 229 |             |  |  |  |  |

**Table 2-5: Knox County Highways** 

Knox County's county road system includes 221 bridges with spans greater than twenty feet, 100 bridges that are ten to nineteen feet wide, and approximately 6,300 culverts. These numbers change as bridges are replaced.

#### Rail

With only one rail line present in the county. Knox County has very limited rail traffic.

#### Airports

Knox County has one public and two private airports. The Knox County Regional Airport, located four miles southwest of Mount Vernon, is the only public airport in Knox County.

#### Utilities

The majority of Knox County homes, approximately 53.1%, are heated with natural gas. An additional 20.1% utilize electric heat. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio regulates private companies that provide public utility services. These companies, along with municipal electric utilities, are identified in table 2-6.

| Electric Service              | Natural Gas Service                      |
|-------------------------------|--|
| AEP Ohio                      | Columbia Gas of Ohio                     |
| Consolidated Electric         | Consumers Gas Cooperative                |
| Licking Rural Electrification | Dominion East Ohio                       |
| Ohio Edison/First Energy      | Knox Energy Cooperative Association Inc. |
|                               | Ohio Gas Company                         |

#### Table 2-6: Knox County Utility Providers

The remaining structures in the county utilize alternate heat sources.

- Bottled, tank, or LP gas 14.4%
- Coal, coke or wood 7.2%
- Fuel oil, kerosene 3.1%
- Solar energy or other fuel 1.8%
- No fuel used 0.3%

Within most incorporated jurisdictions, water and wastewater services are provided by municipal systems that are maintained by the jurisdiction or utility company, such as Del-Co Water. Outside of the incorporated areas and in smaller jurisdictions, these services are generally provided by private wells and septic systems that are maintained by individual property owners.

## 2.1.7 Topography and Climate

Knox County's landscape features river valleys, rolling hills, pastures, and woodlands. This variation is due primarily to the continental glaciers that covered the region more than 15,000 years ago. The only exception to this is the easternmost portions of Jefferson, Union, and Butler Townships which feature deep valleys and steep hills.

Knox County's average elevation is 1,113 feet above sea level. Across the county, elevations range from a high point of 1,420 feet above sea level in Liberty Township to a low of 840 feet above sea level in Butler Township. The maximum difference in elevation between the high and low points is approximately 600 feet. The steepest slopes in the county are located in the northeast section near the Mohican River.

#### Climate

The humid continental climate of Knox County is consistent with most of Ohio and features cold winters and hot summers. The average annual temperature is 48.25° F. July is the warmest month with an average high temperature of 82° F. January is the coldest month with an average low temperature of 15° F. Average annual rainfall is 40.51 inches. May is typically the wettest month with average precipitation of 4.41 inches. February generally has the least precipitation with an average of 2.4 inches.

#### 2.1.8 Waterways and Watershed

Knox County has three major rivers: Kokosing River, Mohican River, and North Fork of the Licking River. The Kokosing and Mohican Rivers have both been designated State Scenic Rivers by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency.

Within the county, there are several man-made lakes that are used primarily for recreation and flood control. Kokosing Lake is the smallest at 160 acres. It was constructed in 1971 for flood control and recreation and is a dam-controlled lake maximizing at thirty feet deep. Knox Lake is a 500-acre, dam-controlled recreational lake that is 24 feet at its deepest area. Apple Valley Lake, the largest at 511 acres, is thirty feet deep and also controlled by a dam.

In addition to the rivers and lakes, Knox County has 851 miles of ditches and streams. Intermittent streams, defined as streams where water only flows during part of the year, account for 365 miles of waterway. Another 382 miles are considered perennial streams, meaning that water flows year-round during periods of normal rainfall.

| Lakes Streams and Creeks |                                 |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Apple Valley Lake        | Adams Run Armstrong Run         | Jug Run                         |  |  |  |  |
| Edward Smith Lake        | Barney Run                      | Kokosing River                  |  |  |  |  |
| Knox Lake                | Beach Run                       | Little Jelloway Creek           |  |  |  |  |
| Kokosing Lake            | Big Run                         | Little Schenck Creek            |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Hiawatha            | Brush Run                       | Lost Run                        |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Viering             | Center Run                      | Markley Run                     |  |  |  |  |
| Little Lake              | Chambers Creek Coleman Branch   | Mile Run                        |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Curtis Run                      | Muckshaw Run                    |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Delano Run                      | Mud Run                         |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Dowd Creek                      | North Branch Kokosing River Owl |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Dry Creek                       | Creek Stream                    |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Dry Run                         | Pleasant Valley Run             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | East Branch Jelloway Creek      | Prairie Run                     |  |  |  |  |
|                          | East Branch Kokosing River East | Pumpkin Run                     |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Branch Rocky                    | Ransoms Run                     |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Fork Elliot Run                 | Sapps Run                       |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Flat Run                        | Schenck Creek                   |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Ford Creek                      | Shadley Valley Creek            |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Granny Creek                    | South Run                       |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Harrod Run                      | Sycamore Creek                  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Honey Run                       | Tomaky Branch                   |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Indianfield Run                 | Tuma Run                        |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Ireland Creek                   | Wakatomika Creek                |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Isaacs Run                      | Webster Run                     |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Jelloway Creek                  | Wolf Run.                       |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Job Run                         |                                 |  |  |  |  |

## Table 2-7: Knox County Waterways

The majority of Knox County is part of the Muskingum River Watershed. This large watershed covers more than 8,000 square miles across all or part of 27 Ohio counties and encompasses more than 20% of Ohio. The watershed is divided into multiple sub-watersheds. Knox County is located in four of these sub-watersheds. The Kokosing River Watershed is the largest of these, encompassing most of the county. The upper northeast corner of the county falls in the Mohican River Watershed and the southwest section falls in the Licking River Watershed. The extreme southeast corner of the county, near Bladensburg, is located in the Wakatomika Creek Watershed.





The only area of Knox County that is not part of the Muskingum River Watershed is a small area on the western edge of the county, just west of Centerburg. This area is part of the Upper Big Walnut Creek Watershed, which is part of the Scioto River Watershed.



Map 2-3: Scioto River Watershed

# 2.1.9 Land Use

Cultivated crops are the highest land use category in Knox County at 34.94%. An additional 21.74% of land area is used for pasture and hay. Forested land is the second highest land use in Knox County, accounting for 34% of the county's land area. This includes 2,320 acres of state parks, forests, nature preserves and wildlife areas across six areas or facilities. Many of these parks and recreation areas are located in and around the Kokosing River.

As urban sprawl from Columbus continues to reach into Knox County, the need for residential growth continues. This trend was identified in the 2015 mitigation plan and has continued as of this plan update. Much of this residential growth is occurring in the southwest section of the county near the village of Centerburg and the surrounding townships. The Apple Valley community in eastern Knox County also continues to experience growth as people build year-round and seasonal residences in this unincorporated community.

| Use Category                            | Percentage |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Cultivated Crops                        | 34.94%     |  |  |  |  |
| Forest                                  | 34.42%     |  |  |  |  |
| Pasture/Hay                             | 21.74%     |  |  |  |  |
| Developed, Lower Intensity              | 6.63%      |  |  |  |  |
| Open Water                              | 0.82%      |  |  |  |  |
| Developed, Higher Intensity             | 0.52%      |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub/Scrub and Grasslands              | 0.47%      |  |  |  |  |
| Wetlands                                | 0.42%      |  |  |  |  |
| Barren (strip mines, gravel pits, etc.) | 0.04%      |  |  |  |  |

Table 2-8: Knox County Land Use

# 2.1.10 Regulation

The Knox County Regional Planning Office, established in 1971, is the county agency responsible for addressing development issues within Knox County that are larger than a single jurisdiction or municipality. The office is responsible for administering floodplain regulations, subdivision regulations, Knox County airport zoning regulations and reviews amendments to township zoning regulations. Additionally, the office develops and maintains the Knox County Comprehensive Plan and coordinates the Knox County Regional Planning Commission.

Each incorporated municipality administers its own zoning and floodplain regulations. The Knox County Regional Planning Office administers floodplain regulations in the unincorporated areas of the county Each township adopts and administers local zoning regulations. All incorporated municipalities and eighteen of the county's 22 townships are zoned, as indicated in table 2-9.

| Zo        | oned       | Not Zoned |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Berlin    | Middlebury | Butler    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown     | Milford    | Clay      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinton   | Miller     | Jackson   |  |  |  |  |  |
| College   | Monroe     | Union     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harrison  | Morgan     |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hilliar   | Morris     |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Howard    | Pike       |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson | Pleasant   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liberty   | Wayne      |           |  |  |  |  |  |

# Table 2-9: Township Zoning Status

## 2.1.11 Economy

Knox County's top five employment sectors are manufacturing; education and health services; trade, transportation and utilities; local government; and leisure and hospitality. Table 2-10 identifies the top employment sectors and average employment.

| Employment Sector                   | Average Employment |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Manufacturing                       | 4,524              |
| Education and Health Services       | 4,255              |
| Trade, Transportation and Utilities | 2,950              |
| Local Government                    | 2,336              |
| Leisure and Hospitality             | 1,919              |
| Professional and Business Services  | 1,456              |
| Construction                        | 938                |
| Other Services                      | 544                |
| Financial Services                  | 519                |
| State Government                    | 289                |
| Natural Resources and Mining        | 182                |
| Information                         | 149                |
| Federal Government                  | 104                |

Table 2-10: Employment by Industry

Table 2-11 identifies the major employers across Knox County. These align closely with the top employment sectors.

| Employer                         | Sector        |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Ariel Ltd                        | Manufacturing |  |  |  |
| FT Prevision                     | Manufacturing |  |  |  |
| JELD-WEN Inc                     | Manufacturing |  |  |  |
| Kenyon College                   | Service       |  |  |  |
| Knox Community Hospital          | Service       |  |  |  |
| Kokosing Construction Co         | Construction  |  |  |  |
| Mount Vernon Nazarene University | Service       |  |  |  |
| Mount Vernon City Schools        | Government    |  |  |  |
| Sanoh America Inc                | Manufacturing |  |  |  |

## Table 2-11: Major Employers

#### 2.1.12 Development Trends

Community development in Knox County is led primarily by the Knox County Regional Planning Commission in conjunction with jurisdictions, elected officials, community leaders, and stakeholders across Knox County. The Regional Planning Commission developed the county's first comprehensive land use plan in 1974. In the late 1990s, stakeholders recognized increasing development pressure and the need to update development practices to keep pace. This work resulted in the 1998 Knox County Comprehensive Plan, which identified four fundamental guiding purposes to address long term community planning and recognized the potential community growth issues as the Columbus metropolitan region continued to grow.

In response to continued community development, the plan was updated again in 2006 and 2012. These updates recognized the achievements that came out of the 1998 plan, including development of the Farmland Preservation Task Force Report in 2000, a Cost of Community Services Study in 2003, and a Comprehensive Study of the Kokosing River Watershed in 2004. The 2012 update focused on sustainable growth and recognized the continued development of the 11-county central Ohio/Columbus metropolitan region and its potential impact on Knox County.

For the 2018 comprehensive plan update, the planning team identified goals and strategies that would advance the entire Knox County community. They discussed the idea of prioritizing quality commercial, industrial, and agricultural development over large-scale residential development opportunities because of the economic benefit of growing businesses. Recognizing the need for additional residential development, the planning team also urged local governments to use public-private partnerships with developers to support the cost of the infrastructure upgrades necessary to support residential growth. The 2019 plan update also recognizes the impact land development has on the health and safety of residents and references the 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan as a central document in ensuring development and community growth do not compromise the health and safety of the community.

The 2018 plan update was developed with the intention of guiding Knox County's development for the next twenty years. While additional formal plan updates will certainly be completed over that timeframe, the planning team was asked to consider the needs and growth potential of the county over a two-decade timespan. It addresses key community issues such as responsible growth and economic diversity, education and workforce development, quality of life issues and preservation of environmental character.

# **2.2 HAZARD IDENTIFICATION**

Knox County has experienced many disasters in its history, ranging from floods and tornadoes to blizzards and windstorms. In this section, the hazards that can impact the county are defined and the risk for each hazard is assessed. The Hazard Mitigation Planning Team analyzed the hazards and risks present throughout the county and identified eleven hazards as relevant to Knox County, as listed below.

- Drought/extreme heat
- Earthquake
- Flood
- Hazardous materials
- Infrastructure failure, including dams/levees, utility systems, water and wastewater systems, and roads and bridges
- Invasive species
- Land subsidence/landslide
- Severe thunderstorm
- Tornado and windstorm
- Water guality
- Winter Storm

Some hazards were excluded from this plan because they pose no risk to Knox County. The excluded hazards and the justification for the exclusion are identified in the table below.

| <b>Excluded Hazard</b> | Justification                     |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Coastal Erosion        | The county has no open coastline. |
| Tsunami                | Geographically impossible         |
| Volcano                | Geographically impossible         |
| Wildfire               | Insufficient forested area        |

#### Table 2-12: Excluded Hazards

Like most of Ohio, Knox County does not have a long history of federal disaster declarations or assistance. The county has been included in eight federal declarations. A comprehensive list of federal disaster declarations for Knox County is provided in table 2-13.

| Table 2-13: Federal Disaster Declaration History |               |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| DR/EM Number                                     | Incident Date | Incident Type(s)                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| DR-90-OH   | 01/23/1959    | Flood                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| DR-3055-OH                                       | 01/26/1978    | Winter Storm                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| DR-1227-OH                                       | 06/24/1998    | Flood, Severe Summer Storm, Tornado  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EM-3187-OH                                       | 08/14/2003    | Other (power outage)                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DR-1580-OH                                       | 12/22/2004    | Flood, Mud/Landslide, Winter Storm   |  |  |  |  |  |
| EM-3250-OH                                       | 09/13/2005    | Other (Hurricane Katrina evacuation) |  |  |  |  |  |
| DR-1805-OH                                       | 09/14/2008    | Wind                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DR-4077-OH                                       | 06/29/2012    | Wind, Severe Summer Storm            |  |  |  |  |  |

## develoption of produced the later

To understand the local risk posed by these hazards, the following pages examine the characteristics and evaluate the local history of each hazard. Historical information was obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) and supplemented with information from local officials.

## 2.2.1 Drought and Extreme Heat

A drought is a deficiency of moisture that adversely impacts people, animals, and vegetation over an area of significant size. Because drought is a creeping phenomenon characterized by the absence of water, there is no defined beginning or end or a specific amount of time required for an extended dry period to be considered a drought. An event is considered a drought when the dry period lasts long enough to impact the environment and economy of a region, typically several months or years.

Drought severity is measured using the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI). The PDSI measures dryness based on recent precipitation and temperature statistics. Drought classifications are identified in the chart below:

| Measurement | Description         |
|-------------|---------------------|
| -4 or less  | Extreme Drought     |
| -4 to -3    | Severe Drought      |
| -3 to -2    | Moderate Drought    |
| -2 to -1    | Mild Drought        |
| -1 to -0.5  | Incipient Dry Spell |
| -0.5 to 0.5 | Near Normal         |
| 0.5 to 1    | Incipient Wet Spell |
| 1 to 2      | Slightly Wet        |
| 2 to 3      | Moderately Wet      |
| 3 to 4      | Very Wet            |
| 4 or more   | Extremely Wet       |

A heat wave is a period of abnormally hot and unusually humid weather, typically lasting for two or more days. This can be an extended period with higher than normal temperatures or a shorter period with abnormally high temperatures. Regardless of the specific duration or temperature, heat waves are a safety hazard to anyone exposed to the extreme heat. People, especially the elderly and those with ongoing medical conditions, are at risk for heat exhaustion and heat stroke, which can be fatal in the most serious cases. When heat waves are accompanied by drought conditions, the potential for a serious natural disaster increases. Between injuries, fatalities, crop damage, and property damage, these disasters can significantly impact the economy of a region.

Heat waves can occur anywhere in Ohio but are typically brief, lasting only a few days. Extreme temperatures are considered anything above 90 degrees Fahrenheit. In the humid climate of the Midwest, these temperatures are often accompanied by high humidity. It is rare for temperatures to exceed the mid-90s, although the region does occasionally experience

temperatures in the upper 90s or slightly higher. These brief heat waves are not uncommon, but rarely last more than a few days. A heat wave lasting longer than a week is extremely uncommon.

|              | Jan   | Feb  | Mar   | Apr   | May   | Jun   | Jul   | Aug   | Sep   | Oct  | Nov   | Dec   |
|--------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Avg. High    | 33°   | 37°  | 47°   | 60°   | 70°   | 79°   | 82°   | 81°   | 74°   | 63°  | 50°   | 37°   |
| Avg. Low     | 15°   | 18°  | 25°   | 36°   | 46°   | 56°   | 59°   | 56°   | 48°   | 37°  | 29°   | 20°   |
| Avg. Precip. | 2.83" | 2.4″ | 3.15″ | 3.58" | 4.41" | 4.25" | 4.17″ | 3.39" | 3.31" | 2.8″ | 3.23″ | 2.99″ |

Table 2-14: Average Temperatures and Rainfall

# Drought/Extreme Heat Risk Assessment

While it is not common, drought and extreme heat are countywide hazards that can affect all areas and jurisdictions. Knox County can experience slight drought and regularly experiences periods of decreased precipitation during the agricultural growing season. There is no history of an extended drought that caused property damage or human casualties. Reduced crop yields for a given year are possible, depending on precipitation patterns and in abnormally dry conditions. Knox County does not have any history of extensive multi-year crop losses.

Based on the U.S. Department of Agriculture's 2017 Census of Agriculture, the market value of all agricultural products sold in Knox County is \$135,144,000. In a drought, the crop and livestock operations across the county would be exposed to loss. Table 2-15 identifies the quantities of the primary agricultural commodities in the county that could be impacted by drought-related loss. While many farmers purchase crop insurance, there is no way to know the exact portion of crops that are insured across the county. Insurance is also only a partial financial remedy in the event of severe drought.

| <b>Top Commodities</b> | Crop Acres/Livestock Inventory |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Soybeans               | 58,100 acres                   |
| Corn                   | 56,000 acres                   |
| Winter Wheat           | 3,200 acres                    |
| Hogs/Pigs              | 34,406                         |
| Poultry/Layers         | 27,530                         |
| Cattle/Calves          | 21,958                         |
| Sheep/Lambs            | 7,901                          |

 Table 2-15: Drought Vulnerability Assessment

# Local Drought/Extreme Heat History

Drought and extreme heat have had some impact on Knox County in recent decades. Per official NDCD records, Knox County has experienced five official droughts and zero extreme heat events as indicated in the table below. Documented crop loss from these events is \$5,000,000. Some drought events are documented in records from the United States Department of Agriculture rather than NCDC records so this information may not represent complete loss figures for all drought events. The USDA issues drought declarations and provides farmers and ranchers with disaster relief funding.

| Table 2-16: Knox County Drought/Extreme near history |           |               |           |        |          |  |
|--|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|--|
| Hazard   | Incidents | Property Loss | Crop Loss | Deaths | Injuries |  |
| Drought  | 5         | 0             | 5M        | 0      | 0        |  |
| Extreme Heat   | 0         | 0             | 0         | 0      | 0        |  |

One of Ohio's more significant droughts was the 1988-1989 North American Drought. The incident was widespread and intense and included heat waves that killed thousands of people and substantial livestock nationwide. One of the underlying causes of the drought was the nationwide use of inappropriate land for agriculture production and continued over-pumping of groundwater. This major drought was catastrophic for the agriculture industry, destroying crops across the country. Water use restrictions were put in place across many jurisdictions. The drought continued to impact the Midwest and Northern Plains states during 1989 and was not declared over until 1990.

In the summer of 2012, Ohio was impacted by the 2012 North American Drought. This incident was an expansion of the 2010-2012 United States drought and began in the spring of 2012. Lack of snowfall across the county led to limited snowmelt and water that absorbed into the soil. The drought included most of the United States and all of Ohio. This drought has been compared to similar droughts in the 1930s and 1950s but did not last as long. The drought caused catastrophic economic ramifications. According to most measures, this drought exceeded the 1988-1989 North American Drought, which is the most recent comparable drought. On September 5, 2012, the USDA issued a disaster declaration for all counties in Ohio affected by the drought.

The most recent drought to affect Ohio occurred in 2016. On January 6, 2017, the USDA issued a disaster declaration for drought conditions experienced from May through October 2016. The primary declaration was issued for three Ohio counties; ten contiguous counties were also included in the declaration. Knox County was not identified as a primary or contiguous county in this declaration but many adjacent or nearby counties, including Delaware, Morrow, and Richland, were included in the declaration. All counties in the central Ohio region were impacted in some way by the abnormally dry conditions.

# 2.2.2 Earthquake

An earthquake occurs when two of earth's plates move past one another beneath earth's surface. The location where the plates meet is called a fault. The shifting of the plates causes movement along the fault line. This movement can often be felt in areas surrounding the earthquake's epicenter and can cause damage ranging from minor to devastating. Damage caused by an earthquake can include rattling foundations, falling debris, and, in the most severe cases, toppling buildings, bridges, and culverts. The severity of earthquake movement is measured using the Modified Mercalli Index scale as defined in this chart:

| Intensity | Shaking     | Description/Damage   |
|-----------|-------------|--|
| I         | Not Felt    | Not felt except by a very few under especially favorable conditions.   |
| II        | Weak        | Felt only by a few persons at rest, especially on building upper floors.   |
| III       | Weak        | Felt quite noticeably by persons indoors, especially on upper floors. May not be recognized as an earthquake. Vibrations similar to a passing truck.   |
| IV        | Light       | Felt indoors by many, outdoors by few. Windows and doors disturbed; walls make cracking sound. Sensation like heavy truck striking building.   |
| V         | Moderate    | Felt by nearly everyone; many awakened. Some dishes, windows broken.<br>Unstable objects overturned.   |
| VI        | Strong      | Felt by all, many frightened. Some heavy furniture moved; a few instances of fallen plaster. Damage slight.  |
| VII       | Very Strong | Damage negligible in buildings of good design and construction; slight to moderate in well-built ordinary structures; considerable damage in poorly built or badly designed structures.                    |
| VIII      | Severe      | Damage slight in specially designed structures; considerable damage in ordinary substantial buildings with partial collapse. Damage great in poorly built structures.                                      |
| IX        | Violent     | Damage considerable in specially designed structures; well-designed frame structures thrown out of plumb. Damage great in substantial buildings, with partial collapse. Buildings shifted off foundations. |
| X         | Extreme     | Some well-built wooden structures destroyed; most masonry and frame structures destroyed with foundations.   |

## Earthquake Risk Assessment

In Knox County, earthquakes are geologically possible but extremely rare. Earthquake is a countywide hazard and can affect all areas and jurisdictions. Ohio has experienced more than 120 earthquakes since 1776. Only a few of these events have caused structural damage. West central and northeast Ohio are the regions with the highest earthquake risk. Knox County is not near either of these areas but does have some earthquake risk.

The planning team considered historical earthquake damage data and HAZUS loss projections for a 5.0 magnitude earthquake with an epicenter in Mount Vernon. Tables 2-17 and 2-18 describe the anticipated building damage and economic impact from this scenario.

| Occupancy                 | None   | Slight | Moderate | Extensive | Complete |  |  |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
| Agriculture               | 141    | 56     | 62       | 30        | 7        |  |  |
| Commercial                | 543    | 301    | 348      | 171       | 50       |  |  |
| Education                 | 40     | 17     | 18       | 7         | 2        |  |  |
| Government                | 18     | 8      | 10       | 4         | 2        |  |  |
| Industrial                | 217    | 105    | 126      | 66        | 18       |  |  |
| Other Residential         | 1,119  | 556    | 536      | 212       | 47       |  |  |
| Religion                  | 95     | 44     | 40       | 20        | 6        |  |  |
| Single Family Residential | 12,610 | 4,695  | 2,240    | 596       | 164      |  |  |
| Total                     | 14,783 | 5,782  | 3,380    | 1,106     | 296      |  |  |

#### Table 2-17: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy

| Table 2-18: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates |               |                          |            |            |       |        |  |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------|--------|--|
| Area   | Single-Family | <b>Other Residential</b> | Commercial | Industrial | Other | Total  |  |
| Income Losses  |               |                          |            |            |       |        |  |
| Wage   | 0             | 2.14                     | 21.43      | 1.50       | 2.14  | 27.21  |  |
| Capital Related                                      | 0             | 0.91                     | 18.44      | 0.91       | 0.71  | 20.97  |  |
| Rental   | 6.68          | 6.88                     | 9.65       | 0.46       | 1.05  | 24.72  |  |
| Relocation   | 23.30         | 3.85                     | 16.42      | 2.07       | 9.83  | 55.48  |  |
| Capital Stock Los                                    | ses           |                          |            |            |       |        |  |
| Structural   | 37.59         | 14.01                    | 25.20      | 7.67       | 11.57 | 96.03  |  |
| Non-Structural                                       | 147.56        | 60.91                    | 71.99      | 23.90      | 29.62 | 333.98 |  |
| Content  | 60.02         | 18.24                    | 40.03      | 16.77      | 18.64 | 153.70 |  |
| Inventory  | 0             | 0                        | 0.83       | 3.51       | 0.37  | 4.71   |  |
| TOTAL  | 275.15        | 106.95                   | 204.00     | 56.80      | 73.92 | 716.81 |  |

Table 2-19 is the county's overall vulnerability analysis for an earthquake based on HAZUS data.

| Table 2-13. Earthquake Scenario Vullerability Analysis |                     |                 |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Building Type  | Number of Buildings | Exposure        |  |  |  |
| Residential  | 3,000               | \$1,180,245,789 |  |  |  |
| Non-Residential  | 1,672               | \$517,523,809   |  |  |  |
| Critical Facilities                                    | 110                 | \$34,047,619    |  |  |  |
| Totals   | 4,782               | \$1,731,817,218 |  |  |  |

#### Table 2-19: Farthquake Scenario Vulnerability Analysis

# Local Earthquake History

Records from the Ohio Department of Natural Resources indicate that Knox County has experienced zero earthquakes with epicenters in the county. Several earthquakes have occurred in neighboring Delaware and Richland counties but there is no documentation of any direct impact in Knox County. Map 2-4 shows earthquake epicenters in the Knox County and the surrounding region.



#### Map 2-4: Knox County Earthquake Epicenters

Knox County Earthquake Epicenters

The strongest earthquake recorded in Ohio occurred in Shelby County in 1937 and was estimated to have a magnitude of 5.5 on the Richter Scale. This incident caused some damage in Anna and surrounding west central Ohio communities. The same area in Ohio reported earthquake activity in 1875 and 1884. The Pomeroy area, southeast of Columbus, experienced an earthquake in 1926, and residents in Anna, near Lima in west central Ohio, felt minor quakes in 1930, 1931, and 1937. None of these earthquakes caused widespread damage or devastation. The minor quakes caused shaking buildings, crumbling mortar, and some limited property damage. Impacts were only felt locally; no statewide damages were reported.

#### 2.2.3 Flood

According to the National Weather Service, a flood is defined as an overflow of water onto typically dry land. Riverine or flash flooding can cause the inundation of a normally dry area. Riverine flooding is caused by rising water from a nearby waterway, such as a river, stream, or drainage ditch. Flooding generally occurs subsequent to a meteorological event like substantial precipitation, rapid snowmelt, or extreme wind events along coastal waterways. This type of flooding can last days or weeks.

A flash flood is caused by heavy or excessive rainfall over a short period of time, typically less than six hours. These events are often characterized by raging torrents after heavy rains that impact riverbeds, streets, or low-lying areas and can occur within minutes or hours of excessive

rainfall. Flash flooding can also occur when the ground is too saturated, impervious, or flat to drain rainfall into waterways through storm sewers, ditches, creeks, and streams at the same rate as the precipitation falls. In some flash flood events, storm and/or sanitary sewer infrastructure can become overwhelmed, leading to sewer backup inside of structures.

Karst flooding occurs when the drainage capacity of an underground sinkhole is not adequate enough to transfer storm water runoff to the subsurface and the excess water pushes to the surface. Unlike riverine and flash flooding, this type of flooding occurs in the days and weeks after heavy precipitation events as the rainfall is absorbed into the ground and fills subsurface karst voids. As these voids fill to capacity, the water pushes through to the surface, flooding basements, yards, driveways, and anything else in the way. This type of flooding can only occur in areas with subsurface karst formations, which are not known to exist in Knox County.

Floods are the most common and costly disaster worldwide, resulting in significant loss of life and property. They have a substantial impact on infrastructure, including roadway breeches, bridge washouts, road wash away, and water-covered roadways. Fast-moving floodwater can wash away the surface and sub-surface of roads, creating holes, ruts, and other problems for vehicles. Floodwater that is one foot deep is strong enough to carry vehicles away.

Floodwaters seek the path of least resistance as they travel to lower ground and will seep into any structure in their path. Basements and lower levels of buildings can become inundated with floodwater. Installing sandbags along the exterior of a building can be a temporary stopgap measure but if floodwaters do not recede quickly, the force of the water will move through the sandbags and enter the structure.

The aftereffects of flooding can be just as damaging as the flood itself. Cleanup is often a long, protracted activity with its own set of hazards. Standing flood water can quickly become contaminated with household and industrial chemicals, fuel, and other materials that have leaked into the water. All floodwater is considered contaminated, either from germs and disease or hazardous materials. This creates a hazard for responders and residents throughout the cleanup phase.

#### Flood Risk Assessment

In Knox County, flooding is a moderate risk. It is a countywide hazard that can impact all jurisdictions. The county is susceptible to riverine flooding in some areas, primarily along the Kokosing River in central Knox County, the Mohican River in the northeast portion of the county, and the creeks, streams, and tributaries that feed into these waterways. These areas are somewhat low-lying, which increases their risk for flooding.

Flash flooding is a significantly greater risk for most areas of the county and accounts for more than half of all documented flooding incidents. Jurisdictions are susceptible to flash flooding when municipal stormwater systems are unable to keep pace with rapid precipitation events. This can also occur in rural areas of the county when ditches, creeks, and streams are unable to contain the rapid drainage caused by heavy rainfall. In jurisdictions and unincorporated areas of the county, flash flooding can cause short-term road closures and long-term damage to roadways. Some structures experience minor basement flooding. While it is possible for some homes to experience significant flooding in primary living spaces, this is very rare.

Because of Knox County's rolling topography, surface runoff in agricultural areas is a concern. In flatter areas, field may be tiled to manage surface runoff but this is not an effective practice in areas with rolling topography. Ditches are also used to route runoff water into creeks and streams and contain runoff from roads and other properties. When flooding events occur during the winter, floodwaters tend to be deeper and take longer to drain because the ground is already frozen and cannot absorb floodwater. After heavy precipitation events, some roads are vulnerable to flooding and may be closed briefly until floodwater recedes.

Local flood damage can include damage or destruction of physical structures, infrastructure, crops, and livestock. Residential structural damages could include single and multi-family homes, group living facilities, and multi-family housing complexes. Commercial and industrial structural damages could include buildings used for manufacturing, product handling, transportation, warehousing, retail, business, and industrial, and the capital equipment associated with those uses. Agricultural structures would include barns used for livestock, storage buildings, equipment, and machinery. Government, nonprofit, and educational institutions include critical structures like fire stations, police stations, hospitals, schools, and maintenance buildings; damage could include the physical structure as well as the contents. This damage would result in large amounts of debris to manage. It is unlikely that loss of life would be attributed to flooding. If a death were to occur, it would likely be the result of two or more combined threats, such as lightning, tornado, or driving into standing water.

The vulnerability analysis in the following table is based on HAZUS data simulating a 100-year flood in Knox County.

| Building Type       | Number of Buildings | Exposure        |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Residential         | 5,479               | \$1,511,027,000 |
| Non-Residential     | 1,400               | \$387,995,000   |
| Critical Facilities | 1,059               | \$294,074,000   |
| Totals              | 7,937               | \$2,196,096,000 |

| able 2-20: 100-Yea | ar Flood Scenario | <b>Vulnerability Analysis</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|

#### Floodplain Mapping and National Flood Insurance Program

Knox County's floodplain maps were updated in 2009 as part of FEMA's Map Modernization Program. The current floodplain maps became effective July 7, 2009. The tables below provide information on participation in the National Flood Insurance Program for Knox County communities as identified in FEMA's Community Status Book for Ohio. The communities in table 2-21 participate in NFIP and are considered to be in good standing with the program. Only one community, as identified in table 2-21, is under sanction from NFIP. The villages of Danville and Martinsburg do not currently participate in NFIP because there is no special flood hazard area identified within the village limits.

| Table 2-21: NFIP Participating Communities |                            |                            |                               |                  |  |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Community                                  | Initial FHBM<br>Identified | Initial FIRM<br>Identified | Current Map<br>Effective Date | Reg-Emer<br>Date |  |
| Knox County                                | 01/31/75                   | 07/19/82                   | 07/07/09                      | 10/27/93         |  |
| Centerburg                                 | 05/17/74                   | 01/06/82                   | 07/07/09                      | 01/06/82         |  |
| Fredericktown                              | 04/05/74                   | 02/18/81                   | 07/07/09                      | 02/18/81         |  |
| Gambier                                    | 05/17/74                   | 01/30/81                   | 07/07/09(M)                   | 01/30/81         |  |
| Mount Vernon                               | 05/03/74                   | 08/02/82                   | 07/07/09                      | 08/02/82         |  |

| Table 2-22: NFIP Sanctioned Communities |              |              |                |          |
|---|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------|
| Community                               | Initial FHBM | Initial FIRM | Current Map    | Reg-Emer |
|   | luentineu    | luentineu    | Lifective Date | Date     |
| Gann/Brinkhaven                         |              | 07/07/09     | 07/07/09       | 07/07/10 |

#### Map 2-5: Knox County Floodplain



Knox County Floodplain Area
# Repetitive and Severe Repetitive Loss Structures

Within Knox County, there are three known repetitive loss structure as of August 31, 2018. Locations and recorded loss data are provided in the table below.

| Table 2-23. Repetitive Loss Froperities |               |        |                      |                      |                   |
|---|---------------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Community                               | Occupancy     | Losses | Building<br>Payments | Contents<br>Payments | Total<br>Payments |
| Fredericktown                           | Single Family | 2      | \$7,421.99           | \$1,218.47           | \$8,640.46        |
| Knox County                             | Single Family | 2      | \$31,814.00          | 0                    | \$31,814.00       |
| Mount Vernon                            | Single Family | 2      | \$9,194.61           | 0                    | \$9,194.61        |

## Table 2-23: Repetitive Loss Properties

# Local Flood History

Per NCDC records, Knox County has experienced 9 floods and 19 flash floods since 1950. Property and crop damage from these incidents have been extensive, as identified in table 2-24.

| Hazard      | Incidents | Property Loss | Crop Loss | Deaths | Injuries |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Flood       | 9         | 1.45M         | 0         | 0      | 0        |
| Flash Flood | 19        | 10.82M        | 1.53M     | 0      | 0        |

#### Table 2-24: Knox County Flood History

Of the documented flood incidents in the county, some have been much more significant than others. One such significant event occurred on June 27, 1998 when a line of heavy thunderstorms impacted Knox County. Throughout the afternoon, heavy precipitation caused major flooding in many areas of the county. More than 100 people were evacuated from homes; several had to be rescued from vehicles and buildings as the rapidly rising floodwater caused them to become trapped. Nine bridges and multiple roads were washed out. The storm system dumped a total of ten inches of rain on the area in the course of one day and floodwaters didn't recede for days. Following this incident, Knox County was declared a state and federal disaster area. Total property damages exceeded \$6,000,000 and crop losses reached \$1,500,000.

Another significant flood incident occurred in northeastern Knox County on July 10, 2006. Reported rainfall totals were between 7 and 7.5 inches over the afternoon and evening hours. This heavy rainfall caused the Mohican River and Jelloway Creek to rise rapidly and overflow their banks. Many mobile homes and campers were destroyed and hundreds of people were evacuated from the many campgrounds located along the river. Additional evacuations occurred in Jelloway and Danville. More than 24 roads were closed because of high water, including five that were completely washed out. In total, the flooding caused \$3,500,000 in property damage.

A more recent significant flood incident occurred on July 8, 2013 following a day of heavy rainfall. Flooding was widespread across central and north central Ohio, including areas of Knox, Morrow, Richland, and Seneca Counties. In Knox County, at least ten roads were closed

due to high water. Fredericktown and Danville were the most impacted areas of the county. Camp Kokosing, along the North Branch of the Kokosing River, was inundated with three to five feet of water, causing campers to be moved to higher ground. In all, this incident caused \$200,000 in property damage.

## 2.2.4 Hazardous Materials Incident

A hazardous materials spill or release occurs when a hazardous substance breaches its container. The release can occur during operations at a fixed facility or during ground or rail transportation of the substance. Hazardous substances are stored in numerous types of containers, including drums, cans, jars, pipes, and other vessels. Some releases are incidental and can be safely cleaned up by on-site facility personnel. An incidental release does not threaten the health or safety of the immediate area or community because the spill involves only a small quantity. If the release involves a larger quantity than can be handled by facility personnel and requires action by first responders or agencies outside of the spiller's facility, the incident is considered an emergency response. To protect the community, evacuation from the facility or area surrounding the spill may be necessary.

Every hazardous substance is unique and can have toxic, flammable, explosive, and/or corrosive properties. Each material is assigned a class based on these properties; hazardous materials classifications are described in the table below. When a hazardous substance is released into the environment, it can negatively impact the safety and health of the community by contaminating the air, water, and/or ground.

| Class | Description  |
|-------|--|
| 1     | Explosives   |
| 2     | Gases  |
| 3     | Flammable liquids and combustible liquid                       |
| 4     | Flammable solid, spontaneously combustible, dangerous when wet |
| 5     | Oxidizer and organic peroxide                                  |
| 6     | Poison (toxic) and poison inhalation hazard                    |
| 7     | Radioactive  |
| 8     | Corrosive  |
| 9     | Miscellaneous  |

Traffic accidents on roadways can cause the vehicles carrying hazardous substances to overturn, collide with other vehicles, or ignite and burn. The runoff caused by chemical spills, the vapors created as a chemical dissipates, or the burning of a substance can expose anyone in the immediate vicinity of the incident to extreme danger. Vehicular accidents compound the vulnerabilities of people and the environment to include both traumatic injury due to the crash or kinetics of the incident and the negative effects of absorbing the chemical that is released into the atmosphere.

Injuries from exposure to hazardous substances can involve direct contact with the substance and traumatic injuries from explosions or fires. Most hazardous materials releases involve the

breech of a container or the unintended combining of chemicals. These spills and leaks can occur in businesses, homes, and industries or anywhere else that hazardous substances exist.

There is no unified reporting system for hazardous materials incidents. Industrial spills involving reportable quantities are documented in accordance with state and federal regulations. Smaller spills often go undocumented unless someone is hurt and requires medical attention. Large industrial spills and leaks are investigated by local hazardous materials teams, regulators, and government responders. Spills that occur on highways and railroads become known because local first responders and emergency management officials are involved in responding to the incident. Incidents of non-lethal exposure, such as a small chemical spill in a residence or a broken mercury thermometer, may not even be recognized as an emergency. Individuals do not always know the risks associates with these incidents so they clean up the spill as best they can without any additional reporting.

#### Hazardous Materials Incident Risk Assessment

Knox County has a moderate risk for hazardous materials incidents. Within the county, there are numerous facilities that manufacture, utilize, and/or store hazardous substances. There are also multiple grain and livestock farms that use fertilizers, pesticides, and other agricultural chemicals in the course of production. Individual homes have chemicals and substances used to care for their property, such as fuel and fertilizer. Hazardous substances are transported on county roads and state highways. Populated jurisdictions along these highways are particularly vulnerable to hazardous materials incidents because of their proximity to the major transportation routes on which these substances are carried.

Pipelines are also present throughout Knox County, particularly in the central and southwest portions of the county. Map 2-6 identifies the county's 115 miles of gas transmission pipelines. While it is believed that the pipelines are well maintained, there is always a risk for an incident. First responders participate in training to prepare for these potential responses.

Because of the movement of hazardous materials on different types of transportation systems throughout the county, hazardous materials incidents are a countywide hazard and can affect all areas and jurisdictions.

## Local Hazardous Materials Incident History

Hazardous materials incidents in Knox County are monitored by the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC). Based on these records, the county averages approximately 3.1 hazardous materials incidents per year. The majority of these are minor vehicle incidents involved diesel fuel spills. Table 2-25 identifies the number of incidents per year over the last decade.

| Year | Incidents |
|------|-----------|
| 2010 | 2         |
| 2011 | 5         |
| 2012 | 3         |
| 2013 | 4         |
| 2014 | 3         |
| 2015 | 4         |
| 2016 | 1         |
| 2017 | 1         |
| 2018 | 5         |
| 2019 | 4         |

# Table 2-25: Hazardous Materials Incidents

# 2.2.5 Infrastructure Failure

Infrastructure is defined as the basic physical and organizational structures and facilities that are necessary for the operation of a society. It includes, but is not limited to, buildings, roads, power supplies, water, wastewater, and other utility systems. These essential services, structures, and systems are critical to the function of a community. For the purpose of hazard mitigation, this plan will address these types of infrastructure failure: dams and levees; roads and bridges; and utility systems, including electricity, storm sewers, wastewater, and water treatment/distribution

# 2.2.5 A Dam and Levee Systems

A dam is an artificial barrier built across flowing water. This barrier directs or slows the flow of water and often creates a lake or reservoir. A dam is considered hydrologically significant if it has a height of at least 25 feet from the natural streambed and a storage capacity of at least fifteen acre-feet or an impounding capacity of at least 50 acre-feet and is six feet or more above the natural streambed. Dams are constructed for different purposes, including flood control or water storage for irrigation, water supply, or energy generation. They can be composed of earth, rock, concrete, masonry, timber, or a combination of materials. A low head dam is a manmade obstruction that is built within a waterway spanning from bank to bank. These dams have water flowing across the top of the dam and are typically one to fifteen feet tall. Most low head dams are designed to control upstream water levels; they do not typically provide any flood control function.

Levees are embankments constructed to prevent the overflow of a river and subsequent flooding of the surrounding land. They can be built using earth, rock, or other materials. Levees constructed from concrete or masonry materials are referred to as floodwalls.

Many of the structures classified as dams or levees in Ohio are part of municipal water or wastewater treatment systems. These structures are often referred to as upground reservoirs or lagoons. According to ODNR, an upground reservoir is defined as a reservoir formed by

artificial barriers on two or more sides and which impounds water or liquefied material pumped or otherwise imported from an exterior source. Lagoons are considered upground reservoirs.

Dam failure is defined as the uncontrolled release of the water held back by the structure. Depending on the storage volume of the dam and the types of structures surrounding it, a breach or failure can have a significant or limited impact on the surrounding community. In the most significant dam failure incidents, there can be substantial flooding downstream, damage to property, and loss of life. Potential causes of dam failure include, but are not limited to, substandard construction, geological instability, spillway design error, poor maintenance, internal erosion, and/or extreme inflow.

A levee failure occurs when something about the levee failed to prevent flooding on the land side of the levee. The reasons for levee failure can include erosion and damage from wind and water, the sudden or gradual failure of the levee's foundation, or overtopping of the levee. A levee can also breach if an object hits or falls on the levee (such as a tree or structure) and the force of the object destabilizes the levee, allowing water to flow to the land side of the levee.

The Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) is responsible for determining dam risk through their Dam Safety Program. ODNR classifies dams based on this scale:

| Classification | Description   |
|----------------|---|
| Class I        | High hazard dam; probably loss of life, serious hazard to health, structural    |
|                | damage to high value property (i.e. homes, industries, major public utilities). |
| Class II       | Significant hazard dam; floodwater damage to homes, businesses, industrial      |
|                | structures (no loss of live anticipated), damage to state and interstate        |
|                | highways, railroads; only access to residential areas.                          |
| Class III      | Low hazard dam; damage to low value non-residential structures, local           |
|                | roads, agricultural crops, and livestock.                                       |
| Class IV       | Losses, if any, restricted mainly to the dam.                                   |

# Dam/Levee Failure Risk Assessment

There are 57 dams and 6 levee structures in Knox County. The county's dam inventory includes six Class I structures, seven Class II structures, and four Class III structures. The remaining 40 structures are considered Class IV. Four dam structures are considered low head dams. Many of the Class IV structures function as water retention structures on agriculture ponds, small waterways that hold back a recreational water supply, or are privately owned structures that affect the flow of runoff waters. The complete list of dams and classifications are identified in table 2-26.

| Table 2-26: Knox County Dams |       |                  |                      |     |
|------------------------------|-------|------------------|----------------------|-----|
| Dam                          | Class | Location         | Owner                | EAP |
| Anjecondy Lake Dam           | П     | Liberty Township | Private              | Yes |
| Apple Valley Lake Dam        | I     | Howard Township  | Private, Association | Yes |
| Ashley Lake Dam              | II    | Pike Township    | Private              | Yes |

# 

| Dam                                  | Class | Location            | Owner           | EAP |
|--------------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Balaz Lake Dam                       | IV    | Monroe Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Baldwin Lake Dam                     | IV    | Liberty Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Beam Lake Dam                        | IV    | Clinton Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Bennett Lake Dam                     | IV    | Monroe Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Breslin Lake Dam                     | IV    | Harrison Township   | Private         | N/A |
| Burke Lake Dam                       | IV    | Milford Township    | Private         | N/A |
| CCC Lake Dam                         | IV    | Hilliar Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Cline Lake Dam                       |       | Clinton Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Coxley Lake Dam                      | IV    | Milford Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Ewers Lake Dam                       |       | Middlebury Township | Private         | N/A |
| G. Martin Corporation Dam            | IV    | Milford Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Gambier Fishing Club Lake Dam        | IV    | Harrison Township   | Private         | N/A |
| Golf Course Lake Dam                 | IV    | Monroe Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Hamon Lake Dam                       | IV    | Morris Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Hance Lake Dam                       |       | Liberty Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Henwood Lake Dam                     | IV    | Monroe Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Hillier Lake Dam                     | IV    | Pleasant Township   | Private         | N/A |
| Hipps Lake Dam                       | IV    | Liberty Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Izzard Lake Dam                      | IV    | Morgan Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Kaiser Lake Dam                      | IV    | Morgan Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Knox Cattle Company Dam              | Ι     | Mount Vernon        | Private         | No  |
| Knox County Fairgrounds Lake Dam     | IV    | Morris Township     | Public, Local   | N/A |
| Knox Lake Dam                        | I     | Berlin Township     | Public, State   | Yes |
| Lake Damascus Dam                    | I     | Hilliar Township    | Private         | Yes |
| Lake Ralph Dam                       | II    | Howard Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Lake Viering Dam                     | I     | Pike Township       | Private         | Yes |
| Lakes at Wolf Run Basin A Dam        | IV    | Monroe Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Lakes at Wolf Run Basin B Dam        | IV    | Monroe Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Lakes at Wolf Run Basin C Dam        | IV    | Monroe Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Lakeview Lake Dam                    | IV    | Clay Township       | Private         | N/A |
| Merscher Lake Dam                    | IV    | Harrison Township   | Private         | N/A |
| Mickley Lake Dam                     | IV    | Jefferson Township  | Private         | N/A |
| Miller Lake Dam                      | IV    | Milford Township    | Private         | N/A |
| Miller Pond Dam                      | IV    | Clay Township       | Private         | N/A |
| Millwood Plant Dam                   | II    | Union Township      | Mining          |     |
| Millwood Plant Slurry Impoundment    | IV    | Union Township      | Industrial      | N/A |
| Dam                                  |       |                     |                 |     |
| N. Branch of Kokosing River Lake Dam | I     | Middlebury Township | Public, Federal | Yes |
| Neutralized Water Impoundment 2      | II    | Union Township      | Mining          | Yes |
| Neutralized Water Impoundment 3      | II    | Union Township      | Mining          | Yes |
| Olin Lake Dam                        | IV    | Middlebury Township | Private         | N/A |
| Pipes Lake Dam                       | IV    | Pike Township       | Private         | N/A |
| Reed Lake Dam                        | IV    | Berlin Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Roach Pond Dam                       | IV    | Howard Township     | Private         | N/A |
| Robbins Lake Dam                     |       |                     |                 | N/A |

| Dam                  | Class | Location         | Owner   | EAP |
|----------------------|-------|------------------|---------|-----|
| Schnormeier Lake Dam | IV    | College Township | Private | N/A |
| Simmons Lake Dam     | IV    | Morgan Township  | Private | N/A |
| Tu Pond Dam          | IV    | Howard Township  | Private | N/A |
| Wakatomika Lake Dam  | IV    | Union Township   | Private | N/A |
| Watson Lake Dam      | IV    | Hilliar Township | Private | N/A |
| Wolfe Lake Dam       | IV    | Butler Township  | Private | N/A |
| Workman Lake Dam     | II    | Union Township   | Private | Yes |
| Yoder #2 Dam         | IV    | Brown Township   | Private | N/A |
| Yoder Dam            | IV    | Brown Township   | Private | N/A |
| Zeigler Lake Dam     | IV    | Liberty Township | Private | N/A |

For most of the county's high hazard dams, emergency action plans (EAPs) are current and in place, as indicated in the table below. The exception to this is the Knox Cattle Company Dam in Mount Vernon. While this dam does not have a current EAP, conversations are ongoing between the homeowners' association, Mount Vernon officials, and ODNR to remedy this issue.

Some data regarding the safety of dam infrastructure, including EAPs and inundation maps, cannot be distributed to unauthorized personnel in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 149.433(a). These documents are developed and maintained by the dam owner and kept on file with the county's Emergency Management Agency and the ODNR Dam Safety Program.

While there are no documented incidents of dam failure in Knox County, there have been several dam-related incidents. A list of these incidents, according to the Stanford University National Performance of Dams Program, is provided in table 2-27.

| Structure Name      | Hazard Potential | Incident Date | Incident               | Dam Failure |  |  |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Anjeconda Lake Dam  | Significant      | 03/13/2000    | Inadequate spillway    | No          |  |  |
|                     |                  |               | capacity               |             |  |  |
| Lake Viering Dam    | Significant      | 03/13/2000    | Inadequate spillway    | No          |  |  |
|                     |                  |               | capacity               |             |  |  |
| Ashley Lake Dam     | Significant      | 03/13/2000    | Inadequate spillway    | No          |  |  |
|                     |                  |               | capacity               |             |  |  |
| Knox Lake Dam       | High             | 12/16/1998    | Embankment erosion;    | No          |  |  |
|                     |                  |               | concrete deterioration |             |  |  |
| Knox Cattle Company | High             | 06/27/1998    | Inflow flood –         | No          |  |  |
|                     |                  |               | Hydrologic event       |             |  |  |

## Table 2-27: Dam Incidents

The risk of dam in Knox County is moderate, in part due to the high number of Class I, II, and III dams in the county. While most of the high hazard dams have the necessary emergency action plans in place, there are residential and commercial neighborhoods located in their inundation zones. The dams on Knox Lake and Apple Valley Lake are in highly populated areas, making maintenance of those dams a high priority. The Knox Lake dam is located north of Fredericktown and has several residential neighborhoods within its inundation zone. Because

the dam is well maintained, village officials do not consider it to be a major threat but recognize that continuing maintenance is critical to protecting the surrounding community. The situation is similar for the Apple Valley Lake dam. The community surrounding this dam includes yearround and seasonal residences. The dam is well maintained and considered safe by local officials. The dam on Kokosing Lake, formally known as the N. Branch of Kokosing River Lake Dam, is federally owned and maintained and located northwest of Fredericktown. This rural area does not have many residential structures but there are several businesses and recreational areas, including a disk golf course and campground, that are near the dam and spillway. Like the Knox Lake Dam, maintaining this structure is important but it is known to currently be in good condition. The Lake Damascus Dam in Hilliar Township is owned and maintained by the Damascus Catholic Mission Camp. The dam is located in a rural area north of Centerburg. The camp, which hosts youth camps and adult retreats throughout the year, is the only thing in the dam's inundation zone. The Lake Viering Dam is a class I structure located on Lake Viering, a man-made lake in northeast Pike Township. This dam is privately owned and located in a rural area. There are approximately six residential structures built along the lakeshore. According to EMA records, these dams have the necessary emergency plans in place.

The Class I Knox Cattle Company Dam in Mount Vernon is an area of concern. This dam is located in a residential development on the east side of Mount Vernon and is considered to be in need to some repair and maintenance. Dam ownership is in question, however, as leadership of the homeowner's association has changed over the years. Mount Vernon officials have been working with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources and the homeowner's association for the residential neighborhood to determine financial responsibility for the repairs and more forward with the necessary maintenance.

According to the National Levee Database, there are six identified levee structures in Knox County. These levees are all located in along the Kokosing River in Mount Vernon. The levees are designed to protect the city from flooding on the river. They are locally maintained and considered to be in good repair. Given their location in Mount Vernon, the most populated jurisdiction in the county, there are a number of structures located behind some of the levees. The length of the structures and population and property behind each is identified in the table below. Map 2-5 shows the potential risk zone for each levee, according to the National Levee database. Given the limited number of levees and lack of prior incidents, levee failure is considered a lower risk than dam failure for the county.

| Table 2-20. Knox County Levee Kisk Data |       |            |            |                |  |
|---|-------|------------|------------|----------------|--|
| Structure                               | Miles | Population | Structures | Property Value |  |
| Mount Vernon Levee                      | 0.12  | 0          | 3          | \$3.22M        |  |
| Mount Vernon Levee 2                    | 1.54  | 1,542      | 686        | \$235M         |  |
| Mount Vernon Levee Dry Creek            | 0.86  | 300        | 93         | \$29.5M        |  |
| Mount Vernon Levee Segment #3           | 1.21  | 519        | 40         | \$87.8M        |  |
| Mount Vernon Levee Segment #6           | 0.32  | 1          | 1          | \$219K         |  |
| Mount Vernon Levee Segment #7           | 0.62  | 0          | 0          | 0              |  |

Table 2-28: Knox County Levee Risk Data



Map 2-5: Knox County Levee Structures

# 2.2.5 B Roads and Bridges

Transportation infrastructure is a critical part of any community. The roads, bridges, and associated system components that allow people to travel through the community are critical to commerce and daily life. The maintenance of roads and bridges is shared between multiple government entities, depending on the type of roadway. State highways and interstates are maintained by the Ohio Department of Transportation. County roads are the responsibility of the Knox County Engineer. City and village streets are maintained by municipal street departments. Some townships have road departments while others contract with the county engineer for road maintenance issues. This is especially likely in small jurisdictions that have a limited budget to hire employees or maintain equipment.

## Road and Bridge Failure Risk Assessment

Roads and bridges are critical transportation assets in every community and require continual maintenance and repair. These resources are used heavily by the public and are highly vulnerable to damage from water, wind, and general wear. Weather conditions, standing water, continual freezing and thawing, and the salt and chemicals used to treat roads in winter weather all have a long-term impact on the strength of roadways. As communities grow and

transportation needs change, roads and bridges must be also upgraded to meet changing traffic patterns. The county and municipalities work diligently to maintain their critical transportation assets and provide safe and reliable transportation routes for the public. In most cases, road repair and maintenance accounts for a significant portion of each jurisdiction's annual budget. They also apply for grants and pursue other funding opportunities to fund road maintenance and improvements. Even with these efforts, roadways across the county are always in need to repairs or upgrades that aren't feasible within county or municipal budgets.

#### Local Road and Bridge Failure History

Road maintenance is an ongoing challenge in Knox County. Every jurisdiction has a list of roads and bridges that need to be repaved, repaired, or completely replaced. They address these projects as aggressively as possible, depending on funds. When grants and outside funding sources are available, jurisdictions pursue those programs to continue this work.

#### 2.2.5 C Utility Systems

Utility system is a broad term for the many systems that provide essential services and amenities to the public. This includes water treatment and distribution, wastewater, stormwater, electricity, and natural gas delivery. These systems can be built and maintained by a public entity, usually a jurisdiction or public cooperative, or by private companies. Water, wastewater, and storm water utilities are generally operated by public entities, although privately owned water systems do exist. Electricity in many jurisdictions is provided by private providers; a few municipalities, however, own and operate their own municipal electric system. In rural areas, many homes receive these basic utilities through individual systems, such as water wells and septic systems. Regardless of the type of delivery, utility systems provide critical services to the community. These systems are vulnerable to failure caused by disaster conditions or circumstances that occur independent of any hazard or storm.

## Utility Systems Risk Assessment

Utility systems are vulnerable to failure caused by general system malfunction, poor maintenance, aging system components, and overuse. All utility systems, even those that are well maintained, are susceptible to these issues. Utility systems are incredibly expensive to operate and maintain. As time goes on, they require upgrades and replacement to continue meeting the needs of the public. Community growth and development also dictate expansion needs so the systems can meet increasing demand. Changes in regulations also require systems to be upgraded or modified. All of these actions are extremely expensive. While the cost is initially the responsibility of the jurisdiction or entity that manages the system, the expense is eventually passed on to the user through fees.

Because of the overwhelming expense of maintaining and upgrading these systems, many are not in good repair. Water distribution lines are old and undersized. Wastewater and stormwater systems that were combined when the system was originally built have not been fully separated in spite of regulations requiring separation. Stormwater systems that were adequate 40 years ago are undersized by today's standards and unable to manage the level of precipitation communities experience today. Many electric and natural gas distribution systems have not been upgraded to keep pace with community growth. This is true for infrastructure systems across Knox County.

Infrastructure failure was considered the highest risk across Knox County, particularly for stormwater, wastewater, and water treatment systems. Electrical utilities were also considered a high risk because the population is so dependent on electricity for essential daily functions. Communities recognize how critical these systems are to the public and are working diligently to identify funding to upgrade and maintain their systems. These efforts include borrowing funds, applying for grants, and increasing user fees and any other funding opportunities they can identify. Because every community relies on utility infrastructure for critical services, infrastructure failure is a countywide hazard that can affect all jurisdictions and areas of Knox County.

## Local Utility System Failure History

Knox County has experienced several incidents that had a major impact on utility systems. Several of these occurred as a result of a natural hazard while one was specifically related to the failure of a utility system. On January 5, 2005, Knox County and a significant portion of central and northern Ohio was impacted by a severe ice storm. Thousands of trees and utility poles across the region were covered in ice, damaging electric lines and poles and causing outages that lasted as long ten days in some areas. This extended power outage created significant hardship across the community for businesses and individuals. Business operations came to a halt and people were forced to find shelter somewhere with electricity for several days. This storm caused more than \$6,800,000 in damage in Knox County and \$125,000,000 statewide. While this incident is classified as an ice storm, the power outages were the primary issue that caused hardship and property loss.

Several years later, in September 2008, most of Ohio, including Knox County, was impacted by another major power outage. As the sub-tropical remnants of Hurricane Ike traveled north from the Gulf of Mexico, heavy winds affected significant portions of the Midwest. In Ohio, the sustained 75 mph winds caused an estimated 2.6 million power outages. While some outages were brief, more than 300,000 people were without power for more than a week. Businesses were shut down, leading to significant economic loss. Knox County suffered \$4,000,000 in property loss and \$750,000 in crop damage. Like the 2005 ice storm, this incident is technically considered a natural event but the power outages were the primary source of hardship and damage to the community.

One notable utility failure that was completely independent of a storm event was the Northeast Blackout on August 14, 2003. This widespread power outage affected nearly 45 million people in eight U.S. states plus 10 million people in Canada. The outage was caused by a system failure. In Ohio, more than 500,000 people were without power. The outage area in Ohio included Knox County and many adjacent areas. Businesses were forced to close and people with special medical needs were unable to meet those needs without access to electricity.

#### 2.2.6 Invasive Species

An invasive species is a plant or animal species that is not native to the local ecosystem and whose introduction is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human life. Across the United States, more than 5,000 species are recognized as invasive; these species are classified as terrestrial plants, terrestrial wildlife, insects and diseases, and aquatic species.

Invasive terrestrial plants can displace native species, impact the wildlife that rely on native species as a source of food or shelter, or form monoculture plant communities that reduce biodiversity. While more than 25% of the plant species in Ohio originate from other areas, most are not invasive; fewer than 100 species are actually considered invasive.

Invasive terrestrial wildlife is much less common but can still cause significant damage to natural habitats. Aquatic invasive species are plants and animals that impact the quality of waterways. These can affect large bodies of water, such as Lake Erie and the Ohio River, and much smaller rivers, lakes, and streams. Invasive insects and diseases are insects, fungus, and other small organisms that can negatively impact plants, forests, and the health of wildlife. Table 2-29 identifies the invasive species that have the greatest impact in Ohio.

| Species                     | Туре               |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Asian Carp                  | Aquatic            |
| Curlyleaf Pondweed          | Aquatic            |
| Hydrilla                    | Aquatic            |
| Round Goby                  | Aquatic            |
| Ruffe                       | Aquatic            |
| Red Swamp Crayfish          | Aquatic            |
| Sea Lamprey                 | Aquatic            |
| White Perch                 | Aquatic            |
| Zebra Mussel                | Aquatic            |
| Asian Longhorned Beetle     | Insects & Diseases |
| Emerald Ash Borer           | Insects & Diseases |
| Gypsy Moth                  | Insects & Diseases |
| Hemlock Wooly Adelgid (HWA) | Insects & Diseases |
| Walnut Twig Beetle          | Insects & Diseases |
| Japanese Honeysuckle        | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Japanese Knotweed           | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Autumn-Olive                | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Buckthorns                  | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Purple Loosestrife          | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Common Reed or Phragmites   | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Reed Canary Grass           | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Garlic Mustard              | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Multiflora Rose             | Terrestrial Plant  |
| Bush Honeysuckles           | Terrestrial Plant  |

## Table 2-29: Invasive Species in Ohio

| Species     | Туре                 |
|-------------|----------------------|
| Feral Swine | Terrestrial Wildlife |

#### Invasive Species Risk Assessment

Like most counties in Ohio, Knox County is heavily populated with trees and wooded areas, all of which are vulnerable to damage from invasive species. When trees that are dead or weakened from invasive species fall, they become storm debris and can damage homes, buildings, vehicles, and anything else in their path. Diseased trees also fall into rivers, creeks, and streams, clogging the waterways and impeding drainage and increasing the county's vulnerability to flooding.

The most recent invasive species to impact Ohio, including Knox County, is the Emerald Ash Borer. The county is equally vulnerable to damage caused by other tree-infecting insects. Waterways could also be impacted by invasive plant and animal species. An infestation of any type would cause damage across the county, making invasive species a countywide hazard that can affect all areas and jurisdictions.

The cost to a community from invasive species is difficult to quantify because it comes from the long-term effects and cleanup costs rather than direct property damage. Actions like removing and disposing of diseased trees and vegetation, repairing damage caused by falling trees, cleaning and dredging debris-filled waterways, and repairing infrastructure damaged by the infestation are all costs associated with invasive species. These tasks are extremely expensive and can cost jurisdictions hundreds of thousands of dollars.

#### Local Invasive Species History

Emerald Ash Borer (EAB) is the most recent invasive species to impact Ohio. EAB is an ash-tree killing insect native to Asia that kills trees within three to five years of infestation. It was first discovered in Ohio in 2003. Knox County was not one of the most heavily affected areas of the state but was impacted by the infestation and statewide quarantine on ash wood. The quarantine was lifted in 2011, indicating that the worst of the infestation has passed. While the actual infestation threat has passed, most communities are still dealing with the thousands of dead and diseased trees that have not been removed. It will take individual property owners and communities thousands of dollars and years of time to remove these trees. From a disaster perspective, these weakened trees create an increased risk for property damage from high wind events. Dead and diseased trees are extremely susceptible to wind damage. Along waterways, diseased trees also increase flood risk as they fall and impede drainage.

Other invasive species that are currently under quarantine in parts of Ohio include the Gypsy Moth, Walnut Twig Beetle, and Asian Longhorned Beetle.

Jurisdictions across Knox County have experienced significant effects from the EAB infestation. As diseased trees along rivers and streams have died, they have fallen into waterways, impacting drainage and the flow of water. Diseased trees along the public right-of-way have also impacted infrastructure, as they are more likely to fall during a storm or high wind event. The county engineer and municipal street and road departments have aggressively removed diseased trees along the public right-of-way. This has been effective at reducing the impact on utility lines and other infrastructure but has been a significant financial burden for jurisdictions. Public agencies are also not able to remove trees from private property. Individual landowners are responsible for removing dead and diseased trees from their personal property. Because this does not always occur, there are still thousands of dead and diseased trees that will continue to cause problems across the county.

## 2.2.7 Land Subsidence/Landslide

Land subsidence is the gradual or sudden sinking of the Earth's surface caused by subsurface movement of earth materials. Subsidence is an issue that develops over time. The primary causes are aquifer-system compaction, underground mining, drainage of organic soils, natural compaction, sinkholes, and thawing permafrost. Land subsidence affects more than 17,000 square miles across the United States, an area equivalent to the size of New Hampshire and Vermont. For more than 80% of this area, subsidence is the result of groundwater exploitation and overuse.

Karst is a specific type of topography that can contribute to land subsidence issues. Karst is a landscape shaped by the dissolution of limestone or dolomite layers of bedrock. Surface water percolates through these layers, slowly dissolving the limestone or dolomite and creating voids. The voids may be visible or invisible, depending on their depth. Visible voids can allow surface water to flow directly into the water table. Deeper voids are not visible at the surface. Over time, the water table can change, potentially destabilizing the deeper voids. While karst topography is present in western Ohio, there is no known karst topography in Knox County.

A landslide is defined as the movement of a mass of rock, debris, or earth down a slope. Landslides can occur as a result of geological (weak, weathers, sheared materials), morphological (tectonic shift, thawing, vegetation removal), or human (excavation, deforestation, mining) causes. Water is the primary cause of landslides, generally due to intense rainfall or snowmelt. Seismic activity can also cause landslides.

#### Land Subsidence/Landslide Risk Assessment

The planning team considers land subsidence/landslide a low risk for Knox County. There are no known karst areas in the county and little known risk for sinkholes. The eastern portion of the county near the Mohican River features higher elevations and more rolling terrain than other areas of the county. Landslides are possible although not frequent in this area. The greatest concern identified in planning team meetings was the potential risk from uncapped wells. Over time, many property owners that use private wells as their water source have drilled new wells. Because there is no official process for capping an old well, there is no way to quantify these wells, identify their locations, or determine if they were properly capped. The health department is responsible for testing new wells but has no authority over old wells that are no longer in use. The planning team expressed concern over this issue and the possibility of

substances infiltrating the groundwater through these wells or possibly causing sinkholes or other safety concerns.

#### Local Land Subsidence/Landslide History

Planning team members do not report known incidents involving land subsidence or landslide. While there is some minor risk for land subsidence issues or landslides in certain areas of the county, there is no identifiable history of such incidents.

## 2.2.8 Severe Thunderstorm

A thunderstorm is a local storm produced by a cumulonimbus cloud accompanied by a combination of thunder, lightning, and hail. Lightning is a brief, naturally occurring electrical discharge that occurs between a cloud and the ground. Hail is frozen rain pellets that can damage buildings, vehicles, and other structures as they fall; it forms in the higher clouds and accumulates size as it falls as precipitation. If temperatures close to the ground are warm, the hail can partially melt or become freezing rain. Most thunderstorms include heavy precipitation and wind. These storms can produce hail, lightning, flash floods, tornadoes, and damaging winds that pose significant risk to people and property in the area. A thunderstorm that produces a tornado, winds of 58 mph or greater, and/or hail with a diameter of at least 1", is considered a severe thunderstorm. These storms typically develop as part of a larger storm front and are preceded and followed by regular thunderstorms.

## Severe Thunderstorm Risk Assessment

Thunderstorms occur frequently in Knox County, especially during the spring and summer. In these seasons, heat warms the atmosphere throughout the day, creating an atmosphere ripe for thunderstorms with hail, lightning, heavy rain and wind. Microbursts often include strong straight-line winds that can damage or destroy standing crops and develop quickly with little warning. Most thunderstorms include heavy precipitation, wind, and thunder. Hail and lightning are possible but less frequent. Thunderstorms are a countywide hazard and can affect all areas and jurisdictions. They range from minor to severe, although the most are minor. Thunderstorms are relatively frequent but generally result in limited property damage.

Even when minor, thunderstorms can damage property and infrastructure. Hail typically damages vehicles, roofs, and siding although injuries or loss of life are rare. Thunderstorm winds can damage standing crops, especially those at a vulnerable growth stage. Damage to crops can drastically reduce yields, causing significant or even extreme loss to farmers for that year's crop.

Table 2-30 describes the overall vulnerability of countywide property to worst case severe thunderstorm damage, including hail, wind, heavy precipitation and lightning. Vulnerability estimates were calculated at 25% of the county's property as a worst-plausible case scenario for widespread severe thunderstorm damage. This figure was based on input from the planning team and loss statistics from a variety of past incidents.

\_ . . . . . . .

| Table 2-30: Thunderstorm Scenario Vulnerability Analysis |                     |                 |  |  |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Building Type  | Number of Buildings | Exposure        |  |  |
| Residential  | 4,702               | \$1,269,732,000 |  |  |
| Non-Residential  | 1,153               | \$319,747,000   |  |  |
| <b>Critical Facilities</b>                               | 475                 | \$132,058,000   |  |  |
| Totals   | 6,330               | \$1,748,537,000 |  |  |

-

. . . .

. ...

# Local Severe Thunderstorm History

Thunderstorms are a frequent hazard in Knox County. According to NCDC records, the county has experienced more than 200 incidents since 1950. While thunderstorm occurrences are generally minor and cause little or no damage, a rare serious event can cause significant property damage. Collectively, thunderstorm incidents have caused more than \$5,000,000 in property damage across the county.

| Hazard            | Incidents | Property Loss | Crop Loss | Deaths | Injuries |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Thunderstorm Wind | 208       | \$5.89M       | \$10K     | 1      | 6        |
| Hail              | 73        | \$210K        | 0         | 0      | 0        |
| Lightning         | 2         | \$160K        | 0         | 0      | 0        |

Table 2-31: Knox County Severe Thunderstorm History

While thunderstorms are common in Knox County and rarely cause significant damage, there are exceptions. One such exception occurred on August 26, 2003. In the evening hours, thunderstorm winds estimated to be as high as 70mph blew through Knox County. Trained weather spotters measured a gust of 60mph in Mount Vernon. The storm caused a significant number of trees to fall, more than 100 across the county. Homes in Mount Vernon and Centerburg were also damaged. The county experiences significant power outages and blocked highways due to debris. In total, property damage was estimated at \$300,000.

On the afternoon of June 22, 2006, the central portion of Knox County was impacted by a severe thunderstorm event. In Fredericktown, significant damage to homes and many downed trees were reported; in Mount Vernon, several trees were downed and multiple houses damaged. Several locations in the county experienced downed utility poles and lines. Damages totaled \$175,000 for this event.

The costliest thunderstorm event to impact Knox County occurred on June 29, 2012. During the afternoon, a derecho storm system developed over northern Indiana. As the system moved across central Ohio in the late afternoon, the storms intensified. Widespread damage was reported across much of central Ohio, including Hancock, Wyandot, Marion, and Morrow counties, as well as Columbus and portions of southeast Ohio. In Know County, wind speeds were estimated to be as high as 65mph. More than half of the county's residents lost power at some point during the event. It took several days for power to be restored to all parts of the county. Roads were closed and transportation impacted because hundreds of trees and many utility poles were downed in the storm. Dozens of buildings sustained significant damage, including lost roofing and siding. Property damage in Knox County totaled \$1,400,000; the

county was ultimately included in a federal disaster declaration along with many other Ohio counties.

#### 2.2.9 Tornado/Windstorm

Windstorms can include rotational or straight-line winds and can occur within a larger weather system or as an independent hazard. Rotational winds events are classified as tornadoes or funnel clouds while straight-line wind events are generally identified as windstorms.

A tornado is an intense, rotating column of air in the shape of a funnel or rope whose circulation is present on the ground. If the column of air does not touch the ground, it is referred to as a funnel cloud. Tornadoes usually range from 300 to 2,000 feet wide and form ahead of advancing cold fronts. They tend to move from southwest to northeast because they are most often driven by southwest winds. When a single storm system produces more than one distinct tornado or funnel cloud, it is referred to as a tornado outbreak.

Tornado magnitude is measured using the Enhanced Fujita scale, abbreviated as EF. The ratings range from EF-0 to EF-5 and are based on wind speeds and related damage. The Enhanced Fujita Scale has been used as the official tornado rating scale since 2007. Prior to 2007, tornado severity was rated using the Fujita Scale (abbreviated as F-0 through F-5). The difference between these two rating scales is that the Enhanced Fujita scale bases the rating on wind speed while the earlier Fujita scale is based on the amount of destruction.

The following table is provided by FEMA and indicates the type of damages typically caused by a tornado according to the Enhanced Fujita Scale.

| EF-Scale | Wind Speed    | Typical Damage   |
|----------|---------------|--|
| 0        | 65 – 85 mph   | Light damage. Peels surface off some roofs; some damage to gutters or        |
|          |               | siding; branches broken off trees; shallow-rooted trees pushed over.         |
| 1        | 86 – 110 mph  | Moderate damage. Roofs severely stripped; mobile homes overturned or         |
|          |               | badly damaged; loss of exterior doors; windows and other glass broken.       |
| 2        | 111 – 135 mph | Considerable damage. Roofs torn off well-constructed houses; foundations     |
|          |               | of frame homes shifted; mobile homes completely destroyed; large trees       |
|          |               | snapped or uprooted.   |
| 3        | 136 – 165 mph | Severe damage. Entire stories of well-constructed houses destroyed; severe   |
|          |               | damage to large buildings such as shopping malls; trees debarked; heavy      |
|          |               | cars lifted off the ground and thrown.                                       |
| 4        | 166 – 200 mph | Devastating damage. Whole frame and well-constructed houses completely       |
|          |               | leveled; cars thrown and small missiles generated.                           |
| 5        | >200 mph      | Incredible damage. Strong frame houses leveled off foundations and swept     |
|          |               | away; automobile-sized missiles fly through the air in excess of 100 meters; |
|          |               | high-rise buildings have significant structural damage.                      |
| No       |               | Inconceivable damage. Should a tornado with the maximum wind speed in        |
| rating   |               | excess of EF-5 occur, the extent and types of damage may not be conceived.   |

A windstorm is a weather event with very strong winds but little to no precipitation. Sustained wind speeds in a windstorm can reach at least 34 mph with gusts significantly higher. Regardless of wind speed, any wind event that causes property damage can be considered a windstorm.

A derecho is a widespread, long-lived windstorm. It is often associated with bands of rapidly moving thunderstorms. This type of storm can produce damaging straight-line winds over extremely large areas, sometimes spanning hundreds of miles. To be classified as a derecho, the storm must produce damage over at least 250 miles, have wind gusts of at least 58 mph across most of the storm's length, and multiple gusts of 75 mph or greater. The destruction produced by a derecho can be very similar to that of a tornado but generally occurs in one direction along a straight path.

## Tornado/Windstorm Risk Assessment

In Ohio, tornadoes are typically narrow and do not reach width of the mega-tornadoes that occur in the Great Plains and southern states. Locally, tornadoes are typically 25-500 yards wide and stay on the ground for a few miles. Ohio ranks among the top twenty states in injuries, fatalities, and property damage from tornado events. Tornadoes are not a frequent occurrence in Knox County but can have a significant impact when they do occur. The magnitude of past tornadoes has ranged from F/EF0 to F/EF2. Tornadoes are a countywide hazard and can affect all areas and jurisdictions.

The lightly rolling topography of central Ohio is vulnerable to damage from high wind incidents, making windstorms a countywide hazard that can affect all areas and jurisdictions. Most severe wind events are part of larger storm systems that typically include heavy rain, hail, ice, snow, or thunderstorms. Extreme winds can also occur independent of other hazards.

Property damage from tornadoes and windstorms can include damaged roofs, gutters, downspouts, and trees. Outbuildings, barns, and storage buildings are at risk for damage because these structures are less resistant to wind damage and are frequently built on concrete slabs or dirt foundations. Damage to agriculture during the growing season when fields are planted is also a risk. High winds can damage crops and reduce yields, leaving a negative impact on the local economy.

Most residential structures in the county are constructed from wood, concrete, brick, or stone. Older homes typically have limestone or other masonry materials and are built on traditional foundations with basements or crawl spaces. Newer residential structures are often built on concrete slabs with no basement. These homes are prone to superficial damage, roof damage, and falling trees during wind events. Mobile homes are more vulnerable to wind damage because they are less secured to the ground that buildings with foundations and are constructed of less wind-resistant material than traditionally built homes.

Table 2-32 describes the overall vulnerability of countywide property to worst case tornado and wind damage. Vulnerability estimates were calculated at 10% of the county's property as a

worst-plausible case scenario for widespread tornado or windstorm damage. This number was based on input from the planning team and loss statistics from a variety of past incidents.

| ble 2-52: Tornado/ Windstorm Scenario Vulnerability Analysis |                     |                 |  |  |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Building Type  | Number of Buildings | Exposure        |  |  |
| Residential  | 2,181               | \$778,039,000   |  |  |
| Non-Residential  | 692                 | \$191,849,000   |  |  |
| Critical Facilities  | 285                 | \$79,234,000    |  |  |
| Totals   | 2,798               | \$1,049,122,000 |  |  |

# Table 2-32: Tornado/Windstorm Scenario Vulnerability Analysis

# Local Tornado/Windstorm History

Knox County has experienced 11 tornadoes according to NCDC records. Most of these have resulted in limited property damage. The county's 31 high wind events have caused considerably more property and crop damage.

| Table 2-33: Knox County Tornado/Windstorm History |
|---|
|---|

| Hazard    | Incidents | Property Loss | Crop Loss | Deaths | Injuries |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Tornado   | 11        | \$1.54M       | \$35K     | 0      | 17       |
| High Wind | 31        | \$5.73M       | \$760K    | 0      | 0        |

The following map identifies the location of tornado incidents in Knox County.



## Map 2-6: Tornado History

Two of the strongest tornadoes to impact Knox County occurred in 1982. On March 31, 1982, an F2 tornado touched down in Mount Vernon. The storm destroyed four homes and heavily damaged seven; total damages were \$250,000. Four people also received minor injuries. Just

three days later, another F2 tornado touched down in Fredericktown. This storm caused another \$250,000 in damages, destroying two mobile homes and one frame home and damaging several other buildings. Nine people reported minor injuries from the tornado.

The most recent tornado in Knox County occurred on August 3, 2006. During the late afternoon, a F0 tornado touched down on the west side of Mount Vernon along State Route 13. Four businesses, including a lumberyard and car dealership, were damaged. At the car dealership, one employee sustained minor injuries and flying debris damaged at least a dozen vehicles. According to witnesses, the tornado was on the ground for several hundred feet and created a damage path approximately 50 feet wide. Total property damage from the incident was \$325,000.

The most severe non-tornado wind event to impact Knox County occurred on September 14, 2008. On that day, the remnants of Hurricane Ike moved northeast from Missouri across the Midwest and Ohio. In the afternoon hours, wind gusts as high as 70mph occurred in many areas of Ohio. Knox County suffered extensive property damage as thousands of trees and utility poles were downed by the extreme wind. Property damage to hundreds of homes ranges from a few missing shingles to major structural damage. Falling tree limbs and debris also damaged a significant number of vehicles. Across the county, roads and streets were closed because of downed trees and utility poles, making travel difficult for several days. Widespread power outages impacted much of the county, with some residents without power as long as ten days. Schools and universities were forced to close because of power outages and property damage. Because the storm occurred in the early fall before fields had been harvested, farmers suffered significant damage to their crops. Corn yields were reduced by three to five percent in many areas; soybean crop yields also suffered, but not as significantly as corn crops. In all, Knox County suffered \$4,000,000 in property damage and \$750,000 in crop damage. Across all of northern Ohio, damages exceeded \$300,000,000 from this event. Knox and many other counties received a federal disaster declaration to assist in recovery from this storm.

## 2.2.10 Water Quality Emergency

Water quality refers to the chemical, physical, biological, and radiological characteristics of water. It is a measure of the water relative to the requirements of one or more biotic species and human need or purpose. A water quality emergency occurs when the quality of water available for human consumption is compromised. In recent years, water quality has become a growing concern in Ohio as Lake Erie and its associated rivers and streams have been affected. Several areas of the state have also experienced water quality issues in inland lakes and reservoirs, making water quality a growing concern. Algal blooms are one of the more common causes of water quality issues. Algal blooms occur when colonies of algae grow out of control and produce toxic harmful effects on people and animals. In Lake Erie, high phosphorous levels caused by runoff are considered a contributing factor to these harmful algal blooms. Some algal blooms produce microcystin, which is a poisonous bacterium that can sicken or kill people, fish, birds, and other animals. When microcystin or other toxins infiltrate a public water supply, the water becomes contaminated and unsafe for consumption. These incidents can have a drastic human and economic toll on the affected area.

In addition to harmful algal bloom risk, water treatment and distribution systems are susceptible to infrastructure failure. This can include anything from long-term lack of repair, maintenance and/or upgrade to contamination from lead pipes and other substances.

## Water Quality Emergency Risk Assessment

Because a water quality emergency can occur in any source body of water or water treatment facility, water quality is a countywide hazard that can affect all areas and jurisdictions. When water guality is compromised, risks to the community include public health and the economy. From a public health perspective, contaminated water can cause serious illness when consumed. Persons with special medical needs, compromised immune systems, the elderly, and children are most susceptible to this. Animals, including family pets and livestock, are also susceptible to illness from contaminated water. If the water supply is contaminated, residents lose access to drinking water in their homes; the many businesses that use water in their regular operations are forced to close until water service is restored. Retail and service businesses may be affected if the public travels to other communities for shopping and food service needs during the emergency. This revenue loss, even if only for a short duration, can have a significant economic impact. Businesses lose critical revenue which quickly leads to reduced wages for employees. The longer the emergency lasts, the more significant the economic impact. Any compromise in the water supply also affects the public's trust of government officials. If the public is concerned about the safety of their water and doesn't feel local officials are fully communicating about the issue, they may question the information provided by local officials.

To protect the community's water supply, jurisdictions must continually monitor, repair, and upgrade water treatment infrastructure. Because this is costly, jurisdictions must plan and budget for it. If the infrastructure is not well maintained and emergency work is necessary when a water quality emergency occurs, the economic cost is higher than if work is completed as part of ongoing maintenance and upgrades. In addition to the direct economic loss, the jurisdiction must immediately identify funds to make the repairs. These costs are often recouped through increases in the fees charged to consumers, ultimately costing residents more money through water rates, user fees, and local taxes.

## Local Water Quality Emergency History

The most significant water quality emergency in Ohio occurred on August 3, 2014. While this incident had no direct impact on Knox County, it garnered national attention and forced municipalities statewide to consider the impact a similar situation would have on their community. On August 3, the water treatment plant for the city of Toledo in northwest Ohio detected microcystin from a toxic algal bloom in their water supply and declared the water unsafe to drink. The Toledo water system supplies municipal water to approximately 400,000 people in the northwest Ohio region. Local emergency management and government officials rushed to provide drinking water to the affected communities. Within hours, stores across the region sold out of bottled water as residents traveled across the region to purchase critical water supplies. Restaurants and food service businesses were forced to close until safe water could be provided and hospitals experienced a surge of patients who believed they were ill

from consuming contaminated water. Within three days, Toledo's water was declared safe to drink but the economic and political ramifications lasted much longer. More than five years later, municipal governments in the region are still working to address critical improvements to water treatment infrastructure and identifying alternate water sources.

Communities in Knox County have not experienced a similar water crisis but there is concern about protecting the local water supply. Most communities use groundwater for their public water systems, as do the many homes with private wells. This water is susceptible to surface contamination. In other areas of Ohio, inland lakes and reservoirs have experienced toxic algal blooms and other water quality issues. Knox County has several inland recreational lakes that could be impacted by a similar situation. Throughout Ohio, research is underway to determine the root cause of the increase in toxic algal blooms and other water quality issues and identify actions that can be taken to reduce their occurrence.

#### 2.2.11 Winter Storm

A winter storm is a weather event that includes several winter weather hazards and can develop anytime between late fall and early spring. These storms can include any combination of extremely cold temperatures, wind, snowfall, sleet, ice, rain, or freezing rain. These severe winter storms are frequent in Ohio but the specific components of each storm depend on the weather conditions at the time. Winter temperatures can be mild and relatively warm (above freezing), or they can fall below zero and stay there for several days. A winter season may include several fluctuations between cold and warm spells or be relatively constant.

A blizzard is a specific type of winter storm characterized by sustained winds or frequent gusts of 35 mph or greater and falling or blowing snow that reduces visibility to less than ¼ mile; both of these conditions must be present for at least three hours to be considered a blizzard.

A non-blizzard severe winter storm often begins with warmer air followed by very cold temperatures and heavy precipitation. An initial blast of warm air can cause temperatures to hover at the freezing point as precipitation falls, causing ¼ "to ½" ice (or more) to form on roads, trees, electrical lines, gutters and roofs, and vegetation. The precipitation starts out as freezing rain and/or sleet and, as the temperatures drop, turns to snow that adheres to the ice and forms heavy clumps that bring down power lines and trees. As the storm system moves through and winds kick up, temperatures drop and the heavy falling snow drifts across roads, ice damages trees and buildings, and road conditions becomes treacherous. This type of storm can drop several inches of heavy, wet snow across the county.

Another type of severe winter storm that can affect Ohio begins with extremely cold weather (below 10 degrees Fahrenheit) and heavy snowfall, high winds, and extreme cold. A severe storm of this nature would likely pack sustained winds of 15-25 miles per hour, over ten inches of snow, and temperatures below ten degrees Fahrenheit for more than 24 hours. This kind of storm can easily deposit a foot or more of snow and disrupt daily activities for several days. Because the ice is not part of this kind of storm, damages are generally less as power lines are not destroyed and structural damage is not severe. However, the amount of snow is challenging

in light of the extreme low temperatures. The snow tends to be fluffy and creates deep snowdrifts and blocks roads.

Ice storms are another type of winter storm event that can impact the area. An ice storm occurs when damaging ice accumulations occur during freezing rain situations. The accumulated ice can cause trees and utility lines to come down, resulting in loss of utilities and communications systems. As ice accumulates on roadways, travel also becomes dangerous. A significant ice accumulation is considered anything ¼ "or more.

#### Winter Storm Risk Assessment

Severe winter weather is a risk across all of Ohio. Winter storms range from short, mild bursts of snow and ice to multi-day events incidents with significant snowfall. In Knox County, winter storms are a countywide hazard and can affect all areas and jurisdictions.

Winter storms often include multiple hazards, such as ice and snow. Ice accumulates as temperatures fall then turns to snow, creating a dangerous layer of snow-covered ice, increasing the potential for vehicular accidents. Road crews work continuously to clear roadways. Occasionally, ice storms occur independent of other winter weather hazards. Although rare, when this occurs it can have a significant negative effect on the community. Power outages are a frequent outcome of ice storms when precipitation accumulates on trees and power lines causing them to break. Extremely cold temperatures can also occur without other accompanying winter weather hazards, although this is infrequent. These incidents are typically very short, lasting only a day or two, and are an inconvenience to residents and businesses more than the direct cause of property loss.

The greatest risk from winter storms is the loss of utilities. Power outages can occur during ice storms or winter storms that include significant wind or snowfall. Because most electric lines are above ground, they are vulnerable to damage from wind and ice. While many electric providers have improved their distribution systems in recent years and new construction generally includes underground utilities, the main transmission lines are still above ground and vulnerable to weather-related damage. In spite of this, power outages are infrequent and generally not widespread outside of an extreme ice event.

Anticipated losses from winter storms include content loss, such as food and perishables due to power interruptions, and minor economic loss due to short-term business closures. Except for the extreme but rare blizzard, loss of residential and commercial structures or infrastructure is not expected. Most winter storms are a short-term inconvenience that make residents uncomfortable but last for a few hours up to several days. Casualties are extremely rare, with the exception of traffic accidents resulting from dangerous road conditions.

Table 2-34 describes the overall vulnerability of countywide property to worst case winter storm damage. Vulnerability estimates were calculated at 2% of the county's property as a worst-plausible case scenario for widespread winter storm damage. This number was based on input from the planning team and loss statistics from past incidents.

| Building Type       | Number of Buildings | Exposure      |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Residential         | 376                 | \$109,739,000 |
| Non-Residential     | 92                  | \$25,580,000  |
| Critical Facilities | 38                  | \$10,565,000  |
| Totals              | 506                 | \$139,884,000 |

| Table 2-34: Winter Storm | Scenario <b>\</b> | Vulnerability | Analysis |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|

## Local Winter Storm History

Knox County has experienced 19 winter storm-related incidents since 1950, according to records maintained by NCDC. While most of these incidents have been minor, some have caused significant property loss.

| Hazard                  | Incidents | Property Loss | Crop Loss | Deaths | Injuries |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Blizzard                | 0         | 0             | 0         | 0      | 0        |
| Extreme Cold/Wind Chill | 5         | 0             | 0         | 0      | 0        |
| Ice Storm               | 3         | \$6.87M       | 0         | 0      | 0        |
| Winter Storm            | 19        | \$757.89M     | 0         | 0      | 2        |

Table 2-35: Knox County Winter Storm History

One of the more costly and disruptive winter storms to impact Knox County occurred on December 22, 2004 when a winter storm dropped eight inches of snow, one inch of ice, and freezing rain on Knox and surrounding counties. Because of the significant ice accumulation on roads and power lines, widespread power outages were reported. Travel was nearly impossible for several days after the storm because of the downed trees and lines. The snow and ice caused treacherous road conditions, leading to hundreds of accidents. Because the storm occurred only a few days before the Christmas holiday, the disruption to businesses and residents was significant. In total, the county suffered \$5,400,000 in property damage from the storm.

Just two weeks after the Christmas 2004 storm, Knox County was again impacted by a significant winter weather event. A prolonged period of freezing rain caused significant ice accumulation between the early morning hours of January 5, 2005 and the morning of January 6. By mid-day, temperatures warmed enough to turn the precipitation back into rain, but significant damage had already been done. Widespread power outages were reported after ice accumulated on utility lines. Some areas experienced basement flooding when sump pumps could not function due to loss of power. Across the state, clean up and repair costs soared into the millions. Most counties impacted by the event experienced damages greater than \$1,000,000; some counties exceeded \$10,000,000, making the damages from this event among the highest for any natural disaster ever recorded in Ohio. In Knox County, damages exceed \$6,800,000.

Much of the county experienced extreme cold and snow in the winter of 2014. Knox County was no exception. Along with most of Ohio, Knox County suffered through one of the coldest

and snowiest winters the state had experienced in several decades. The first extreme cold snap of the season occurred on January 6, 2014 and lasted for several days. Knox County Airport reported a low temperature of -11°F on both January 6 and 7. The cold front was accompanied by wind speeds of 25 to 35mph and gusts up to 45mph. By mid-day on January 6, wind chills dipped as low as -25°F and stated at or below that level for 24 hours. All local schools and some businesses were closed both days. The county again experienced an extreme cold snap on January 28, 2014. Wind chills dropped as low as -30°F during the early morning hours. Low temperatures in the region tied previous lows set in 1977. By late morning, temperatures in most areas had recovered to the low single digits. As with earlier in the month, most local schools were closed on January 28 and 29 as well. While property loss is not associated with this event, the economic impact was significant as businesses were forced to close for several days throughout the event.

# 2.3 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

This section addresses each jurisdiction's vulnerability to the identified hazards. Information for this section was provided through direct feedback from planning team members and research.

# 2.3.1 Knox County

Knox County stakeholders identified infrastructure failure as their hazard of primary concern. People across Knox County rely on critical infrastructure, including roads and bridges and utility systems, for daily life. If any of these services are compromised or unavailable, the impact on the community will be felt immediately. Utility infrastructure includes water, wastewater, and stormwater systems as well as electricity and natural gas. Most water, wastewater, and stormwater utilities are provided through municipal systems. Electricity and natural gas systems are managed and maintained by private companies. In unincorporated areas, many homes utilize water wells and individual septic systems for water and wastewater. Because of the significant expense to maintain and improve these systems, many communities struggle to keep pace with community growth and evolving regulatory requirements. The same challenge applies to transportation infrastructure. Roads and bridges are maintained by a combination of state, county, and municipal government entities. These organizations continually work to improve roadways and perform necessary maintenance but keeping up with the damage caused by general use and natural hazards is difficult. Dams are a specific type of infrastructure that are a concern for Knox County. Across the county, there are 57 dams. While many of these are class IV dams that pose little or no risk to the community, there are numerous Class I and II dams that are a more significant hazard. These dams are maintained by the owners, which include state and federal agencies as well as private owners. Maintenance of these class I and II structures is critical because many are located in populated areas. These adjacent communities could be at risk in a major dam failure. While none of these dams have failed in the past, it is a concern for the county.

Flooding was rated as the county's second most concerning hazard. Knox County is part of the Muskingum River Watershed; water in the county ultimately flows south to the Ohio River. The watershed begins in Morrow County as the North Branch of the Kokosing River and winds into Knox County, through Fredericktown, and into Mount Vernon where it joins the Kokosing River. The Kokosing then winds to the southeast through Gambier and into Coshocton County. The Mohican River winds from north to south on the eastern boundary of Knox County. The Kokosing and the Mohican join just east of Knox County to form the Walhonding River, a major tributary of the Mohican River.

While Knox County is vulnerable to flash and riverine flooding, flash flooding is considered a much greater threat. Developed areas, including Mount Vernon and Apple Valley, can experience significant short-term flash flooding in low lying areas because storm sewers are not sufficient to manage runoff as quickly as precipitation falls. As these communities have experienced residential and commercial development, this issue has continued. Several villages, including Fredericktown and Gambier, can also experience these flash flooding incidents. Floodwaters generally drain within a few hours of the precipitation event but the long-term

damage to streets, roads, and infrastructure is a financial hardship for the county and each impacted jurisdiction. Because of the county's rolling topography, flash flooding can also occur in low-lying areas in the unincorporated parts of the county. These areas feature farmland and natural habitat areas so there is little direct impact on structures and buildings. Roadways can become flooded but this is typically very short lived, with water draining within several hours of the event.

The risk of riverine flooding that interferes with threatens life and property across Knox County is moderate. When rivers and streams do leave their banks, the flooding is generally short lived. Structures are rarely impacted but roadways, including several key state highways, can be closed temporarily. These road closures create significant hardship for residents in the more remote areas of Knox County who travel to Mount Vernon and other cities for work, school, and business purposes.

Knox County is vulnerable to severe storms, including tornado/wind events, severe thunderstorms, and winter storms. Wind and thunderstorm incidents occur multiple times per year but are rarely severe and cause only limited damage. Tornadoes can be extremely damaging but are very rare. Winter storms also occur at least once per year but are generally brief and cause minor inconvenience rather than significant property damage or injury and loss of life. In the most serious incidents, wind from any of these storms can damage structures, cars, trees, and utility poles. Debris can become a challenge that requires coordination among multiple agencies to manage. Small and narrow county roads can be blocked by debris. Bridges and culverts that are old or in ill repair, or that are simply low-lying in a riverine setting, can be washed out or compromised easily. The greatest risk to residents, however, is the power outages that often follow these storms. Brief power outages of several hours are manageable but outages that last overnight or for several days can be a huge challenge. People depend on power for basic services, healthcare, and critical communications. In adverse weather conditions, including heat and cold weather extremes, people are more vulnerable from lack of power.

Hazardous materials were identified as a risk because of the number of roadways that traverse Knox County, including multiple state highways. Commercial vehicles frequently travel through the area on state routes 13, 36, 62, 229, and others. Many of these vehicles transport hazardous materials either through the county or to and from the industrial facilities located within Knox County. Several pipelines also traverse the county, primarily for gas transmission. Exposure to hazardous materials via rail is limited because there is only one rail line in the county.

Drought and extreme heat rated seventh on the list of Knox County hazards. While droughts have occurred in the past, they are generally rare events. The most significant impact in a drought is on the county's agricultural industry. Crop yields can be greatly reduced during any given growing season under drought conditions. While many farmers purchase crop insurance, this can only somewhat limit the financial impact of a drought. Livestock operations are also impacted during a drought when the price of grain and feed increases. In the most extreme and

prolonged drought conditions, adequate water supply could become an issue. However, because groundwater is the primary water source for communities across Knox County, it would take a drought of extreme significance for this to become a concern. Excessive heat, while rare, is also a concern due to the impact on the human and animal population. Heat adversely affects the elderly, young children, and people with medical conditions. If a power outage were to occur during an extreme heat event, additional resources would likely be necessary to support these populations for the duration of the event.

Knox County has not been impacted by invasive species with much frequency but the hazard was identified as a concern because of the potential impact on trees and vegetation. As occurred with the Emerald Ash Borer infestation in the 2010s, dead and diseased trees pose a huge challenge for local governments and property owners. As these trees are weakened by the disease, they are more vulnerable to wind damage and significantly increase the debris field and clog waterways during wind and flood events. Knox County has many wooded and tree covered areas so another infestation could be devastating to the county's natural habitats.

Water quality was ranked ninth on the list of county hazards. The planning team felt that the county's water supply was plentiful and safe. Groundwater is the primary source for water in the county, both for public water systems and private wells. The planning team reported no prior issues with quality of the water, although they recognized that this is a growing issue across the state and could become a more significant concern in the future.

Land subsidence/landslide and earthquake were rated as the lowest priority hazards and are considered minimal risks in the county. The county has a few isolated areas along the Mohican River on the edge of the county that could experience minor landslides. This area is steeper and has more rolling topography than the rest of the county. Landslides are possible in this area but there is no known history of their occurrence. The county has no known karst structures. There was some discussion among the planning team about concern over old water wells that may not have been properly capped when taken out of service. The quantity and location of these wells are unknown but they are considered a minor risk. Earthquake is considered a very low risk. There is no history of earthquake occurrence in the county. Underground utilities and infrastructure would be the greatest risk should an earthquake of any significant magnitude occur.

## 2.3.2 Centerburg

Centerburg is located in the far southwest corner of Knox County. Because of its proximity to the greater Columbus region, Centerburg and the surrounding townships are experiencing more rapid development than other areas of Knox County. In part due to this development, Centerburg officials identified infrastructure failure as their top concern. Like many small jurisdictions, Centerburg is continually working to maintain roadways and public utilities with limited resources. Officials are considering a stormwater utility to fund maintenance and improvements to that system. Water treatment and distribution has recently been transitioned to Del-Co Water after being managed directly by the village for many years. Stormwater is an ongoing issue as the village attempts to keep pace with community growth and as precipitation

events bring more rainfall over a shorter period of time. The village's stormwater system needs to be expanded to accommodate increased precipitation and additional structures but the cost of this project presents a financial challenge to the village. Transportation infrastructure is also a concern. State Route 36 runs through Centerburg; as the primary route between Mount Vernon and Columbus, this road is heavily traveled by passenger vehicles and commercial traffic. The village works diligently to maintain roads and bridges but as the community grows, this will become a greater strain on the local budget. According to the village's 2018 street inventory, 30% of village roadways are in poor condition. This is a significant financial challenge for the village as they have a limited budget to dedicate to street maintenance and replacement. There are no dams or levees within Centerburg.

Flooding was identified as the village's second concern. According to local officials, flooding incidents have increased in the last five to ten years. Flash flooding is the primary concern as streets and storm sewers become overwhelmed in excessive, rapid rainfall. While roads are generally only impacted for a few hours, this creates travel challenges for residents and causes excessive wear and tear on roadways. Basement flooding is very common across the village in these events. A very limited number of residential structures experience flooding in the living areas. These structures are located in the floodplain but are thought to no longer have active flood insurance policies. Riverine flooding can be an issue in prolonged precipitation events but this is very rare.

Tornado and wind events were ranked as the village's third hazard. Centerburg has significant tree cover and is therefore vulnerable to damage from straight-line and rotational winds. While the village works diligently to maintain trees on public property and encourages residents to do the same on private property, downed trees are still a significant risk. Limbs and branches can damage structures or vehicles and break utility lines. If this causes a widespread or prolonged power outage, the village will be challenged to meet the needs of residents. AEP, the village's electric provider, has trimmed or removed many trees and stabilized the village's power. In a serious wind event that impacts the greater region, the village is still likely to experience an outage that could take several days to restore.

As with wind events, the potential impact on the power supply is the primary concern for severe thunderstorms and winter storms. These hazards were rated as Centerburg's fourth and fifth hazards, respectively. Severe thunderstorms occur somewhat frequently during the year but are generally minor. In the event of a serious storm, the impact on the community can be just as severe as a tornado event, including widespread power outages. In winter storms, ice is the greatest concern. Snowfall is an inconvenience to residents and businesses but is generally only a problem for a brief period of time. If a winter storm involves ice, however, power outages are a significant concern.

Centerburg officials considered drought/extreme heat and invasive species to be minor risks for the village. Drought is extremely rare and generally does not directly damage structures. Limitations on the water supply and impact on residents are the greatest concern. The risk from

invasive species is primarily from long-term damage to trees and vegetation. Dead or diseased trees are more vulnerable to wind damage and can clog waterways, increasing flooding.

Water quality was considered a minor hazard for the village. Centerburg recently transitioned their water treatment and distribution process to Del-Co Water, a large regional water provider. Combining the water system with a larger organization will make maintenance and system upgrades more efficient and affordable. Village officials report no prior incidents of compromised water quality although they recognize the potential for this issue to occur and the impact it would have on the community.

Hazardous materials spills are a concern for Centerburg given the increasing amount of commercial and passenger traffic that travels through the village on a daily basis. Many of the commercial vehicles carry some type of hazardous substance. Because State Route 36 runs through the center of the village, the village would be directly impacted in an accident. Despite the level of risk, this has not happened frequently in the past.

Along with the rest of the county, land subsidence/landslide and earthquake were considered minimal risks for the village.

#### 2.3.3 Danville

Danville is a rural community in the northeast quadrant of Knox County. The East Branch of Jelloway Creek runs through town. Local officials identified infrastructure failure as their primary concern. The village maintains public utilities for water, wastewater, and stormwater. Some areas of town experience a significant number of water line breaks; the lines are old and should be upgraded but this project is cost-prohibitive for the village without external funding support. Danville recently mapped all of the wastewater system lines as an initial step to upgrading the system. The storm sewer system does not cover the entire village and should be expanded. Power outages are another utility concern. Upgrades to the village's power system in recent years have reduced outages. While local officials feel their electric utility is strong, an outage that impacts all or most of the village for several days is a significant concern. Loss of power would disrupt communication, worsen medical conditions of residents, and create significant hardship across the community. Transportation infrastructure is also a concern for village officials. Roads and bridges are subject to ongoing damage and wear from the effects of water and heavy use. Maintaining and upgrading roadways as needed is a challenge with the village's limited financial resources. There are no dams or levees in Danville although there are several in the vicinity of the village.

Flooding is another top concern for local officials. The village is susceptible to riverine flooding when Jelloway Creek leaves its banks and flash flooding during heavy precipitation events. Flash flooding is more common than riverine flooding and contributes to more damage to buildings and infrastructure. While there are no dams within Danville's village limits, the dams downstream in Coshocton County can cause water to back up towards Knox County. In extreme flood events, these floodwaters can backup all the way to Danville and block State Route 62, the primary transportation route through the village.

Tornado/windstorm, severe thunderstorm, and winter storm were ranked as the next most concerning hazards. The common thread between these incidents is the risk to Danville's power supply. Any high wind event that disrupts electricity will cause hardship for residents and businesses in Danville. Tornadoes are not a frequent occurrence but officials report that independent high wind events have increased in recent years. Thunderstorms occur frequently but are generally mild. The rare extremely severe thunderstorm can cause significant damage to structures and vehicles throughout the village. Winter storms are generally a temporary inconvenience. The exception to this is when a winter storm involves ice. Ice storms can cause significant property damage and lead to major power outages. If power restoration takes several days, which is not uncommon for rural communities if the event is widespread, residents will require assistance with sheltering and basic needs for the duration of the outage.

Hazardous materials are a concern because of the commercial traffic that travels through Danville on State Route 62. Many of these commercial vehicles haul diesel fuel and other hazardous substances. As the highway turns through town, the risk of an accident and spill increases.

Like the rest of Knox County, Danville considers drought/extreme heat to be a minor risk. While droughts can occur and have in the past, they are very infrequent. When they do occur, their first impact is the agriculture industry as crop yields are reduced. Within the village, the impact would be less direct. Residents, especially those with medical needs, could suffer during extreme heat but it is unlikely that property damage would be incurred.

The risk from invasive species relates to the impact on trees and vegetation. If any species were to damage trees, those trees would be more likely to fall during storms and wind events. This increases the village's risk for property damage and clogs streams and waterways, which contributes to increased flooding. Dead and diseased trees are costly to remove so any significant tree damage would strain the village's finances.

Danville officials consider water quality to be a minor risk. The village's water treatment system is in need of upgrades to improve the distribution process but the quality of the water distributed is not a concern. The village has access to a plentiful groundwater supply and has not experienced any problems in the recent history. Land subsidence/landslide and earthquake were also considered very low risks for Danville.

## 2.3.4 Fredericktown

Fredericktown is located in the northwest section of Knox County along the North Branch of the Kokosing River. Village officials cited infrastructure failure and flooding as their two primary hazards of concern. The biggest risk related to infrastructure failure is power outage. A widespread power outage that lasted multiple days would create significant hardship for the community, particularly in extremely temperatures. The village is in the process of separating their sanitary and stormwater systems. The eight of twelve project phases have been completed. The separation was necessary to meet current regulations and to provide better stormwater management for the village. The combined system was very easily overwhelmed by

heavy precipitation events. The current separation work has reduced this problem significantly but more work remains. The village's streets and bridges are also an infrastructure concern. These structures are continually damaged by water and general use. In flash flood events, some streets are routinely closed for up to 24 hours until water recedes. Dam failure is also a significant infrastructure risk. Knox Lake and Kokosking Lake are located north and northwest of the village; both of these man-made lakes have class I dams. The dams are maintained by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources and the Army Corps of Engineers. While they are considered structurally sound dams, there is risk to Fredericktown. If either of these dams were to experience a failure, the north end of the village would flood. This neighborhood includes single family homes, mobile homes, a public park, and multiple businesses. While dam failure is not likely, the impact could be significant should it occur.

Flooding is another significant issue for Fredericktown. The village is prone to flash flooding when storm sewers are overwhelmed in heavy precipitation events. Some streets can be closed for up to 24 hours after one of these events. Residential structures in the north section of town can experience basement flooding. A large mobile home park in this neighborhood also experiences significant flooding. This flooding is not believed to impact the first floor living space of these structures but there is concern that could happen in the future. Village officials discuss flooding from two perspectives: community and operations. They are first concerned with supporting residents during these events and preventing damage to their homes and properties. Additionally, they are concerned about being able to perform essential government functions, including police, fire, and EMS services. Riverine flooding is also a risk for Fredericktown. The village is located along the North Branch of the Kokosing River and not far from the Kokosing River main branch. When these rivers or their tributaries and streams rise and leave their banks, roads and properties can quickly flood. This happens much less frequently than flash flooding but is still a concern for village officials.

Tornado/wind storms, severe thunderstorms, and winter storms were rated three, four, and five on Fredericktown's hazard ranking. The common thread between these incidents is the potential impact on the population from power outages. Tornadoes and wind storms can cause significant property damage, depending on the severity. According to local officials, straight line winds are more common and cause more damage than tornadoes. They can create significant debris, which is an operational and financial challenge to clean up. Severe thunderstorms are fairly frequent, occurring multiple times every year, but generally very mild. The occasional storm that is extremely strong can cause property damage and downed trees. With winter storms, the greatest risk is ice. Snowfall events, even when the totals are significant, are mostly a temporary inconvenience to residents. When the event include ice, however, power lines can be damaged, trees and branches can fall, and the impact on the community is much greater. If power is compromised, the situation quickly becomes very challenging for residents.

Hazardous materials incidents are concern for the village because of the substances transported through the area on state routes 13 and 95 and other local roadways. Some industrial facilities in the area also store and use hazardous substances in their facilities. There

is some risk for vehicle accidents and industrial spills that could impact the community and waterways.

Drought/extreme heat and invasive species were rated as moderate risks for Fredericktown. Droughts and heat waves are rare. When they do occur, there is generally very limited damage to actual property. Extreme heat is a challenge for the population, especially those with medical needs. If the heat event compromises the power supply, the impact on the community would be greater. Invasive species is a risk because of the long-term impact on trees and vegetation. A tree disease that creates hundreds of dead or diseased trees that must be removed creates a significant financial burden for the village. During wind events, these weakened trees are more likely to cause damage to property and power lines. They also fall into creeks and streams, which increases the risk for flooding.

Water quality was rated as a minor risk for Fredericktown. The village's greatest issue with the water system is the need to upsize the lines to serve fire hydrants. The water supply itself is plentiful and is not thought to be a significant risk. Land subsidence/landslide and earthquake were also considered very low risks, ranking tenth and eleventh on the village's overall list.

#### 2.3.5 Gambier

Gambier is a small community located just east of Mount Vernon. Kenyon College is located in the village and is the primary employer and business in the community. Like other communities in the county, Gambier identified infrastructure failure as their greatest concern. Power outages are their greatest infrastructure concern. There are few generators in town, including at the college. In an extended power outage, the village's 800 residents and the nearly 1,700 college students would be impacted. The village has worked diligently to maintain and upgrade their water, wastewater, and stormwater systems. As a small village, there is limited transportation infrastructure to maintain. The village does its best to repair and replace roads and bridges with limited resources. Increasing precipitation amounts and continual freeze-thaw cycles make that difficult and result in additional wear and tear on the roadways. There are no dams or levees in the village.

Local officials identified flooding as their second concern. Flash flooding is the most common type of flooding in Gambier. In heavy precipitation, the water rushes down the hill to the lower elevations at the edge of town. While most of this water drains away without issue, there are several low-lying areas where water collects. In one area, there are several small homes that can become surrounded by floodwater. Some roadways become impassible in these events; the village attempts to deploy high water signs to warn motorists but the flooding is so brief that the water is often gone before the signs can be placed. Riverine flooding is not a direct threat to the village but does occur just outside the village limits. The Kokosing River is just south of State Route 229, which follows the southern border of Gambier. The Kokosing does breach its banks on occasion. There is significant open floodplain area along the river that generally contains this flooding. In the most serious incidents, floodwater can reach or cross State Route 229. This does not directly impact property in Gambier but does create a transportation challenge as residents must select other routes to travel in and out of town. Tornadoes/wind storms and severe thunderstorms are the third and fourth most concerning incidents for the village. These varying types of wind event contribute to power outages by damaging trees and utility lines and creating hardship for residents. Gambier is a proud tree city and has lush vegetation throughout the village. While this creates a picturesque town and campus, it also creates the potential for significant debris and property damage in wind storms. Tornadoes in the village are rare but straight-line wind events are increasing in frequency. Severe thunderstorms are quite common but are not typically severe. In a widespread power outage, the village and Kenyon College would likely work together to provide shelter for residents and college students.

Winter storms are a concern primarily because of the potential for ice and a compromised power supply. As with wind events, the heavy tree coverage in the village increases the potential for power outages. Snowfall is not a significant concern but a temporary inconvenience.

Gambier officials rated drought/extreme heat and invasive species as moderate risks. Drought and extreme heat are rare. The greatest risk for Gambier is to the population, especially those with medical needs. Many of the buildings on Kenyon's campus are not air conditioned. In a prolonged heat event, the student population would have needs that are not present during normal temperatures. If the heat event were strong enough to impact the power supply, the impact would be even greater.

As a tree city, Gambier could be devastated by an invasive species that created a large quantity of dead or diseased trees. These weakened trees would also increase the likelihood of severe property damage during wind events and would increase flood risk by clogging ditches and streams and preventing floodwater from draining. While an invasive species infestation is not common, it could have a serious impact on the village if it did occur.

Hazardous materials incidents are a lower risk in Gambier than many neighboring jurisdictions. Because of the village's location on the hill, there is limited through traffic that moves through town. State Route 229 runs between the village and the Kokosing River; this is the route most likely to have commercial vehicles hauling hazardous substances. Kenyon College does have numerous science labs that utilize chemicals, some of which are hazardous. These are generally stored in small quantities and pose a relatively small risk.

Officials rated water quality as a minor risk because the village's supply is plentiful and the treatment plant is up to date. Land subsidence/landslide is a slightly higher risk in Gambier than in other areas of Knox County because of its higher elevation and location on a hill; the risk is still considered low by local officials. There are no structures known to be at risk and no evidence of sink holes or other similar issues. Earthquake was considered a very low risk.

#### 2.3.6 Gann/Brinkhaven

Gann is the smallest jurisdiction in Knox County. Historically, the village was known as Brinkhaven although Gann is its current legal name. Located on the eastern edge of Knox County, Gann has more hills and elevation changes than the majority of Knox County and is along the banks of the Mohican River. While flooding does not occur frequently, officials ranked it as one of their top concerns because of the severity when it does happen. When the Mohican River overflows its banks, the water crosses State Route 62, which is the primary transportation route connecting the village to Mount Vernon and other communities. When the river reaches this level, it can take multiple days to recede. While the roads are covered, Gann residents must use narrow back roads to reach nearby cities for work and other needs, causing great inconvenience.

Infrastructure issues were ranked as the highest concern in Gann. As a very small jurisdiction with limited financial resources, the village has no public utilities. All residents utilize private wells and septic systems for water and wastewater; stormwater is managed through natural drainage. Road maintenance is performed by contractors hired by the village for snow removal and minor repairs. Gann has no direct employees. While water is considered plentiful, some residents have had water quality issues with their wells that have required drilling of new wells; this issue does not impact all residents but is widespread enough that planning team members discussed it at length during work sessions. Electrical outages have also been a concern because the village is small and tends to be a lower priority for service restoration than larger jurisdictions. The village works to maintain trees on the public right -of-way to reduce outages as much as possible; this work is performed by contractors hired by the village. Gann's village hall is the only public building and it does not have a backup generator. There are no dams or levees within the village.

Severe thunderstorms, wind, and tornadoes are the next most concerning incidents for the village. These incidents contribute to power outages by damaging trees and utility lines and creating hardship for residents. Residential structures are susceptible to wind damage. Storm shelter is a challenge in Gann because there are so few public buildings that are suitable to house people during an emergency. The village hall is very small and would not function well as a storm shelter. There is one church that may be available but residents would most likely travel to Danville, the nearest jurisdiction, for more appropriate storm shelter.

Winter storms are a concern because the village can become isolated from neighboring communities. There are no retail stores in Gann so residents travel to Mount Vernon or other nearby towns to purchase necessary supplies. In severe winter weather events, it can be difficult for residents to travel for work or supplies. The village also contract with a private service provide for snow removal services, which can sometimes delay the timeframe in which the village's roads are plowed and salted, further isolating residents.

Hazardous materials spills are a minor concern because of the substances that are carried on State Route 62. There are no facilities in the village that store or manufacture hazardous materials so the village's risk is primarily related to transport of substances. Drought was considered a low risk because it is very infrequent. Residents receive water through private wells and the supply is considered more than adequate even in drought conditions. Invasive species is considered a minor risk because of the short- and long-term damage to trees and the increased risk for debris to clog waterways and damage structures and utility lines during flood and wind events.

Land subsidence/landslide and earthquake were considered minimal risks for the village.

## 2.3.7 Martinsburg

Martinsburg is Knox County's second smallest community. The village identified infrastructure failure as it's greatest concern. If any critical infrastructure systems were to fail, the safety of residents would be challenged. Utilities are provided by the village and private entities. Because the village is somewhat isolated from other communities, a long utility outage would be a challenge as residents must travel to neighboring communities for services. The local gas station and convenience store does have a generator so residents have access to fuel and some supplies. As a small village, the budget to maintain streets is limited. Wear and tear on the roads, however, is significant because of the amount of commercial and passenger traffic that crosses the village every day. Ongoing damage from water also damages roadways. There are no dams or levees within the village limits.

Flooding ranked as Martinsburg's second concern. Flash flooding is the most common problem and occurs during heavy precipitation events. It generally impacts streets and intersections. Some basements flood throughout the jurisdiction but it is extremely rare for first floor living spaces to be impacted. There are no waterways in the village so riverine flooding is not a primary concern.

Damage from wind events, including windstorms/tornadoes and severe thunderstorms, ranked as the third and fourth hazards for the village. Wind can impact the power supply, which causes great hardship for residents. Structures are also vulnerable to wind damage, as are trees and other vegetation. This type of damage is costly for the village and residents to clean up.

Winter storms were considered a moderate hazard primarily because of the potential impact from ice storm events. Ice storms often lead to many downed trees and power lines. As a small jurisdiction, Martinsburg is often a lower priority for power restoration than larger jurisdictions. This means that residents may be without necessary medical equipment, heating and cooling in their homes, and other critical services for several days following a major ice storm. While this does not occur frequently, it is a significant concern for village officials and residents.

Hazardous materials incidents are a concern because of the state highways that cross directly through Martinsburg. These routes bring significant commercial traffic through the village, including vehicles hauling diesel fuel and other hazardous substances. In the event of an accident or spill, much of the village would be directly affected.
Drought/extreme heat was ranked as a low risk because of it occurs very infrequently. When it does occur, the direct impact on property would be minimal. The potential impact on people, especially those with medical conditions and the elderly, could be significant. Invasive species is was also considered a minor risk. This hazard does not occur frequently but when it does, the long-term damage to trees and the increased risk for damage to utility lines and increased debris to clog storm sewers and drainage basins is significant.

Water quality is considered a low risk for the village. The groundwater supply in the region is plentiful and residents have not experienced water quality issues in the past. In spite of this history, officials recognize the potential for this type of incident and the serious impact it would have on residents should it occur.

The village ranked land subsidence/landslide and earthquake as minimal risks for the village.

#### 2.3.8 Mount Vernon

As the county's most populated jurisdiction, Mount Vernon is the most developed community and the area most likely suffer loss of life and property damage in a major disaster. The planning team identified infrastructure failure as their primary concern. The city maintains water, wastewater, and stormwater utilities. They recently created a stormwater utility to fund necessary maintenance and upgrades to that system. Improving and maintaining these systems is a priority but the cost is an ongoing challenge. The same issue exists for transportation infrastructure in Mount Vernon. The city maintains and upgrades roads and bridges but the ongoing wear and tear from heavy traffic, precipitation, freezing and thawing, and other issues makes this difficult. Within the city limits, there is one class I dam. This dam is privately owned but there is some dispute over what entity is responsible for maintaining the structure and developing the emergency action plan. The dam is located in a residential community and is near numerous medical offices, businesses, and the hospital. Because of the population that falls within the dam's inundation zone, the city is concerned about maintaining the structure.

Flooding was determined to be the city's second highest rated hazard. Flash flooding is a more significant concern than riverine flooding. During heavy precipitation events, some streets will flood temporarily until the water can drain through the city's stormwater system. While this flooding does not last for long, it creates travel headaches in some locations and causes repetitive damage to city streets. Mount Vernon lies just south of the juncture of the North Branch Kokosing and the Kokosing Rivers. In heavy precipitation and snowmelt, the city can receive increased water flow through the central business district and some residential and industrial areas. While it does not happen often, there is some risk of riverine flooding and ice jams in this area.

Tornado/wind events, severe thunderstorms, and winter storms were rated as the next most concerning incidents for Mount Vernon. Residential and commercial structures are vulnerable to wind damage from tornadoes or straight-line winds. The city has numerous mobile home parks; these structures are more susceptible to wind damage than homes with traditional foundations. Utility lines and trees are easily damaged by heavy wind and can block roadways

and damage structures as they fall. In winter storms, ice is one of the biggest concerns. Ice storms often include power outages, which significantly increase the hardship on the community.

Because of the number of highways that traverse Mount Vernon, hazardous materials incidents are another significant concern. Hazardous substances are routinely transported through the city on state highways, many of which twist and turn through Mount Vernon. This creates an increased risk for a spill during transit. The city is also home to numerous industrial facilities that manufacture, store, or use hazardous substances in their process. While Knox County and Mount Vernon do not have many railroad lines, there are numerous gas transmission pipelines in the area.

Drought and extreme heat are moderate to low concerns for Mount Vernon. While this hazard can occur, it is quite rare. The city's water supply is plentiful so it would take an extreme drought event for consumption restrictions to be enacted. Extreme heat is a challenge for the city's elderly population and anyone with a medical condition, especially if the power supply is impacted.

Invasive species is a concern because of the potential impact on trees and vegetation. Any disease that impacts trees increases the debris potential during wind events. Dead and diseased trees can also fall into waterway and cause blockages, increasing the risk for flooding.

Water quality was rated as a fairly low risk for Mount Vernon. The city's water supply is plentiful and there are no known water quality issues in this region of Ohio. The city does closely monitor and test their public water supply, as required by EPA regulations, and works to maintain the water treatment plant to prevent water quality issues from occurring. The planning team members also identified land subsidence/landslide and earthquake as minimal risks for Mount Vernon. There are no known sinkhole or karst areas in the city nor is there any record of earthquakes occurring in the area.

### 2.3.9 Vulnerability Summary

The table below provides a summary of the hazard rank developed by each jurisdiction. Across the county, the hazards were ranked consistently from one jurisdiction to the next.

| Jurisdiction    | Drought/<br>Extreme Heat | Earthquake | Flood | Hazardous<br>Materials | Infrastructure<br>Failure | lnvasive<br>Species | Land<br>Subsidence/<br>Landslide | Severe<br>Thunderstorm | Tornado/<br>Windstorm | Water Quality | Winter Storm |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Knox County     | 7                        | 11         | 2     | 6                      | 1                         | 8                   | 10                               | 4                      | 3                     | 9             | 5            |
| Centerburg      | 7                        | 11         | 2     | 6                      | 1                         | 8                   | 10                               | 4                      | 3                     | 9             | 5            |
| Danville        | 7                        | 11         | 2     | 6                      | 1                         | 8                   | 10                               | 4                      | 3                     | 9             | 5            |
| Fredericktown   | 7                        | 11         | 2     | 6                      | 1                         | 8                   | 10                               | 4                      | 3                     | 9             | 5            |
| Gambier         | 7                        | 11         | 2     | 6                      | 1                         | 8                   | 10                               | 4                      | 3                     | 9             | 5            |
| Gann/Brinkhaven | 7                        | 11         | 2     | 6                      | 1                         | 8                   | 10                               | 4                      | 3                     | 9             | 5            |
| Martinsburg     | 7                        | 11         | 2     | 6                      | 1                         | 8                   | 10                               | 4                      | 3                     | 9             | 5            |
| Mount Vernon    | 7                        | 11         | 2     | 6                      | 1                         | 8                   | 10                               | 4                      | 3                     | 9             | 5            |

#### Table 2-36: Jurisdictional Vulnerability

# 2.4 RISK ANALYSIS

To determine Knox County's overall risk, each hazard was evaluated and scored based on common criteria: frequency, response duration, speed of onset, magnitude, and impact on businesses, people, and property. This section describes the rating scale used by the planning team.

## Frequency

Hazard events that occur regularly are a higher risk than those that occur infrequently.

- 1 = None/Once in 100 years
- 2 = Low/Once in 50 years
- 3 = Medium/Once in 25 years
- 4 = High/Once in 1-3 years
- 5 = Excessive/More than annual

## Response Duration

Response duration is defined as the amount time the response to a particular hazard is anticipated to last.

- 1 = Less than ½ day
- 2 = Less than 1 day
- 3 = Less than 1 week
- 4 = Less than 1 month
- 5 = More than 1 month

## Speed of Onset

Speed of onset addresses the amount of advance warning before each hazard occurs.

- 1 = More than 24 hours
- 2 = 12-24 hours
- 3 = 6-12 hours
- 4 = Less than 6 hours
- 5 = No warning

## Magnitude

Magnitude was evaluated based on the percentage of the population that would be affected by an incident.

- 1 = < 10% of population affected directly
- 2 = 11-25% of population affected directly
- 3 = 26-50% of population affected directly
- 4 = > 50% of population affected directly

## **Business Impact**

Business impact refers to the potential economic impact a hazard event is likely to have on a community. The definition of each score refers to the amount of time critical facilities are likely to be shut down in the impacted community.

- 1 = Less than 24 hours
- 2 = 1 week
- 3 = At least 2 weeks
- 4 = More than 30 days

## Human Impact

Human impact is defined as the number of lives potentially lost for a particular hazard.

- 1 = Minimum/Minor injuries
- 2 = Low/Some injuries
- 3 = Medium/Multiple severe injuries
- 4 = High/Multiple fatalities

## Property Impact

Property impact is defined as the number amount of property potentially lost during a given hazard event.

- 1 = Less than 10% damaged
- 2 = 10-25% damaged
- 3 = 25-50% damaged
- 4 = More than 50% damaged

These factors were assigned values as described and rated against anecdotal analysis based upon history and past incidents. This scoring mechanism resulted in very similar assessment of risks and vulnerabilities for the countywide vulnerability analysis. Table 2-37 provides the composite countywide risk analysis of these hazards.

| Hazard                    | Frequency | Response<br>Duration | Speed of<br>Onset | Magnitude | Business<br>Impact | Human<br>Impact | Property<br>Impact | Score | Rank |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|------|
| Drought/Extreme Heat      | 2         | 1                    | 1                 | 1         | 1                  | 1               | 1                  | 8     | 7    |
| Earthquake                | 1         | 2                    | 4                 | 1         | 1                  | 1               | 1                  | 11    | 11   |
| Flood                     | 5         | 3                    | 3                 | 3         | 2                  | 2               | 2                  | 20    | 2    |
| Hazardous Materials       | 3         | 2                    | 5                 | 1         | 1                  | 2               | 1                  | 15    | 6    |
| Infrastructure Failure    | 4         | 3                    | 5                 | 3         | 2                  | 1               | 2                  | 20    | 1    |
| Invasive Species          | 2         | 1                    | 1                 | 1         | 1                  | 1               | 1                  | 8     | 8    |
| Land Subsidence/Landslide | 2         | 1                    | 1                 | 1         | 1                  | 1               | 1                  | 8     | 10   |
| Severe Thunderstorm       | 5         | 1                    | 3                 | 2         | 1                  | 1               | 1                  | 14    | 4    |
| Tornado/Windstorm         | 5         | 2                    | 3                 | 2         | 2                  | 2               | 2                  | 18    | 3    |
| Water Quality             | 2         | 2                    | 4                 | 2         | 1                  | 1               | 1                  | 14    | 9    |
| Winter Storm              | 4         | 1                    | 2                 | 3         | 1                  | 2               | 1                  | 14    | 5    |

### Table 2-37: Risk Analysis

# **3.0 MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

The planning team developed mitigation goals and strategies to address the identified risks and vulnerabilities for the county and each jurisdiction. While there are common themes between the different jurisdictions, each community included actions designed to address their specific local risks and vulnerabilities.

## **3.1 STATUS OF PAST MITIGATION EFFORTS**

The 2015 Knox County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan identified mitigation goals, objectives, and strategies for Knox County and individual jurisdictions. As part of the planning process for this updated plan, the planning team reviewed the 2015 strategies. The table below includes a status update for each strategy.

| Stratogy  | Chatura | <b>Evalenation</b> |
|---|---------|--------------------|
| Strategy  | Status  | Explanation        |
| KNOX COUNTY   |         |                    |
| 1. Knox County will accurately and thoroughly identify flood prone  | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| areas in the county, including all non-municipal jurisdictions, and |         | strategies         |
| identify development practices that allow or facilitate flooding    |         |                    |
| through interference with natural drainage as structures are        |         |                    |
| improved, renovated, and/or built.                                  |         |                    |
| 2. Knox County will develop and complete structural projects to     | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| mitigate against flooding in areas where repetitive loss has        |         | strategies         |
| occurred or where structures and development have been built on     |         |                    |
| high-risk properties, including acquisition and demolition when     |         |                    |
| appropriate and effective.  |         |                    |
| 3. Knox County will conduct public information campaigns to         | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| improve and expand property owners' participation in voluntary      |         | strategies         |
| facilitation of adequate and effective drainage through             |         |                    |
| maintenance of individual and private property.                     |         |                    |
| 4. Knox County will collaborate with economic development leaders   | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| to ensure that new construction and commercial development          |         | strategies         |
| includes conservation and mitigation practices to prevent flooding. |         |                    |
| 5. Knox County will maintain and support county-wide                | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| communications capabilities to notify and warn county residents of  |         | strategies         |
| dangers and natural hazards through public warning and mass         |         |                    |
| notification systems that are compatible and functional in concert  |         |                    |
| with new technology such as text messages, electronic mail, social  |         |                    |
| media, and other means to convey information in a timely fashion.   |         |                    |
| 6. Knox County will conduct a public awareness campaign that        | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| includes information about warning and mass notification methods,   |         | strategies         |
| evacuation and other protective measures, and response to           |         |                    |
| emergencies and disasters.  |         |                    |

#### **Table 3-1: Status of Past Mitigation Strategies**

| Strategy   | Status   | Explanation         |
|--|----------|---------------------|
| 7. Knox County will conduct a public information campaign to           | Ongoing  | Include in revised  |
| educate the general public about evacuation and shelter locations,     |          | strategies          |
| safe rooms and storm shelters, community centers, and respite and      |          |                     |
| gathering locations during disasters and emergencies.                  |          |                     |
| 8. Knox County will complete improvements to the county                | Ongoing  | Include in revised  |
| addressing system and mapping capabilities to enhance and              |          | strategies          |
| improve first responders' ability to rapidly identify and locate       |          |                     |
| specific properties during emergencies.                                |          |                     |
| 9. Knox County will establish a shelter and comfort station system     | Ongoing  | Partially complete; |
| that meets the need for a variety of disasters in local jurisdictions  |          | include in revised  |
| including townships, villages, and cities so the centers are readily   |          | strategies          |
| available to residents during emergencies without significant          |          |                     |
| dangerous travel.  |          |                     |
| 10. Knox County will establish a debris management plan that           | Complete | County debris       |
| facilitates rapid recovery from severe storms, and facilitates the     |          | management plan     |
| restoration of utilities as quickly as possible to improve recovery of |          | completed           |
| the community after such an incident.                                  |          | ·                   |
| 11. Knox County will conduct a public awareness campaign that          | Ongoing  | Include in revised  |
| conveys the critical points of a drought and the need for regular      |          | strategies          |
| water conservation in preparation for times of severe drought.         |          | -                   |
| 12. Knox County will improve the dependability of utilities through    | Ongoing  | Include in revised  |
| establishment of resilient utility lines and delivery systems that     |          | strategies          |
| withstand the stress of severe storms and disasters.                   |          |                     |
| CENTERBURG   |          |                     |
| 1. The Village of Centerburg will develop projects to lessen the       | Ongoing  | Include in revised  |
| damages to property from flooding, including consideration of          |          | strategies          |
| acquisition and demolition.  |          |                     |
| 2. The Village of Centerburg will educate the community about the      | Ongoing  | Include in revised  |
| notification systems that warn residents of impending disasters and    |          | strategies          |
| the actions they should take immediately to protect themselves         |          |                     |
| and their property.  |          |                     |
| 3. The Village of Centerburg will establish sites to be used as        | Ongoing  | Include in revised  |
| shelters, comfort stations, and service centers during disasters and   |          | strategies          |
| will strive to equip these sites with the features necessary to        |          |                     |
| adequately serve the community during storms, power outages,           |          |                     |
| and other disasters.   |          |                     |
| 4. The Village of Centerburg will identify and implement a water       | Complete | Water service is    |
| supply plan for emergency purposes that supports, supplements, or      |          | now provided by     |
| services in place of a municipal or county water supply in cases of    |          | DelCo               |
| later fires.   |          |                     |
| DANVILLE   |          |                     |
| 1. The Village of Danville will educate the community about            | Ongoing  | Include in revised  |
| notification systems that warn residents of impending disasters and    |          | strategies          |
| the actions they should take immediately to protect themselves         |          | -                   |
| and their property.  |          |                     |

| Strategy  | Status    | Explanation        |
|---|-----------|--------------------|
| 2. The Village of Danville will educate property owners about and     | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| advocate for good regular maintenance practices that result in        |           | strategies         |
| preventing excessive damages to structures during storms, such as     |           |                    |
| ditch bank maintenance, trimming trees, and clearing excessive        |           |                    |
| vegetation from streams, waterways, and embankments.                  |           |                    |
| 3. The Village of Danville will develop projects to lessen damages to | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| property from flooding, including acquisition and demolition.         |           | strategies         |
| FREDERICKTOWN   |           |                    |
| 1. The Village of Fredericktown will identify locations and develop   | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| plans for community gathering locations throughout the village to     |           | strategies         |
| provide residents with shelter, information, and services during      |           |                    |
| weather related events.   |           |                    |
| 2. The Village of Fredericktown will prohibit construction of new     | Completed | Addressed in       |
| homes inside flood zones.   |           | floodplain         |
|   |           | regulations        |
| 3. The Village of Fredericktown will identify and develop a           | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| redundant water system connection.                                    |           | strategies         |
| 4. The Village of Fredericktown will develop a long-range plan for    | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| storm sewer protection and maintenance.                               |           | strategies         |
| 5. The Village of Fredericktown will strive to maintain and improve   | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| aged and low volume water supply lines for reasons of public health   |           | strategies         |
| and fire protection.  |           |                    |
| 6. The Village of Fredericktown will develop and strive to            | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| implement a street repair and maintenance plan to maintain proper     |           | strategies         |
| storm water flow and to minimize roadway erosion damage sue to        |           |                    |
| storms.   |           |                    |
| 7. The Village of Fredericktown will strive to create hardened        | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| electrical service to critical facilities through acquisition and     |           | strategies         |
| installation of alternate power sources.                              |           |                    |
| GAMBIER   |           |                    |
| 1. The Village of Gambier will work with utility providers to harden  | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| utility services and prevent excessive outages during storms and      |           | strategies         |
| inclement weather.  |           |                    |
| GANN/BRINKHAVEN   |           |                    |
| 1. The Village of Gann will educate the community about               | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| notification systems that warn residents of impending disasters and   |           | strategies         |
| the actions they should take immediately to protect themselves        |           |                    |
| and their property.   |           |                    |
| MARTINSBURG   |           |                    |
| 1. The Village of Martinsburg will investigate and implement means    | Ongoing   | Include in revised |
| to create and provide safe rooms for residents who live in mobile     |           | strategies         |
| homes, homes built on concrete slabs, and other structures that       |           |                    |
| lack storm shelters used as protection in wind and other severe       |           |                    |
| storms.   |           |                    |

| Strategy  | Status  | Explanation        |
|---|---------|--------------------|
| 2. The Village of Martinsburg will establish sites to be used as      | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| shelters, comfort stations, and service centers during disasters, and |         | strategies         |
| will strive to equip these sites with the features necessary to       |         |                    |
| adequately serve the community during storms, power outages,          |         |                    |
| and other disasters.  |         |                    |
| 3. The Village of Martinsburg will develop storm sewer systems to     | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| more adequately manage runoff water for all areas and thus            |         | strategies         |
| prevent/lessen flooding in residential and/or commercial              |         |                    |
| properties.   |         |                    |
| MOUNT VERNON  |         |                    |
| 1. The City of Mount Vernon will develop projects to lessen the       | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| damages to property from flooding, including acquisition and          |         | strategies         |
| demolition projects for repetitive loss properties when and where     |         |                    |
| appropriate.  |         |                    |
| 2. The City of Mount Vernon will work with utility providers to       | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| harden utility services and to prevent excessive outages during       |         | strategies         |
| storms and inclement weather.   |         |                    |
| 3. The City of Mount Vernon will consider development of a            | Ongoing | Include in revised |
| comprehensive plan for land use within the jurisdiction, taking into  |         | strategies         |
| account the consequences of development and the effect on             |         |                    |
| existing properties and businesses, as well as the consequences on    |         |                    |
| the communities downstream in Knox County and in counties             |         |                    |
| beyond Knox County.   |         |                    |

### **3.2 RISK PRIORITIES**

The Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (HIRA) provides a detailed explanation of the hazards and risks identified by the planning team. This section builds on that information and identifies mitigation strategies that could reduce vulnerability to the identified hazards. When developing and prioritizing strategies, participants considered actions that would benefit the greatest number of people and considered how the strategy would be funded. In some cases, funding would come from a jurisdiction's general budget while others would require special funding, including state and federal grants. Ultimately, the planning team determined that strategies should be prioritized according to the hazard rank in the HIRA. The hazards were ranked using a comprehensive process that included frequency, response duration, speed of onset, magnitude, business impact, human impact, and property impact.

For each jurisdiction, a goal related to each specific hazard was developed. Within each goal, multiple mitigation strategies were created based on the proposed actions to reduce vulnerability. When prioritizing the strategies, the planning team considered cost of implementation and feasibility in completing the action. In general, strategies that were less expensive or easier to implement were prioritized higher because they were more likely to be completed. Projects requiring state or federal grant funding, extensive multi-agency collaboration, or other more complicated processes were considered lower priority because they would take more time to complete.

At strategy review meetings and during the final review phase of the plan, jurisdictions and stakeholders had the opportunity to revise strategies and adjust the prioritization. The final strategies presented in this section reflect those adjustments and revisions.

## 3.3 MITIGATION GOALS AND STRATEGIES

Mitigation strategies were developed based on input from planning team members, jurisdiction representatives, and stakeholders. Strategies were then presented to the community for review and comment. This section identifies the mitigation goals and strategies for the county and each incorporated jurisdiction, along with the priority, action type, lead agency, timeline, and potential funding source for each. While strategies are listed by jurisdiction for planning purposes, a specific strategy may be delegated to another entity, such as a special district, nonprofit organization, or alternate community partner, if it becomes an actionable project.

### Strategy Descriptors

When developing strategies, the planning team considered who would be responsible for leading mitigation efforts, how the work would be funded, and in what order jurisdictions would address potential actions. These elements are defined below and identified for each strategy. Some of this information may change as strategies are implemented.

<u>Priority</u>: Jurisdictions ranked hazards according to local priorities. Goals are listed alphabetically by hazard to simplify use of the plan. Jurisdictions will address strategies in order of priority when feasible. For example, if flood is the top hazard in a community, flood strategies will be considered the highest priority. Strategies may be completed in any order, which will be especially likely when outside funding or grants are necessary to execute a project.

Action Type: Each strategy is assigned to a type category based on the activity described:

- Natural Resource Protection Reduce the impacts of natural hazard by preserving or restoring natural areas
- Prevention Avoid hazard problems or stop impact from worsening
- Property Protection Protect structures by modifying or strengthening building to withstand impact
- Public Information Advise the public about hazards, hazardous areas, and mitigation techniques to protect people and property
- Structurally Engineered Project Lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the environment or progression of the hazard event through designed and engineered projects
- Public Safety Enhancement Improve, enhance, update or expand the services or support of services provided by law enforcement, fire departments and/or emergency medical services

<u>Lead Agency</u>: The entity charged with ensuring that officials look for opportunities to complete the strategy over the five-year planning cycle. This agency may not execute the strategy or provide project oversight but coordinates the overall effort to initiate the activity. In some cases, two agencies are listed as the lead agency. This may occur because there are two clear stakeholders in a community, such as the municipality and a non-profit organization, who would implement this strategy. <u>Timeline</u>: The timeframe in which a mitigation strategy could realistically be implemented. The actual time frame may vary from what is described in the plan, depending on funding, grant opportunities, or changes in priorities.

Funding Source: The most likely funding sources for the strategy.

- FMA Flood Mitigation Assistance Grant
- PDM Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant
- HMGP Hazard Mitigation Grant Program
- ICC Increased Cost of Compliance (including rate increases or premiums)
- LOC Local Funds
- ST State Funds
- Other (including private funds and non-governmental agency funding)

# 3.3.1 Knox County

### **Table 3-2: Knox County Mitigation Strategies**

| Priority       | Action Type                    | Lead                         | Start Date         | End Date                                | Funding         |
|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------|
| GOAL 1 – EA    | ARTHOUAKE: Knox County         | will take action to assess   | and mitigate th    | e effects of ear                        | rthquakes.      |
| 1-1. Identify  | the structures and infrastr    | ucture in the county that    | would likely be    | damaged or de                           | stroved in an   |
| earthquake     | of moderate to severe mag      | nitude.                      |                    |   | or o yea in an  |
| 46             | Property Protection            | County Engineer              | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | LOC             |
| 1-2. Identify  | likely debris that would res   | sult from an earthquake o    | of moderate mag    | nitude and ide                          | ntify potential |
| means and      | ,<br>methods to manage that de | bris.                        |                    |   |                 |
| 47             | Property Protection            | Solid Waste Director         | 05/22/20           | 05/21/2025                              | ICC             |
| GOAL 2 – FL    | OOD: Knox County will tak      | e action to reduce the ef    | fects of all types | s of flooding.                          |                 |
| 2-1. Constru   | ict or maintain water contro   | ol structures (reservoirs, r | etention/detent    | ion ponds, dan                          | ns, levees,     |
| dikes, flood   | walls, etc.) in new or existin | g property to lessen the e   | effects of flash a | nd storm sewe                           | r back up       |
| flooding.      |                                |                              |                    |   |                 |
| 12             | Structurally Engineered        | County Engineer              | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | PDM;            |
|                | Projects                       | Park District Director       |                    |   | HMGP; FMA       |
| 2-2. Elevate   | structures (buildings, road)   | ways, bridges, culverts etc  | c.) to remove the  | em from flood-                          | prone areas.    |
| 13             | Structurally Engineered        | County Engineer              | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | PDM;            |
|                | Projects                       |                              |                    |   | HMGP; FMA       |
| 2-3. Utilize a | acquisition, demolition, and   | relocation for properties    | that suffer repe   | titive flood los                        | s and/or        |
| experience     | repeated damage to structu     | ires from all types of floo  | ding.              | 1                                       |                 |
| 14             | Prevention                     | County Engineer              | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | PDM;            |
|                |                                |                              |                    |   | HMGP; FMA       |
| 2-4. Clear de  | ebris, fallen trees, excess se | diment, etc. from waterw     | ays to improve     | flow.                                   |                 |
| 15             | Property Protection            | County Engineer              | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | PDM;            |
|                |                                |                              | <u> </u>           |   | HMGP; FMA       |
| 2-5. Develop   | p, implement, and maintain     | the capability to prevent    | flood damages      | to properties th                        | nough use of    |
| sandbags ar    | nd other wind- and water-pi    | roofing techniques.          | 05 00 0000         | 05 04 0005                              |                 |
| 16             | Property Protection            | Park District Director       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | LOC             |
| 2-6. Suppor    | t and promote the preserva     | tion of natural habitat an   | d wetlands, esp    | ecially along wa                        | aterways, and   |
| support the    | restoration of natural habit   | tat and wetlands where d     | evelopment has     | encroached up                           | oon those       |
| areas.         | Natural Descures               | Dark District Director       | 05 22 2020         | 05 21 2025                              | 100             |
| 17             | Resource<br>Brotection         | Park District Director       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | LUC             |
| 2.7 Identify   | rotection                      | allast crop dobris in rupo   | ff water and ma    | naga it hafara                          | it close        |
| ditchos stro   | and implement means to t       | atorways                     | ni water anu ma    | nage it before                          | it clogs        |
| 19             | Natural Posourco               | Park District Director       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | 100             |
| 10             | Protection                     |                              | 03-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | LOC             |
| 2-8 Manage     | e stream flow through chan     | nelization sediment rem      | oval debris and    | obstruction rer                         | noval and       |
| stream ecol    | ogy management practices       | nenzation, seament rem       |                    | 0050 0000000000000000000000000000000000 | noval, and      |
| 19             | Structurally Engineered        | County Engineer              | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | PDM·            |
| 15             | Projects                       | Park District Director       | 05 22 2020         | 05 21 2025                              | HMGP: FMA       |
| 2-9. Continu   | le to collaborate with water   | rshed and conservancy di     | stricts and other  | counties that s                         | share an        |
| interest in c  | ommon waterways to facili      | tate cleaning. maintaining   | g. and correcting  | watershed pro                           | blems.          |
| 20             | Natural Resource               | SWCD Director                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025                              | ICC; LOC        |
| -              | Protection                     | Park District Director       |                    |   | ,               |

| Priority   | Action Type                     | Lead                         | Start Date         | End Date         | Funding        |  |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|--|
| 2-10. Adopt  | and/or enforce floodplain,      | zoning, and building regu    | lations to mana    | ge developmer    | nt in areas    |  |
| without reg  | ulations or standards and ta    | ake part in revision of floo | od maps, monito    | ring the need f  | or local       |  |
| construction   | n regulation and maintainin     | g adequate efforts to red    | uce vulnerability  | / through mana   | agement of     |  |
| developme  | nt.                             |                              |                    |                  |                |  |
| 21   | Prevention                      | Regional Planning            | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |  |
|  |                                 | Director                     |                    |                  |                |  |
| 2-11. Maint  | ain participation, join, or re  | solve sanctions with NFIP    | ; establish CRS p  | articipation; ar | nd/or engage   |  |
| in federal fl  | oodplain activities to suppo    | rt flood prevention.         |                    |                  |                |  |
| 22   | Prevention                      | Commissioners                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |  |
| 2-12. Add ra   | apidly deployable signs to q    | uickly mark flooded road     | ways.              |                  |                |  |
| 23   | Property Protection             | County Engineer              | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |  |
| 2-13. Protec   | ct waterway banks and land      | near waterways from de       | terioration due    | to rapid or exce | essive flow by |  |
| planting veg   | getation, installing dormant    | woody stakes and posts,      | planting trees, s  | hrubs and gras   | ses along      |  |
| banks and b  | erms, or using deflectors to    | prevent deterioration, o     | r other similar n  | nethods to acco  | omplish the    |  |
| same.  |                                 |                              |                    |                  |                |  |
| 24   | Natural Resource                | SWCD Director                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM;           |  |
|  | Protection                      | Park District Director       |                    |                  | HMGP; FMA      |  |
| 2-14. Utilize  | e biotechnical methods (plac    | cement of willow posts, h    | ardwood tree pl    | antings, fascine | es, brush      |  |
| layering, ev   | ergreen revetments, log rev     | vetments, tree kickers, lur  | nker structures, o | or placed rocks  | as examples)   |  |
| to minimize  | the deterioration or destru     | iction of stream banks du    | e to excessive fl  | ow.              |                |  |
| 25   | Natural Resource                | SWCD Director                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM;           |  |
|  | Protection                      | Park District Director       |                    |                  | HMGP; FMA      |  |
| 2-15. Utilize  | e natural habitats and/or ve    | getative buffers inside wa   | iterways to slow   | the rapid flow   | of floodwater  |  |
| and/or hold  | excess storm water.             | 1                            | ſ                  | I                | -              |  |
| 26   | Natural Resource                | SWCD Director                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM;           |  |
|  | Protection                      | Park District Director       |                    |                  | HMGP; FMA      |  |
| 2-16. Utilize  | stream bank protection me       | easures such as gabion re    | vetments, riprap   | o revetments, c  | rib walls, and |  |
| other struct   | ural methods to protect the     | e banks and berms as wel     | l as current use   | of the areas.    |                |  |
| 27   | Natural Resource                | SWCD Director                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM;           |  |
|  | Protection                      | Park District Director       |                    |                  | HMGP; FMA      |  |
| 2-17. Advoc  | ate the use of green materi     | als and practices in devel   | opment, such as    | green parking    | policies,      |  |
| green roof r   | naterials, and alternate pav    | ing materials that promo     | te absorption in:  | stead of runoff  |                |  |
| 28   | Natural Resource                | Park District Director       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |  |
|  | Protection                      |                              |                    |                  |                |  |
| 2-18. Advoc  | ate for, support, and imple     | ment slope protection act    | tions along wate   | rways and othe   | er vulnerable  |  |
| areas with t   | he purpose of protecting no     | ot only the shoreline but a  | also the construe  | cted improvem    | ents to the    |  |
| property.  |                                 |                              | r                  | 1                | 1              |  |
| 29   | Natural Resource                | Park District Director       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |  |
|  | Protection                      |                              |                    |                  |                |  |
| 2-19. Maint  | ain and improve soil quality    | through crop rotation, c     | rop residue man    | agement, cont    | our butter     |  |
| strips, contour farming and strip-cropping, use of cover crops, installation of field borders, rotation grazing, |                                 |                              |                    |                  |                |  |
| pasture plai   | nting, establishment of gras    | sy waterways, grade stab     | ilization structur | res, water and s | sediment       |  |
| control basi   | ns, critical area planting, div | version, terracing, manure   | e storage and ru   | noff control, nu | itrient        |  |
| managemei  | וד, pest management, well a     | abandonment, riparian bi     | itters, wetland r  | estoration, win  | abreaks,       |  |
| woodlot ma   | inagement, tree planting, ai    | nd creation of upland wild   | dlife habitat.     |                  |                |  |

| Priority       | Action Type   | Lead                           | Start Date            | End Date          | Funding             |  |  |  |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 30             | Natural Resource  | SWCD Director                  | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        | PDM;                |  |  |  |
|                | Protection  |                                |                       |                   | HMGP; FMA           |  |  |  |
| 2-20. Encou    | 2-20. Encourage management of surface runoff and chemical residue through techniques such as installation |                                |                       |                   |                     |  |  |  |
| of grassy wa   | aterways, creation of infiltra  | ation basins and trenches      | , porous paveme       | ent installation, | filtration          |  |  |  |
| techniques     | like use of catch basin inser   | ts, sand and organic filter    | s, rain gardens a     | and vegetated f   | ilter strips.       |  |  |  |
| 31             | Natural Resource  | SWCD Director                  | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        | PDM;                |  |  |  |
|                | Protection  | Park District Director         |                       |                   | HMGP; FMA           |  |  |  |
| GOAL 3 – H     | AZARDOUS MATERIALS: Kn  | ox County will take actio      | on to prevent, m      | anage, and red    | luce the            |  |  |  |
| consequenc     | ces from spills and leaks of  | hazardous materials.           |                       |                   |                     |  |  |  |
| 3-1. Ensure    | that signage on highways a  | nd roadways is clear and       | easy to follow to     | decrease the      | ikelihood of        |  |  |  |
| vehicle accie  | dents due to unsure routes  | of travel.                     | I                     | I                 |                     |  |  |  |
| 43             | Property Protection   | County Engineer                | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        | LOC                 |  |  |  |
| GOAL 4 – IN    | IFRASTRUCTURE: Knox Cou   | nty will act to harden, in     | prove, and repl       | ace various for   | rms of              |  |  |  |
| infrastructu   | re to reduce damages and  | consequences from stori        | ms and disasters      | 5.                |                     |  |  |  |
| 4-1. Mainta    | in, repair, upgrade, and/or   | replace storm sewers and       | l wastewater ma       | inagement syst    | ems; add            |  |  |  |
| street curbs   | or replace deteriorating or   | nes; and repair or replace     | stormwater bas        | ins.              |                     |  |  |  |
| 1              | Structurally Engineered   | County Engineer                | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP           |  |  |  |
|                | Projects  |                                |                       |                   |                     |  |  |  |
| 4-2. Ensure    | that high hazard and classif  | ied dams have current en       | nergency plans t      | hat identify inu  | indation areas      |  |  |  |
| and facilitat  | e emergency actions in the  | event of a failure             |                       |                   |                     |  |  |  |
| 2              | Property Protection   | EMA Director                   | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP           |  |  |  |
| 4-3. Mainta    | in, repair, and replace dams  | s and upground reservoirs      | s that are structu    | arally comprom    | ised or that        |  |  |  |
| place the pu   | ublic in danger during a stru   | ctural failure.                |                       |                   |                     |  |  |  |
| 3              | Structurally Engineered   | County Engineer                | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP           |  |  |  |
|                | Projects  | Park District Director         |                       |                   |                     |  |  |  |
| 4-4. Improv    | e and repair roadways and   | worn bridges and culverts      | s that are damag      | ged by rapid rur  | noff and heavy      |  |  |  |
| precipitatio   | n.<br>Churchard lles Francisco and d  | County Funding on              | 05 33 3030            | 05 21 2025        |                     |  |  |  |
| 4              | Structurally Engineered   | County Engineer                | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP           |  |  |  |
|                | Projects  | nto to utility overame (dist   | wikution lines a      |                   |                     |  |  |  |
| 4-5. Auvoca    | te and support improvements)  | that recult in more donon      | dable resilient i     | enerating plant   | s, pumps,<br>or the |  |  |  |
| nurposo of     | maintaining lifelines during  | disaster response and rea      | idable, resilient i   | nirastructure     | or the              |  |  |  |
|                | Structurally Engineered   | County Engineer                |                       | 05 21 2025        |                     |  |  |  |
| 5              |   | County Engineer                | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        |                     |  |  |  |
| 1.6 Identify   | riojecis<br>v altornato/back-un utility re  | sources for use when a r       | rimary operay s       | ourco is compr    | omisod              |  |  |  |
| (generators    | redundant suppliers etc.)   | esources for use when a p      | Simaly energy s       |                   | omiseu              |  |  |  |
| (generators)   | Broporty Protoction   | Water/Wastewater               | 05-22-2020            | 05-21-2025        | 100                 |  |  |  |
| 0              | FIOPERTY FIOLECTION   | Superintendent                 | 03-22-2020            | 03-21-2023        | LOC                 |  |  |  |
| A-7 Conduc     | t hydrology and hydraulics  | storm water and sewer          | inundation and        | other studies t   | o determine         |  |  |  |
| the root ca    | is of flooding and water m  | anagoment problems as y        | woll as the vulne     | vrable populatio  | o determine         |  |  |  |
| nronerty       | ase of hooding and water m  | anagement provients as         |                       |                   |                     |  |  |  |
| 7              | Structurally Engineered   | County Engineer                | 05_22_2020            | 05-21-2025        |                     |  |  |  |
| /              | Projecte  | County Lingineer               | 05-22-2020            | 03-21-2023        |                     |  |  |  |
| 1-8 Mainta     | in renair ungrado and/or  | l<br>renlace water treatment : | l<br>and distribution | systems           | <u> </u>            |  |  |  |
| 9-0. ividiiild | Structurally Engineered   |                                |                       | 05-21 202E        |                     |  |  |  |
| 0              |   | County Engineer                | 03-22-2020            | 03-21-2023        | רטועו; הועוטף       |  |  |  |
|                | Projects  |                                |                       |                   |                     |  |  |  |

| Priority      | Action Type                         | Lead                        | Start Date         | End Date          | Funding        |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 4-9. Replace  | e, improve, or create sanita        | ry sewer systems for neig   | hborhoods, sub     | divisions or juri | sdictions to   |
| replace sep   | tic systems or outdated sew         | /er systems.                |                    |                   |                |
| 9             | Structurally Engineered<br>Projects | County Engineer             | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP      |
| 4-10. Repai   | r, replace, retrofit, or upgra      | de communications equip     | ment including     | towers, transm    | ission lines,  |
| distribution  | lines, receivers and transm         | itters to provide improve   | d or redundant     | capabilities to c | ommunicate     |
| at all times. |                                     |                             |                    | •                 |                |
| 10            | Property Protection                 | Water/Wastewater            | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM;           |
|               |                                     | Superintendent              |                    |                   | HMGP; HSGP     |
| 4-11. Devel   | op infrastructure redundan          | cy through the developme    | ent of alternate   | vendors for ser   | vices and      |
| products lik  | e portable generators, fuel         | supplies, bottled water, e  | etc.               |                   |                |
| 11            | Property Protection                 | EMA Director                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP      |
| GOAL 5 – LA   | AND SUBSIDENCE/LANDSLI              | DE: Knox County will redu   | uce the effects o  | of land subside   | nce and        |
| landslides.   |                                     |                             |                    |                   |                |
| 5-1. Suppor   | t and implement slope prot          | ection actions along wate   | erways and othe    | r vulnerable are  | eas where      |
| landslides a  | re possible and natural occu        | urrences endanger prope     | rty, structures, c | or where other    | geological     |
| vulnerabilit  | ies exist.                          | 1                           |                    | 1                 |                |
| 44            | Natural Resource                    | SWCD Director               | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP      |
|               | Protection                          | Park District Director      |                    |                   |                |
| 5-2. Develo   | p collaborative efforts with        | environmental advocates     | to accurately ic   | lentify risk to g | roundwater     |
| resources ir  | n the county and collaborate        | e to prevent contaminatio   | on of the ground   | water.            |                |
| 45            | Natural Resource                    | Health Commissioner         | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP      |
|               | Protection                          |                             |                    |                   |                |
| GOAL 6 – SI   | EVERE STORMS (THUNDERS              | STORM, TORNADO, WIND        | )): Knox County    | will take action  | n to reduce    |
| the consequ   | t the construction of onform        | severe thunderstorms, w     | indstorms, and     | other severe w    | eatner.        |
| 6-1. Suppor   | t the construction of safe ro       | boms for single- and multi  | -tamily nomes a    | ind areas such a  |                |
|               | Property Protection                 | 5, campgrounds, apartme     |                    |                   |                |
| 32            | Property Protection                 | EIMA Director               | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDIVI          |
| 6.2 Poppir    | <br>or rotrofit public proportion   | with wind resistant mate    | vrials (i.e. motal | roofing siding    | wind           |
| resistant de  | or retroit public properties        | with wind-resistant mate    | orms               | rooning, siunig,  | wina-          |
| 22            | Property Protection                 | County Engineer             | 01113.             | 05-21-2025        | PDM            |
| 55            | roperty rotection                   | Park District Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | I DIVI         |
| 6-2 Mainta    | in trees and vegetation on r        | ublic property and remov    | ve dead or disea   | sed trees that    | are vulnerable |
| to wind dan   | nage.                               | sublic property and remo    |                    |                   |                |
| 34            | Property Protection                 | County Engineer             | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC            |
| <b>.</b>      |                                     | Park District Director      |                    |                   |                |
| 6-3. Develo   | p agreements for safety she         | Iters to be used for a vari | ety of disaster-r  | elated purpose    | s during       |
| severe stori  | ms and/or evacuations, incl         | uding areas like stadiums   | and parks used     | for recreation.   | public         |
| gatherings,   | or other assemblies.                | 0                           |                    |                   |                |
| 35            | Property Protection                 | EMA Director                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC            |
|               |                                     | Park District Director      |                    |                   |                |
| 6-4. Improv   | e and/or maintain warning           | and notification systems    | to warn the pub    | lic about imper   | ding dangers   |
| and threats   |                                     | ·                           | -                  |                   |                |

| Priority     | Action Type   | Lead                           | Start Date         | End Date         | Funding       |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 36           | Public Information  | EMA Director                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM;          |  |  |  |
|              |   |                                |                    |                  | HMGP;         |  |  |  |
|              |   |                                |                    |                  | EMPG          |  |  |  |
| 6-4. Enhanc  | e warning and notification  | systems through improve        | ments to digital   | technology and   | l other       |  |  |  |
| hardware a   | nd software used in the ope   | eration of warning and no      | tification system  | ıs.              |               |  |  |  |
| 37           | Public Information  | EMA Director                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM;          |  |  |  |
|              |   |                                |                    |                  | HMGP;         |  |  |  |
|              |   |                                |                    |                  | EMPG          |  |  |  |
| 6-5. Work w  | vith the community to ident   | ify resources for affordab     | ole debris dispos  | al after storms, | possibly      |  |  |  |
| through cre  | ation of special programs fo  | or facilities that will receiv | ve debris after st | orms.            |               |  |  |  |
| 38           | Property Protection   | Solid Waste Director           | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | ICC           |  |  |  |
| GOAL 7 – W   | GOAL 7 – WATER QUALITY: Knox County will take action to protect the sources of water so that a safe |                                |                    |                  |               |  |  |  |
| potable wat  | ter supply is available for re  | esidents.                      |                    |                  |               |  |  |  |
| 7-1. Advoca  | te for improvements to wat  | ter treatment plants to in     | clude enhanced     | testing and mo   | onitoring and |  |  |  |
| to improve   | treatment capabilities.   | 1                              | I                  | I                |               |  |  |  |
| 39           | Natural Resource  | Water/Wastewater               | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM; HMGP     |  |  |  |
|              | Protection  | Superintendent                 |                    |                  |               |  |  |  |
| 7-2. Advoca  | te and support continuing r   | esearch into all causes of     | water quality is   | sues, including  | analysis of   |  |  |  |
| practices by | industrial, personal, manu  | facturing, and agricultura     | l communities.     | I                |               |  |  |  |
| 40           | Natural Resource  | Health Commissioner            | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | LOC           |  |  |  |
|              | Protection  |                                |                    |                  |               |  |  |  |
| 7-3. Develo  | o inter-connected and redu  | ndant water systems that       | are able to swit   | ch over when o   | one is        |  |  |  |
| contaminate  | ed to provide a safe water s  | supply for the population.     | I                  |                  |               |  |  |  |
| 41           | Structurally Engineered   | County Engineer                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM; HMGP     |  |  |  |
|              | Projects  |                                |                    |                  |               |  |  |  |
| 7-4. Suppor  | t the implementation of me  | easures to manage distres      | sed watershed i    | n the county ar  | nd advocate   |  |  |  |
| for support  | programs to help farmers in   | mplement nutrient manag        | gement program     | is while mainta  | ining         |  |  |  |
| agricultural | economic well-being.  |                                |                    |                  |               |  |  |  |
| 42           | Natural Resource  | SWCD Director                  | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM; HMGP     |  |  |  |
|              | Protection  |                                |                    |                  |               |  |  |  |

## 3.3.2 Centerburg

#### **Table 3-3: Centerburg Mitigation Strategies**

| Priority       | Action Type                     | Lead                        | Start Date         | End Date          | Funding         |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| GOAL 1 – FL    | OOD: Centerburg will take a     | action to reduce the effe   | ects of all types  | of flooding.      |                 |
| 1-1. Mainta    | in water control structures (   | reservoirs, retention/det   | ention ponds) ir   | n new or existir  | ng property to  |
| lessen the e   | ffects of flash and storm sev   | ver back up flooding.       |                    |                   |                 |
| 4              | Property Protection             | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC: PDM;       |
|                |                                 |                             |                    |                   | HGMP            |
| 1-2. Utilize a | acquisition, demolition, and    | relocation for properties   | that suffer repe   | titive flood los  | s and/or        |
| experience     | repeated damage to structu      | res from all types of floo  | ding.              | 1                 |                 |
| 5              | Prevention                      | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |
| 1-3. Clear d   | ebris, fallen trees, excess sec | liment, etc. from waterw    | ays to improve     | flow.             |                 |
| 6              | Property Protection             | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC             |
| 1-4. Manage    | e stream flow through chann     | elization, sedimentation    | removal, debris    | and obstruction   | on removal,     |
| and stream     | ecology management practi       | ces.                        |                    |                   |                 |
| 7              | Structurally Engineered         | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |
|                | Projects                        |                             |                    |                   |                 |
| 1-5. Collabo   | rate with watershed and cor     | nservancy districts and o   | ther counties th   | at share an inte  | erest in        |
| common wa      | aterways to facilitate cleanin  | g, maintaining, and corre   | ecting watershee   | d problems        |                 |
| 8              | Natural Resource                | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC; ICC        |
|                | Protection                      |                             |                    |                   |                 |
| 1-6. Enforce   | e floodplain, zoning, and buil  | ding regulations to mana    | age developmen     | t in areas witho  | but             |
| regulations    | or standards; and take part i   | n revision of flood maps    | , monitoring the   | need for local    | construction    |
| regulation a   | nd maintaining adequate ef      | forts to reduce vulnerabi   | lity through mai   | nagement of de    | evelopment.     |
| 9              | Prevention                      | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC             |
| 1-7. Mainta    | in membership, join, or reso    | lve sanctions with NFIP;    | establish CRS pa   | rticipation; eng  | gage in federal |
| floodplain a   | ctivities to support flood pre  | evention.                   |                    |                   |                 |
| 10             | Prevention                      | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC             |
| 1-8. Identify  | and implement means to co       | ollect debris in runoff wa  | ter and manage     | it before it clo  | gs ditches,     |
| streams, cu    | lverts, and other waterways.    |                             |                    |                   |                 |
| 11             | Property Protection             | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC; ICC        |
| GOAL 2 – IN    | IFRASTRUCTURE: Centerbur        | g will act to harden, imp   | rove, and repla    | ce various forn   | ns of           |
| infrastructu   | ire to reduce damages and c     | consequences from storr     | ns and disasters   | 5.                |                 |
| 2-1. Mainta    | in, repair, upgrade, and/or re  | eplace storm sewers and     | wastewater ma      | nagement syst     | ems; add        |
| street curbs   | or replace deteriorating one    | es; repair or replace stor  | mwater basins.     |                   |                 |
| 1              | Structurally Engineered         | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; CDBG;      |
|                | Projects                        |                             |                    |                   | HMGP            |
| 2-2. Advoca    | te and support improvemen       | ts to utility systems (dist | ribution lines, ge | enerating plant   | s, pumps,       |
| filters, and o | other system components) tl     | hat result in more depen    | dable, resilient i | nfrastructure f   | or the          |
| purpose of     | maintaining lifelines during c  | lisaster response and rec   | covery.            |                   |                 |
| 2              | Structurally Engineered         | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; CDBG;      |
|                | Projects                        |                             |                    |                   | HMGP            |
| 2-3. Replace   | e, improve, or create sanitary  | y sewer systems for neig    | hborhoods, subo    | divisions or juri | sdictions to    |
| replace sep    | tic systems or outdated sewe    | er systems.                 |                    |                   |                 |
| 3              | Structurally Engineered         | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | CDBG; PDM       |
|                | Projects                        |                             |                    |                   |                 |

| Priority   | Action Type                    | Lead                       | Start Date         | End Date         | Funding       |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|
| GOAL 3 – SE  | EVERE STORMS (THUNDERS         | TORM, TORNADO, WINE        | D): Centerburg v   | vill take action | to reduce the |
| consequence  | ces and damages from sever     | re thunderstorms, winds    | torms, and othe    | er severe weat   | her.          |
| 3-1. Suppor  | t the construction of safe ro  | oms for single- and multi  | -family homes a    | ind areas such a | as mobile     |
| home parks   | , campgrounds, apartment c     | omplexes, condominium      | n neighborhoods    | , etc.           |               |
| 12   | Property Protection            | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM; HMGP     |
| 3-2. Mainta  | in trees and vegetation on p   | ublic property, and remo   | ove dead or dise   | ased trees that  | are           |
| vulnerable t   | to wind damage.                |                            |                    |                  |               |
| 13   | Property Protection            | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | LOC; PDM      |
| 3-3. Improv  | e and/or maintain warning a    | nd notification systems    | to warn the pub    | lic about imper  | nding dangers |
| and threats  |                                |                            |                    |                  |               |
| 14   | Public Information             | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM; EMPG;    |
|  |                                |                            |                    |                  | HSGP          |
| 3-4. Enhanc  | e warning and notification s   | ystems through improve     | ments to digital   | technology and   | d other       |
| hardware a   | nd software used in the oper   | ration of warning and no   | tification system  | ns.              |               |
| 15   | Public Information             | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | PDM; EMPG;    |
|  |                                |                            |                    |                  | HSGP          |
| 3-5. Educate   | e the community about warr     | nings and notifications ar | nd the actions th  | ney should take  | when a one is |
| issued   |                                |                            |                    |                  |               |
| 16   | Public Information             | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | EMPG          |
| GOAL 4 – W   | ATER QUALITY: Centerburg       | will take action to prote  | ect the sources of | of water so tha  | t a safe      |
| potable wa   | ter supply is available for co | ounty residents.           |                    |                  |               |
| 4-1. Advocate for improvements to water treatment plants to include enhanced testing and monitoring, and |                                |                            |                    |                  |               |
| to improve   | treatment capabilities         |                            |                    |                  |               |
| 17   | Structurally Engineered        | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025       | CDBG          |
|  | Projects                       |                            |                    |                  |               |

# 3.3.3 Danville

| Table | 3-4:              | Danville | Mitigation | Strategies |
|-------|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|
| labic | J- <del>-</del> . | Darivine | windgation | Juacesies  |

| Priority      | Action Type                      | Lead                        | Start Date         | End Date          | Funding         |
|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| GOAL 1 – FI   | LOOD: Danville will take act     | ion to reduce the effects   | of all types of f  | looding.          |                 |
| 1-1. Constru  | uct or maintain water contro     | l structures (reservoirs, r | retention/deten    | tion ponds, dar   | ns, levees,     |
| dikes, flood  | walls, etc.) in new or existing  | g property to lessen the o  | effects of flash a | nd storm sewe     | r back up.      |
| 9             | Structurally Engineered          | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; CDBG       |
|               | Projects                         |                             |                    |                   |                 |
| 1-2. Elevate  | structures (buildings, roadw     | vays, bridges, culverts et  | c.) to remove th   | em from flood-    | prone areas.    |
| 10            | Property Protection              | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |
| 1-3. Utilize  | acquisition, demolition, and     | relocation for properties   | s that suffer repe | etitive flood los | s and/or        |
| experience    | repeated damage to structu       | res from all types of floo  | ding.              |                   |                 |
| 11            | Prevention                       | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |
| 1-4. Collabo  | prate with watershed and co      | nservancy districts and o   | ther counties th   | at share an inte  | erest in        |
| common wa     | aterways to facilitate cleanin   | g, maintaining, and corro   | ecting watershe    | d problems.       |                 |
| 12            | Natural Resource                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC: ICC        |
|               | Protection                       |                             |                    |                   |                 |
| 1-5. Adopt a  | and/or enforce floodplain an     | d zoning regulations to r   | manage develop     | ment; and take    | part in         |
| revision of f | flood maps, monitoring the r     | need for local construction | on regulation and  | d maintaining a   | dequate         |
| efforts to re | duce vulnerability through r     | management of develop       | ment.              | •                 |                 |
| 13            | Property Protection              | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC; ICC        |
| 1-6. Mainta   | in membership, join, or reso     | lve sanctions with NFIP;    | establish CRS pa   | rticipation; eng  | gage in federal |
| floodplain a  | ctivities to support flood pre   | evention.                   | 1                  |                   |                 |
| 14            | Prevention                       | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC             |
| 1-7. Add rap  | pidly deployable signs to mai    | rk flooded roadways quid    | ckly.              | 1                 |                 |
| 15            | Property Protection              | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC             |
| 1-8. Protect  | waterway banks and land n        | ear waterways from dete     | erioration due to  | o rapid or exces  | sive flow by    |
| planting veg  | getation, installing dormant     | woody stakes and posts,     | planting trees, s  | shrubs and gras   | ses along       |
| banks and b   | perms, or using deflectors to    | prevent deterioration, o    | r other methods    | s to accomplish   | the same.       |
| 16            | Natural Resource                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |
|               | Protection                       |                             |                    |                   |                 |
| 1-9. Utilize  | biotechnical methods (place      | ment of willow posts, ha    | rdwood tree pla    | ntings, fascines  | s, brush        |
| layering, ev  | ergreen revetments, log reve     | etments, tree kickers, lur  | nker structures,   | or placed rocks   | as examples)    |
| to minimize   | the deterioration or destruc     | ction of stream banks du    | e to excessive fl  | ow.               |                 |
| 17            | Natural Resource                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |
|               | Protection                       |                             |                    |                   |                 |
| 1-10. Maint   | ain and improve soil quality     | through crop rotation, ci   | rop residue man    | agement, cont     | our buffer      |
| strips, conto | our farming and strip-croppin    | ng, use of cover crops, in  | stallation of fiel | d borders, rota   | tion grazing,   |
| pasture plai  | nting, establishment of grass    | sy waterways, grade stab    | ilization structu  | res, water and    | sediment        |
| control basi  | ins, critical area planting, div | ersion, terracing, manur    | e storage and ru   | nott control, nu  | utrient         |
| managemei     | nt, pest management, well a      | pandonment, riparian bu     | utters, wetland r  | estoration, win   | abreaks,        |
|               | Notural Decourses                |                             |                    | 05 21 2025        |                 |
| 18            | Natural Resource                 | village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDIVI; HIVIGP   |
| 1 11 Ada      |                                  |                             | +                  | untion in flagge  |                 |
| that current  | L, support, and enforce zonin    | ig and building codes that  | it prevent constr  |                   | zones, and      |
| that suppor   | i construction practices that    | . miligale damage due to    | noou for struct    | ures built in Vu  | merable areas   |

| Priority                   | Action Type                         | Lead                        | Start Date         | End Date          | Funding       |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 19                         | Property Protection                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC; ICC      |
| GOAL 2 – H                 | AZARDOUS MATERIALS: Dai             | nville will take action to  | prevent, manag     | ge, and reduce    | the           |
| consequenc                 | ces from spills and leaks of h      | nazardous materials.        |                    |                   |               |
| 2-1 Ensure t               | hat signage on highways and         | d roadways is clear and e   | easy to follow to  | decrease the li   | kelihood of   |
| vehicle acci               | dents due to unsure routes o        | of travel.                  |                    | I                 |               |
| 29                         | Property Protection                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC           |
| 2-2. Advoca                | te for funding and conductir        | ng additional first respon  | der training to c  | over not only h   | ighway        |
| hazardous r                | naterials incidents but also p      | opeline and intermodal s    | systems.           |                   |               |
| 30                         | Property Protection                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC; HSGP;    |
| COAL 2 IN                  |                                     | villent te henden immun     |                    |                   | EMPG; Other   |
| GOAL 3 - IN                | IFRASTRUCTURE: Danville w           | /ill act to harden, improv  | e, and replace     | various forms d   | DT            |
| 2 1 Mainta                 | ire to reduce damages and c         | consequences from storr     | ns and disasters   | s.                | omer odd      |
| street curbs               | or replace deteriorating on         | epiace storin sewers and    | mwater basing      | inagement syst    | ems, auu      |
| 1                          | Structurally Engineered             | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        |               |
| -                          | Projects                            | Village Administrator       | 05 22 2020         | 05 21 2025        | HMGP          |
| 3-2 Improv                 | e and repair roadways that a        | are damaged by rapid rur    | off and heavy r    | precipitation     | million       |
| 2                          | Property Protection                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC: ICC      |
| 3-3. Advoca                | te and support improvemen           | ts to utility systems (dist | ribution lines. g  | enerating plant   | s. pumps.     |
| filters, and o             | other system components) th         | hat result in more depen    | dable, resilient i | infrastructure f  | or the        |
| purpose of                 | maintaining lifelines during o      | disaster response and rec   | covery.            |                   |               |
| 3                          | Property Protection                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP     |
| 3-4. Identify              | valternate/back-up utility re       | sources for use when a p    | orimary energy s   | ource is compr    | omised        |
| (generators                | , redundant suppliers, etc.).       |                             |                    |                   |               |
| 4                          | Property Protection                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | EMPG; PDM     |
| 3-4. Conduc                | t hydrology and hydraulics,         | storm water and sewer, i    | inundation, and    | other studies t   | o determine   |
| the root cau               | use of flooding and water ma        | anagement problems as v     | well as vulnerab   | le populations    | and property. |
| 5                          | Property Protection                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP     |
| 3-5. Mainta                | in, repair, upgrade and/or re       | place water treatment a     | nd distribution    | systems.          |               |
| 6                          | Structurally Engineered<br>Proiects | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM: HMGP     |
| 3-6. Replace               | e, improve, or create sanitary      | y sewer systems for neig    | hborhoods, sub     | divisions or juri | sdictions to  |
| replace sept               | tic systems or outdated sewe        | er systems.                 |                    | 2                 |               |
| 7                          | Structurally Engineered             | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; CDBG;    |
|                            | Projects                            |                             |                    |                   | HMGP          |
| 3-7. Replace               | e roadways and streets with         | deteriorating pavement      | due to heavy dr    | ainage; replace   | worn bridges  |
| and culverts               | s and increase size and span        | as appropriate.             |                    |                   |               |
| 8                          | Property Protection                 | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | ICC: LOC;     |
|                            |                                     |                             |                    |                   | PDM           |
| GOAL 4 – LA<br>Jandslides, | AND SUBSIDENCE/LANDSLID             | E: Danville will reduce t   | he effects of lar  | nd subsidence a   | and           |
| 4-1. Suppor                | t and implement slope prote         | ection actions where land   | Islides are possi  | ble and along w   | vaterwavs and |
| other vulne                | rable areas where natural oc        | ccurrences endanger pro     | perty, structure   | s, or where oth   | er geological |
| vulnerabiliti              | es exist.                           | 0 1                         | ,                  | -                 | 5 5           |
| 34                         | Natural Resource                    | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | ICC; PDM      |
|                            | Protection                          |                             |                    |                   |               |
|                            |                                     |                             |                    |                   |               |

| Priority      | Action Type                    | Lead                       | Start Date        | End Date         | Funding         |
|---------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| GOAL 5 – SE   | EVERE STORMS (THUNDERS         | FORM, TORNADO, WINE        | D): Danville will | take action to   | reduce the      |
| consequence   | ces and damages from sever     | e thunderstorms, winds     | torms, and othe   | er severe weat   | her.            |
| 5-1. Suppor   | t the construction of safe roo | oms for single- and multi  | -family homes a   | nd areas such a  | as mobile       |
| home parks    | , campgrounds, apartment c     | omplexes, condominium      | n neighborhoods   | s, etc.          |                 |
| 20            | Property Protection            | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | PDM: HMGP       |
| 5-2. Mainta   | in trees and vegetation on p   | ublic property, and remo   | ove dead or dise  | ased trees that  | are             |
| vulnerable t  | o wind damage.                 |                            |                   | •                |                 |
| 21            | Property Protection            | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC             |
| 5-3. Develo   | p agreements for safety shel   | ters to be used for a vari | ety of disaster-r | elated purpose   | s during        |
| severe storr  | ms and/or evacuations, inclu   | ding areas like stadiums   | and parks used    | for recreation,  | public          |
| gatherings,   | or other assemblies.           |                            |                   | 1                |                 |
| 22            | Property Protection            | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC; PDM        |
| 5-4. Improv   | e and/or maintain warning a    | nd notification systems    | to warn the pub   | lic about imper  | nding dangers   |
| and threats.  | •                              |                            |                   |                  |                 |
| 23            | Public Information             | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC; PDM;       |
|               |                                |                            |                   |                  | HSGP; CDBG      |
| 5-5. Enhanc   | e warning and notification sy  | stems through improve      | ments to digital  | technology and   | d other         |
| hardware a    | nd software used in the oper   | ation of warning and no    | tification system | ns.              |                 |
| 24            | Public Information             | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | HSGP; PDM;      |
|               |                                |                            |                   |                  | AFG             |
| 5-6. Educate  | e the community about warr     | nings and notifications ar | nd the actions th | ey should take   | when issued.    |
| 25            | Public Information             | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC; EMPG       |
| 5-7. Educate  | e the community about prote    | ective actions they shoul  | d take when an    | emergency not    | tice is issued. |
| 26            | Public Information             | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC; EMPG       |
| 5-8. Educate  | e the public about shelter loo | cations and the routes th  | at should be use  | ed to access the | ese shelters in |
| an emergen    | cy situation.                  |                            |                   | T                |                 |
| 27            | Public Information             | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC: EMPG       |
| 5-9. Work to  | o develop affordable and fur   | ictional county-wide pub   | olic safety comm  | unication syste  | m with the      |
| capability fo | or multi-discipline and multi- | jurisdictional communica   | ation.            | 1                |                 |
| 28            | Property Protection            | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC; HSGP;      |
|               |                                |                            |                   |                  | EMPG; PDM       |
| GOAL 6 – W    | ATER QUALITY: Danville wil     | I take action to protect   | the sources of w  | vater so that a  | safe potable    |
| water supp    | ly is available for residents. |                            |                   |                  |                 |
| 6-1. Advoca   | te for improvements to wate    | er treatment plants to in  | clude enhanced    | testing and mo   | onitoring, and  |
| to improve    | treatment capabilities.        |                            |                   |                  |                 |
| 31            | Structurally Engineered        | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | PDM             |
|               | Projects                       |                            |                   |                  |                 |
| 6-2. Advoca   | te and support continuing re   | esearch into all causes of | water quality is  | sues, including  | analysis of     |
| practices by  | r industrial, personal, manufa | acturing, and agricultura  | communities.      |                  |                 |
| 32            | Natural Resource               | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC; Other      |
|               | Protection                     |                            |                   | l <u>.</u>       |                 |
| 6-3. Develo   | p inter-connected and redun    | dant water systems that    | are able to swit  | ich over when o  | one is          |
| contaminat    | ed to provide a safe water su  | ipply for the population.  |                   | 05 04 000-       |                 |
| 33            | Structurally Engineered        | Village Administrator      | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | PDM; HMGP       |
|               | Projects                       |                            |                   |                  |                 |

### 3.3.4 Fredericktown

#### **Table 3-5: Fredericktown Mitigation Strategies**

| Priority           | Action Type  | Lead                        | Start Date         | End Date                | Funding         |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| GOAL 1 – E         | ARTHQUAKE: Fredericktowr   | will take action to asse    | ss and mitigate    | the effects of <b>p</b> | ootential       |
| earthquake         | 25.  |                             |                    |                         |                 |
| 1-1. Identify      | y the structures and infrastru   | icture in the county that   | would likely be    | damaged or de           | stroyed in an   |
| earthquake         | of moderate to severe mag  | nitude.                     |                    | •                       |                 |
| 24                 | Property Protection  | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              | LOC             |
| GOAL 2 – FI        | LOOD: Fredericktown will ta  | ke action to reduce the     | effects of all typ | oes of flooding.        |                 |
| 2-1. Constru       | uct or maintain water contro   | l structures (reservoirs, r | retention/deten    | tion ponds, dar         | ns, levees,     |
| dikes, flood       | walls, etc.) in new or existing  | g property to lessen the e  | effects of flash a | nd storm sewe           | r back up.      |
| 12                 | Structurally Engineered  | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              | PDM; HMGP       |
|                    | Projects   |                             |                    |                         |                 |
| 22- Utilize a      | acquisition, demolition, and r   | elocation for properties    | that suffer repe   | titive flood loss       | and/or          |
| experience         | repeated damage to structu   | res from all types of floo  | ding.              |                         |                 |
| 13                 | Prevention   | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              | PDM; HMGP       |
| 2-3 Utilize c      | channel diversion or channel   | modification (deepening     | g or widening) to  | o re-route wate         | r or increase   |
| capacity an        | d reduce flooding.   |                             |                    |                         |                 |
| 14                 | Structurally Engineered  | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              | PDM; HMGP       |
|                    | Projects   |                             |                    |                         |                 |
| 2-4. Collabo       | brate with watershed and co  | nservancy districts and o   | ther counties th   | at share an inte        | erest in        |
| common wa          | aterways to facilitate cleanin   | g, maintaining, and corre   | ecting watershe    | d problems.             |                 |
| 15                 | Natural Resource   | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              | LOC; ICC        |
|                    | Protection   |                             | a stablish CDC as  |                         |                 |
| 2-5. Mainta        | in participation, join, or reso  | ventions with NFIP;         | establish CRS pa   | articipation; eng       | gage in federal |
|                    |  | Vention.                    | 05 22 2020         | 05 21 2025              | 100             |
| 10<br>2 C Identifi |  | village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              |                 |
| 2-6. Identify      | y repetitive loss and severe r   | epetitive loss structures   | in the jurisdictio | on, and will adv        | ocate for       |
| 17                 | Brovention   | Villago Administrator       | 05 22 2020         | 05 21 2025              |                 |
| 1/                 | pidly doployable signs to may  | village Authinistrator      | 05-22-2020         | 03-21-2023              | LUC             |
| 2-7. Auu Taj       | Broporty Protoction  | Villago Administrator       |                    | 05 21 2025              |                 |
|                    | Property Protection  | tativo buffors insido wat   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              | LUC; CDBG       |
| 2-8. Othize        | l excess storm water   | tative pullers inside wat   | erways to slow i   | the rapid now c         | nnoouwater      |
| 10                 | Natural Posourco   | Villago Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              |                 |
| 19                 | Protection   | Village Autoritistrator     | 03-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              | F DIVI, FIVIA   |
| 60AL 3 - H         |  | dericktown will take act    | ion to prevent     | manage and r            | educe the       |
| consequent         | ces from spills and leaks of h   | azardous materials.         | ion to prevent,    | manage, and r           | eudee me        |
| 3-1. Advoca        | te for funding and conductir   | ng additional first respon  | der training to c  | over not only h         | ighway          |
| hazardous r        | materials incidents but also p   | pipeline and intermodal s   | systems.           |                         | 0,              |
| 22                 | Property Protection  | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025              | EMPG; HSGP      |
| GOAL 4 – IN        | NFRASTRUCTURE: Frederickt  | own will act to harden,     | improve, and re    | place various f         | orms of         |
| infrastructu       | ure to reduce damages and o  | consequences from storr     | ms and disasters   | s.                      |                 |
| 4-1. Mainta        | in, repair, upgrade, and/or r  | eplace storm sewers and     | wastewater ma      | anagement syst          | ems; add        |
| street curbs       | street curbs or replace deteriorating ones; repair or replace stormwater basins. |                             |                    |                         |                 |

| Priority                  | Action Type   | Lead  | Start Date                      | End Date                          | Funding                   |
|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1                         | Structurally Engineered                             | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM; CDBG                 |
|                           | Projects  |   |                                 |                                   |                           |
| 4-2. Ensure               | that high hazard and classifi                       | ed dams have current en                       | nergency plans t                | that identify inι                 | undation areas            |
| and facilitat             | e emergency actions in the e                        | event of a failure.                           |                                 |                                   |                           |
| 2                         | Structurally Engineered                             | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM; CDBG;                |
|                           | Projects  |   |                                 |                                   | HMGP                      |
| 4-3. Mainta               | in, repair, and replace dams                        | and upground reservoirs                       | s that are structu              | urally comprom                    | nised or that             |
| place the p               | ublic in danger during a struc                      | tural failure.                                |                                 | T                                 | Γ                         |
| 3                         | Structurally Engineered                             | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM;HMGP;                 |
|                           | Projects  |   |                                 |                                   | CDBG                      |
| 4-4. Improv               | e and repair roadways that a                        | are damaged by rapid rur                      | noff and heavy p                | precipitation.                    |                           |
| 4                         | Property Protection                                 | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | CDBG                      |
| 4-5. Advoca               | ite and support improvemen                          | ts to utility systems (dist                   | ribution lines, g               | enerating plant                   | s, pumps,                 |
| filters, and              | other system components) t                          | hat result in more depen                      | dable, resilient                | infrastructure f                  | or the                    |
| purpose of                | maintaining lifelines during o                      | lisaster response and rec                     | covery.                         | 05 21 2025                        |                           |
| 5                         | Structurally Engineered                             | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM; HMGP                 |
| 1 E Idontifi              | Projects  |   |                                 |                                   | omicod                    |
| 4-5. Identify             | y alternate/back-up utility re                      | sources for use when a p                      | onmary energy s                 | ource is compr                    | omised                    |
|                           | Property Protection                                 | Villago Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        |                           |
| 0                         | Froperty Frotection                                 | Village Autoritistrator                       | 03-22-2020                      | 03-21-2023                        | HMGP                      |
| 4-6 Conduc                | t hydrology and hydraulics                          | storm water and sewer                         | inundation and                  | other studies t                   | o determine               |
| the root ca               | use of flooding and water ma                        | nagement problems as v                        | well as vulnerab                | le populations                    | and property.             |
| 7                         | Structurally Engineered                             | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM: HMGP                 |
| -                         | Projects  |   |                                 |                                   |                           |
| 4-7. Mainta               | in, repair, upgrade and or re                       | place water treatment a                       | nd distribution s               | systems.                          | I                         |
| 8                         | Structurally Engineered                             | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM;HMGP;                 |
|                           | Projects  |   |                                 |                                   | CDBG                      |
| 4-8. Replace              | e, improve, or create sanitar                       | y sewer systems for neig                      | hborhoods, sub                  | divisions or juri                 | sdictions to              |
| replace sep               | tic systems or outdated sew                         | er systems.                                   |                                 |                                   |                           |
| 9                         | Structurally Engineered                             | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM; CDBG                 |
|                           | Projects  |   |                                 |                                   |                           |
| 4-9. Replace              | e roadways and streets with                         | deteriorating pavement                        | due to heavy dr                 | ainage; replace                   | worn bridges              |
| and culvert               | s and increase size and span                        | as appropriate.                               |                                 | •                                 |                           |
| 10                        | Structurally Engineered                             | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM                       |
|                           | Projects  |   |                                 |                                   |                           |
| 4-10. Devel               | op infrastructure redundanc                         | y through the addition of                     | f generators, de                | velopment of a                    | lternate                  |
| power, wat                | er, and fuel supplies; and ad                       | dition of alternate vendo                     | ors for service ar              | nd products like                  | portable                  |
| generators,               | fuel supplies, bottled water,                       | , etc.  |                                 |                                   | <u> </u>                  |
| 11                        | Property Protection                                 | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM: HMGP                 |
| GOAL 5 – SI<br>the conseq | EVERE STORMS (THUNDERS<br>uences and damages from s | TORM, TORNADO, WINE<br>evere thunderstorms, w | D): Fredericktow indstorms, and | n will take act<br>other severe w | ion to reduce<br>/eather. |
| 5-1. Suppor               | t the construction of safe roo                      | oms for single- and multi                     | -family homes a                 | ind areas such a                  | as mobile                 |
| home parks                | s, campgrounds, apartment c                         | omplexes, condominium                         | neighborhoods                   | s, etc.                           |                           |
| 20                        | Property Protection                                 | Village Administrator                         | 05-22-2020                      | 05-21-2025                        | PDM                       |

| Priority    | Action Type  | Lead                      | Start Date        | End Date       | Funding     |  |  |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|
| 5-2. Enhanc | 5-2. Enhance warning and notification systems through improvements to digital technology and other |                           |                   |                |             |  |  |
| hardware a  | nd software used in the oper   | ation of warning and no   | tification system | is.            |             |  |  |
| 21          | Public Information   | Village Administrator     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025     | PDM; EMPG;  |  |  |
|             |  |                           |                   |                | HSGP        |  |  |
| GOAL 6 – W  | ATER QUALITY: Frederickto  | wn will take action to p  | rotect the sourc  | es of water so | that a safe |  |  |
| potable wat | ter supply is available for co   | unty residents.           |                   |                |             |  |  |
| 6-1. Develo | p inter-connected and redun  | dant water systems that   | are able to swit  | ch over when a | one is      |  |  |
| contaminat  | ed to provide a safe water su  | upply for the population. |                   |                |             |  |  |
| 23          | Structurally Engineered  | Village Administrator     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025     | CDBG; PDM   |  |  |
|             | Projects   |                           |                   |                |             |  |  |

# 3.3.5 Gambier

#### **Table 3-6: Gambier Mitigation Strategies**

| Priority       | Action Type                               | Lead                         | Start Date         | End Date          | Funding        |
|----------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| GOAL 1 – FL    | OOD: Gambier will take ac                 | tion to reduce the effect    | s of all types of  | flooding.         |                |
| 1-1. Constru   | uct or maintain water contro              | ol structures (reservoirs, r | etention/detent    | tion ponds, dar   | ns, levees,    |
| dikes, flood   | walls, etc.) in new or existin            | g property to lessen the e   | effects of flash a | nd storm sewe     | r back up      |
| flooding.      |   |                              |                    |                   |                |
| 8              | Structurally Engineered                   | Village Administrator        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM;HMGP:      |
|                | Projects                                  |                              |                    |                   | CDBG           |
| 1-2. Utilize a | acquisition, demolition, and              | relocation for properties    | that suffer repe   | etitive flood los | s and/or       |
| experience     | repeated damage to structu                | ires from all types of floo  | ding.              |                   |                |
| 9              | Prevention                                | Village Administrator        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM: HMGP      |
| 1-3. Utilize d | channel diversion or channe               | el modification (deepenin    | g or widening) to  | o re-route wate   | er or increase |
| capacity and   | d reduce flooding.                        |                              |                    | 1                 |                |
| 10             | Structurally Engineered                   | Village Administrator        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM;           |
|                | Projects                                  |                              |                    |                   | HMGP; FMA      |
| 1-4. Clear de  | ebris, fallen trees, excess se            | diment, etc. from waterw     | vays to improve    | flow.             |                |
| 11             | Property Protection                       | Village Administrator        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC; ICC       |
| 1-5. Manage    | e stream flow through chan                | nelization, sedimentation    | removal, debris    | s and obstruction | on removal,    |
| and stream     | ecology management pract                  | ices.                        |                    |                   |                |
| 12             | Property Protection                       | Village Administrator        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; Other     |
| 1-6. Develo    | p, implement, and maintain                | the capability to prevent    | flood damages      | to property the   | ough use of    |
| sandbags ar    | nd other wind and water pr                | oofing techniques.           |                    |                   |                |
| 13             | Property Protection                       | Kenyon College Safety        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | ICC            |
|                |   | Director                     |                    |                   |                |
| 1-7. Add rap   | pidly deployable signs to ma              | rk flooded campus roadv      | vays and commo     | on-use areas qu   | ickly.         |
| 14             | Property Protection                       | Kenyon College Safety        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | ICC            |
|                |   | Director                     |                    |                   |                |
| 1-8. Advoca    | te the use of green materia               | Is and practices in new co   | ollege developm    | ent, such as gre  | en parking     |
| policies, gre  | en roof materials, and alter              | nate paving materials that   | at promote abso    | rption instead    | of runoff.     |
| 15             | Property Protection                       | Kenyon College Safety        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | ICC            |
|                |   | Director                     |                    |                   |                |
| 1-9. Mainta    | in participation, join, or res            | olve sanctions with NFIP;    | establish CRS pa   | rticipation; and  | d/or engage in |
| federal floo   | dplain activities to support              | flood prevention.            |                    |                   |                |
| 16             | Prevention                                | Commissioners                | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC            |
| GOAL 2 – IN    | IFRASTRUCTURE: Gambier                    | will act to harden, impro    | ve, and replace    | various forms     | of             |
| infrastructu   | ire to reduce damages and                 | consequences from stor       | ms and disasters   | 5.                |                |
| 2-1. Mainta    | in, repair, upgrade, and/or               | replace storm sewers and     | wastewater ma      | inagement syst    | ems; add       |
| street curbs   | or replace deteriorating or               | nes; repair or replace stor  | mwater basins.     |                   |                |
| 1              | Property Protection                       | Village Administrator        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM: HMGP      |
|                |   | Kenyon College Safety        |                    |                   |                |
|                |   | Director                     |                    |                   |                |
| 2-2. Identify  | <pre>v alternate/back-up utility re</pre> | esources for use when a p    | primary energy s   | ource is compr    | omised         |
| (generators    | , redundant suppliers, etc.).             |                              |                    | 1                 |                |
| 2              | Property Protection                       | Village Administrator        | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM;HMGP:      |
|                |   |                              |                    |                   | EMPG           |

| Priority                   | Action Type                              | Lead                              | Start Date        | End Date            | Funding         |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 2-3. Replace               | e, improve, or create sanita             | ry sewer systems for neig         | hborhoods, sub    | divisions or juri   | sdictions to    |
| replace sep                | tic systems or outdated sew              | ver systems.                      |                   |                     |                 |
| 3                          | Structurally Engineered                  | Village Administrator             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | PDM;HMGP;       |
|                            | Projects                                 |                                   |                   |                     | CDBG            |
| 2-4. Replace               | e roadways and streets with              | deteriorating pavement            | due to heavy dr   | ainage; replace     | worn bridges    |
| and culvert                | s and increase size and spar             | n as appropriate.                 | [                 | 1                   | [               |
| 4                          | Structurally Engineered<br>Projects      | Village Administrator             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | PDM; CDBG       |
| 2-5. Upgrad<br>to students | e or replace communication<br>and staff. | ns equipment to provide a         | adequate and ti   | mely emergenc       | y notifications |
| 5                          | Public Information                       | Kenyon College Safety<br>Director | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | ICC             |
| 2-6. Acquire               | e generators for alternate p             | ower during an extended           | outage and ider   | ntify alternate v   | endors for      |
| fuel supplie               | s, bottled water, and other              | critical supplies.                |                   |                     |                 |
| 6                          | Property Protection                      | Kenyon College Safety             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | ICC             |
|                            |  | Director                          |                   |                     |                 |
| 2-7. Develo                | p facilities to be utilized for          | comfort centers when ut           | ility outages occ | ur during perio     | ds of extreme   |
| heat.                      |  |                                   |                   |                     |                 |
| /                          | Property Protection                      | Kenyon College Safety             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | ICC             |
| COAL 2 . 61                |  | Director                          |                   |                     | wadwaa tha      |
| GUAL 3 - SI                | EVERE STURIVIS (THUNDERS                 | STORIVI, TORNADO, WINL            | ): Gampler Will   | take action to      | reduce the      |
| 3-1 Suppor                 | t the construction of safe re            | noms for single- and multi        | i-family homes a  | and areas such a    | as college      |
| residence h                | alls, mobile home parks, ca              | mpgrounds, apartment co           | omplexes, condo   | minium neight       | orhoods, etc.   |
| 17                         | Property Protection                      | Village Administrator             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | PDM: HMGP       |
|                            |  | Kenyon College Safety             |                   |                     |                 |
|                            |  | Director                          |                   |                     |                 |
| 3-2. Repair                | or retrofit public and colleg            | e properties with wind-re         | sistant material  | s (i.e. metal roc   | ofing, siding,  |
| wind-resista               | ant glass, etc.) to decrease o           | lamage due to wind or ot          | her storms.       | 1                   | 1               |
| 18                         | Property Protection                      | Village Administrator             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | PDM; LOC        |
|                            |  | Kenyon College Safety             |                   |                     |                 |
|                            |  | Director                          |                   |                     |                 |
| 3-3. Mainta                | in trees and vegetation on p             | public and college proper         | ty, and remove o  | dead or disease     | d trees that    |
| are vulnera                | ble to wind damage.                      |                                   | 05 22 2020        | 05 04 0005          | 100             |
| 19                         | Property Protection                      | Village Administrator             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | LOC             |
|                            |  | Director                          |                   |                     |                 |
| 3-4 Develo                 | l<br>n agreements for safety she         | lters to be used for a vari       | ety of disaster-r | l<br>elated nurnose | s during        |
| severe stor                | ms and/or evacuations, incl              | uding areas like stadiums         | and parks used    | for recreation.     | public          |
| gatherings.                | or other assemblies.                     |                                   |                   |                     |                 |
| 20                         | Property Protection                      | Village Administrator             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | LOC; EMPG       |
| 3-5. Improv                | e and maintain warning and               | d notification systems to v       | warn the public a | and college con     | nmunity about   |
| imminent tl                | nreats and hazards.                      |                                   | •                 | -                   | -               |
| 21                         | Public Information                       | Village Administrator             | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025          | EMPG: PDM;      |
|                            |  | Kenyon College Safety             |                   |                     | HSGP            |
|                            |  | Director                          |                   |                     |                 |

| Priority      | Action Type                   | Lead                        | Start Date        | End Date           | Funding       |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 3-6. Enhanc   | e warning and notification    | systems through improve     | ments to digital  | technology and     | d other       |
| hardware a    | nd software used in the ope   | eration of warning and no   | tification system | ns.                |               |
| 22            | Public Information            | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025         | EMPG; HSGP    |
| 3-7. Educate  | e college students and staff  | about warnings and the a    | actions they sho  | uld take when      | a one is      |
| issued.       |                               |                             |                   |                    |               |
| 23            | Public Information            | Kenyon College Safety       | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025         | ICC           |
|               |                               | Director                    |                   |                    |               |
| 3-8. Work to  | o provide necessary service   | s to populations with fund  | ctional needs du  | ring disasters.    |               |
| 24            | Property Protection           | Kenyon College Safety       | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025         | ICC           |
|               |                               | Director                    |                   |                    |               |
| GOAL 4 – W    | ATER QUALITY: Gambier w       | vill take action to protect | the sources of v  | water so that a    | safe potable  |
| water supp    | ly is available for residents | •                           |                   |                    |               |
| 4-1. Work fo  | or early and pro-active colla | boration between jurisdi    | ctions regarding  | spills or leaks i  | nto the water |
| supply that   | will eventually affect water  | quality in the county.      |                   | 1                  |               |
| 27            | Natural Resource              | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025         | LOC           |
|               | Protection                    |                             |                   |                    |               |
| 4-2. Develo   | p inter-connected and redu    | ndant water systems that    | are able to swit  | tch over when o    | one is        |
| contaminat    | ed to provide a safe water s  | supply for the population.  |                   | 1                  |               |
| 28            | Structurally Engineered       | Village Administrator       | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025         | PDM; CDBG     |
|               | Projects                      |                             |                   |                    |               |
| GOAL 5 – W    | /INTER STORMS: Gambier v      | will take action to protect | the community     | y from the effe    | cts of severe |
| winter stor   | m incidents.                  |                             |                   |                    |               |
| 5-1. Establis | sh procedures to notify stuc  | lents and staff of extreme  | cold and the da   | angers of expos    | ure.          |
| 25            | Public Information            | Kenyon College Safety       | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025         | ICC           |
|               |                               | Director                    |                   |                    |               |
| 5-2. Establis | sh locations to be used as w  | arming centers during pe    | riods of extreme  | e cold, utility ou | itages, or    |
| other interr  | uptions of heat.              | Γ                           |                   | 1                  |               |
| 26            | Public Information            | Kenyon College Safety       | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025         | ICC           |
|               |                               | Director                    |                   |                    |               |

# 3.3.6 Gann/Brinkhaven

### Table 3-7: Gann/Brinkhaven Mitigation Strategies

| Priority      | Action Type                          | Lead                  | Start Date         | End Date          | Funding       |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| GOAL 1- FL    | DOD: Gann will take action to redu   | uce the effects of a  | all types of flood | ding.             |               |
| 1-1. Constru  | uct or maintain water control struc  | tures (reservoirs, r  | retention/detent   | tion ponds, dan   | ns, levees,   |
| dikes, flood  | walls, etc.) in new or existing prop | erty to lessen the e  | effects of flash a | nd storm sewe     | r back up     |
| flooding.     |                                      |                       |                    |                   |               |
| 7             | Structurally Engineered Project      | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC: ICC      |
| 1-2. Elevate  | structures (buildings, roadways, b   | ridges, culverts et   | c.) to remove the  | em from flood-    | prone areas.  |
| 8             | Property Protection                  |                       | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM           |
| 1-3. Utilize  | acquisition, demolition, and reloca  | tion for properties   | that suffer repe   | etitive flood los | s and/or      |
| experience    | repeated damage to structures fro    | m all types of floo   | ding.              |                   |               |
| 9             | Prevention                           | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP     |
| 1-4. Clear d  | ebris, fallen trees, excess sediment | , etc. from waterv    | vays to improve    | flow.             |               |
| 10            | Property Protection                  | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC           |
| 1-5. Manag    | e stream flow through channelizati   | on, sedimentatior     | n removal, debris  | s and obstruction | on removal,   |
| and stream    | ecology management practices.        |                       |                    |                   |               |
| 11            | Structurally Engineered Project      | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM: LOC      |
| 1-6. Collabo  | orate with watershed and conserva    | ncy districts and o   | ther counties th   | at share an inte  | erest in      |
| common wa     | aterways to facilitate cleaning, mai | ntaining, and corre   | ecting watershee   | d problems.       |               |
| 12            | Natural Resource Protection          | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | Other         |
| 1-7. Adopt a  | and/or enforce floodplain, zoning,   | and building regul    | ations to manag    | e development     | in areas      |
| without reg   | ulations or standards; and take pa   | rt in revision of flo | od maps, monite    | oring the need    | for local     |
| constructio   | n regulation and maintaining adeq    | uate efforts to red   | uce vulnerability  | y through mana    | agement of    |
| developme     | nt.                                  |                       |                    | •                 |               |
| 13            | Prevention                           | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC           |
| 1-8. Join NF  | IP and resolve sanctions consider (  | CRS participation; e  | engage in federa   | I floodplain act  | ivities to    |
| support floo  | pd prevention.                       |                       |                    | •                 |               |
| 14            | Prevention                           | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC           |
| GOAL 2 – IN   | IFRASTRUCTURE: Gann will act to      | harden, improve,      | and replace var    | ious forms of i   | nfrastructure |
| to reduce d   | amages and consequences from s       | torms and disaste     | rs.                |                   |               |
| 2-1. Mainta   | in, repair, upgrade, and/or replace  | storm sewers and      | l wastewater ma    | anagement syst    | ems; add      |
| street curbs  | or replace deteriorating ones; rep   | air or replace stor   | mwater basins.     |                   |               |
| 1             | Structurally Engineered              | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | CDBG; PDM     |
|               | Projects                             |                       |                    |                   |               |
| 2-2. Improv   | e and repair roadways that are dar   | naged by rapid rui    | noff and heavy p   | precipitation.    |               |
| 2             | Property Protection                  | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | CDBG: PDM     |
| 2-3. Advoca   | te and support improvements to u     | tility systems (dist  | ribution lines, ge | enerating plant   | s, pumps,     |
| filters, and  | other system components) that res    | sult in more depen    | dable, resilient i | infrastructure f  | or the        |
| purpose of    | maintaining lifelines during disaste | r response and ree    | covery.            | 1                 |               |
| 3             | Property Protection                  | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | CDBG: PDM     |
| 2-4. Identify | / alternate/back-up utility resource | es for use when a p   | orimary energy s   | ource is compr    | omised        |
| (generators   | , redundant suppliers, etc.)         |                       | 1                  |                   |               |
| 4             | Property Protection                  | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP     |

| Priority   | Action Type   | Lead               | Start Date        | End Date        | Funding      |  |  |
|--|---|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 2-5. Repair,   | replace, retrofit, or upgrade comm  | nunications equipr | nent including to | owers, transmi  | ssion lines, |  |  |
| distribution   | distribution lines, receivers and transmitters to provide improved or redundant capabilities to communicate |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| at all times.  |   |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| 5  | Property Protection   | Mayor              | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025      | CDBG: HSGP;  |  |  |
|  |   |                    |                   |                 | AFG          |  |  |
| 2-6. Replace   | e roadways and streets with deteri  | orating pavement   | due to heavy dr   | ainage; replace | worn bridges |  |  |
| and culverts   | and increase size and span as app   | propriate.         |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| 6  | Property Protection   | Mayor              | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025      | CDBG; PDM    |  |  |
| GOAL 3 – SEVERE STORMS (THUNDERSTORM, TORNADO, WIND): Gann will take action to reduce the                |   |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| consequences and damages from severe thunderstorms, windstorms, and other severe weather.                |   |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| 3-1. Support the construction of safe rooms for single- and multi-family homes and areas such as mobile  |   |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| home parks, campgrounds, apartment complexes, condominium neighborhoods, etc.                            |   |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| 15   | Property Protection   | Mayor              | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025      | PDM          |  |  |
| 3-2. Mainta  | in trees and vegetation on public p   | property, and remo | ove dead or dise  | ased trees that | are          |  |  |
| vulnerable t   | vulnerable to wind damage.  |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| 16   | Property Protection   | Mayor              | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025      | LOC          |  |  |
| 3-3. Develop agreements for safety shelters to be used for a variety of disaster-related purposes during |   |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| severe storms and/or evacuations, including areas like stadiums and parks used for recreation, public    |   |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| gatherings, or other assemblies.   |   |                    |                   |                 |              |  |  |
| 17   | Property Protection   | Mavor              | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025      | LOC          |  |  |

# 3.3.7 Martinsburg

### **Table 3-8: Martinsburg Mitigation Strategies**

| Priority   | Action Type                        | Lead                    | Start Date         | End Date          | Funding     |  |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| GOAL 1 – FL  | OOD: Martinsburg will take a       | ction to reduce the     | effects of all typ | es of flooding.   |             |  |
| 1-1. Constru   | ict or maintain water control s    | tructures (reservoirs   | , retention/dete   | ention ponds, da  | ms, levees, |  |
| dikes, flood   | walls, etc.) in new or existing p  | roperty to lessen th    | e effects of flash | and storm sew     | er back up  |  |
| flooding.  |                                    |                         |                    |                   |             |  |
| 9  | Structurally Engineered            | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM: CDBG   |  |
|  | Projects                           |                         |                    |                   |             |  |
| 1-2. Utilize a   | acquisition, demolition, and re    | location for properti   | es that suffer re  | petitive flood lo | ss and/or   |  |
| experience   | repeated damage to structures      | s from all types of flo | ooding.            | [                 |             |  |
| 10   | Prevention                         | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP   |  |
| 1-3. Clear de  | ebris, fallen trees, excess sedin  | nent, etc. from wate    | rways to improv    | e flow.           |             |  |
| 11   | Property Protection                | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC         |  |
| 1-4. Collabo   | rate with watershed and conse      | ervancy districts and   | other counties     | that share an in  | terest in   |  |
| common wa  | aterways to facilitate cleaning,   | maintaining, and co     | rrecting watersh   | ed problems.      |             |  |
| 12   | Natural Resource<br>Protection     | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | ICC; LOC    |  |
| 1-5. Mainta  | in participation, join, or resolve | e sanctions with NFI    | P; establish CRS   | participation; er | ngage in    |  |
| federal floo   | dplain activities to support floo  | od prevention.          |                    |                   |             |  |
| 13   | Prevention                         | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC         |  |
| 1-6. Identify  | and implement means to coll        | ect crop debris in ru   | noff water and n   | nanage it before  | e it clogs  |  |
| ditches, stre  | eams, culverts, and other wate     | rways.                  |                    |                   |             |  |
| 14   | Property Protection                | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC         |  |
| GOAL 2 – INFRASTRUCTURE: Martinsburg will act to harden, improve, and replace various forms of           |                                    |                         |                    |                   |             |  |
| infrastructure to reduce damages and consequences from storms and disasters.                             |                                    |                         |                    |                   |             |  |
| 2-1. Mainta  | in, repair, upgrade, and/or rep    | lace storm sewers a     | nd wastewater r    | nanagement sys    | stems; add  |  |
| street curbs   | or replace deteriorating ones      | and repair or replace   | e stormwater b     | asins.            |             |  |
| 1  | Property Protection                | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; CDBG   |  |
| 2-2. Improv  | e and repair roadways that are     | damaged by rapid r      | unoff and heavy    | precipitation.    |             |  |
| 2  | Property Protection                | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM: Other  |  |
| 2-3. Advoca  | te and support improvements        | to utility systems (d   | istribution lines, | generating plan   | its, pumps, |  |
| filters, and o   | other system components) tha       | t result in more dep    | endable, resilien  | t infrastructure  | for the     |  |
| purpose of I   | maintaining lifelines during dis   | aster response and r    | ecovery.           |                   |             |  |
| 3  | Prevention                         | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC; ICC    |  |
| 2-4. Identify  | alternate/back-up utility reso     | urces for use when a    | a primary energy   | source is comp    | romised     |  |
| (generators  | , redundant suppliers, etc.).      |                         | l                  |                   |             |  |
| 4  | Property Protection                | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP   |  |
| 2-5. Conduct hydrology and hydraulics, storm water and sewer, inundation, and other studies to determine |                                    |                         |                    |                   |             |  |
| the root cause of flooding and water management problems as well as the vulnerable populations and       |                                    |                         |                    |                   |             |  |
| property.  |                                    |                         |                    |                   |             |  |
| 5  | Property Protection                | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; CDBG   |  |
| 2-6. Maintain, repair, upgrade and or replace water treatment and distribution systems.                  |                                    |                         |                    |                   |             |  |
| 6  | Structurally Engineered            | Mayor                   | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; CDBG   |  |
|  | Projects                           |                         |                    |                   |             |  |

| Priority  | Action Type                                       | Lead                  | Start Date         | End Date           | Funding        |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 2-7. Replace, improve, or create sanitary sewer systems for neighborhoods, subdivisions or jurisdictions to |   |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| replace sept  | replace septic systems or outdated sewer systems. |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| 7   | Property Protection                               | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | PDM: CDBG      |  |  |
| 2-8. Repair,  | replace, retrofit, or upgrade co                  | ommunications equi    | ipment including   | towers, transm     | ission lines,  |  |  |
| distribution  | lines, receivers and transmitte                   | ers to provide impro  | ved or redundan    | t capabilities to  | communicate    |  |  |
| at all times.   |   |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| 8   | Public Information                                | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | HSGP; AFG      |  |  |
| GOAL 3 – SE   | EVERE STORMS (THUNDERSTO                          | RM, TORNADO, WI       | ND): Martinsbu     | rg will take actio | on to reduce   |  |  |
| the consequ   | uences and damages from sev                       | ere thunderstorms,    | windstorms, an     | d other severe     | weather.       |  |  |
| 3-1. Suppor   | t the construction of safe room                   | ns for single- and mu | ulti-family homes  | s and areas such   | as mobile      |  |  |
| home parks  | , campgrounds, apartment cor                      | nplexes, condomini    | um neighborhoo     | ds, etc.           |                |  |  |
| 15  | Property Protection                               | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | PDM; HMGP      |  |  |
| 3-2. Maintai  | in trees and vegetation on pub                    | lic property, and rei | move dead or dis   | seased trees tha   | t are          |  |  |
| vulnerable t  | o wind damage.                                    |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| 16  | Property Protection                               | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | LOC            |  |  |
| 3-3. Develop  | o agreements for safety shelte                    | rs to be used for a v | ariety of disaster | r-related purpos   | es during      |  |  |
| severe storr  | ns and/or evacuations, includi                    | ng areas like stadiur | ns and parks use   | d for recreation   | , public       |  |  |
| gatherings,   | or other assemblies.                              |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| 17  | Property Protection                               | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | LOC            |  |  |
| 3-3. Improv   | e and/or maintain warning and                     | d notification system | ns to warn the pu  | ublic about impe   | ending dangers |  |  |
| and threats.  |   |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| 18  | Public Information                                | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | LOC; HMGP;     |  |  |
|   |   |                       |                    |                    | PDM; HSGP      |  |  |
| 3-4. Enhance warning and notification systems through improvements to digital technology and other          |   |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| hardware a  | nd software used in the operat                    | ion of warning and    | notification syste | ems.               | 1              |  |  |
| 19  | Public Information                                | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | LOC; PDM;      |  |  |
|   |   |                       |                    |                    | HSGP; Other    |  |  |
| 3-5. Educate  | e the community about warnin                      | gs and notifications  | and the actions    | they should tak    | e when one is  |  |  |
| issued.   |   |                       | 1                  |                    |                |  |  |
| 20  | Public Information                                | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | LOC: EMPG      |  |  |
| 3-6. Educate  | e the public about what to do i                   | n emergency situati   | ons such as torn   | ado/severe         |                |  |  |
| thunderstor   | m/wind storm/earthquake/flo                       | od/flash flood/blizz  | ard.               |                    |                |  |  |
| 21  | Public Information                                | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | LOC; EMPG      |  |  |
| 3-7. Work to  | o develop affordable and funct                    | ional county-wide p   | ublic safety com   | munication syst    | em with the    |  |  |
| capability fo   | or multi-discipline and multi-ju                  | risdictional commun   | ication.           |                    |                |  |  |
| 22  | Property Protection                               | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | PDM; HSGP;     |  |  |
|   |   |                       |                    |                    | AFG; Other     |  |  |
| GOAL 4 – WATER QUALITY: Martinsburg will take action to protect the sources of water so that a safe         |   |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| potable water supply is available for residents.  |   |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| 4-1. Advocate for improvements to water treatment plants to include enhanced testing and monitoring, and    |   |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| to improve treatment capabilities.  |   |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |
| 23  | Natural Resource                                  | Mayor                 | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025         | ICC            |  |  |
|   | Protection  |                       |                    |                    |                |  |  |

## 3.3.8 Mount Vernon

### **Table 3-9: Mount Vernon Mitigation Strategies**

| Priority  | Action Type  | Lead                         | Start Date         | End Date          | Funding        |  |
|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| GOAL 1 – E  | ARTHQUAKE: Mount Verno   | on will take action to asse  | ss and mitigate    | the effects of    | potential      |  |
| earthquake  | s.   |                              | -                  |                   |                |  |
| 1-1. Identify   | / the structures and infrastr  | ucture in the county that    | would likely be    | damaged or de     | stroyed in an  |  |
| earthquake  | of moderate to severe mag  | gnitude.                     |                    |                   |                |  |
| 46  | Property Protection  | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC            |  |
| GOAL 2 – FI   | LOOD: Mount Vernon will t  | ake action to reduce the     | effects of all typ | pes of flooding   |                |  |
| 2-1. Constru  | uct or maintain water contr  | ol structures (reservoirs, r | etention/deten     | tion ponds, dar   | ns, levees,    |  |
| dikes, flood  | dikes, floodwalls, etc.) in new or existing property to lessen the effects of flash and storm sewer back up. |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 13  | Structurally Engineered  | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP      |  |
|   | Project  |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 2-2. Elevate  | structures (buildings, road  | ways, bridges, culverts etc  | c.) to remove the  | em from flood-    | prone areas.   |  |
| 14  | Structurally Engineered  | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP      |  |
|   | Project  |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 2-3. Utilize  | acquisition, demolition, and   | I relocation for properties  | that suffer repe   | etitive flood los | s and/or       |  |
| experience  | repeated damage to struct  | ures from all types of floo  | ding.              |                   |                |  |
| 15  | Prevention   | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP      |  |
| 2-4. Utilize  | channel diversion or channe  | el modification (deepening   | g or widening) to  | o re-route wate   | er or increase |  |
| capacity an   | d reduce flooding.   |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 16  | Structurally Engineered  | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP      |  |
|   | Project  |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 2-5. Clear debris, fallen trees, excess sediment, etc. from waterways to improve flow.                        |  |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 17  | Prevention   | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC            |  |
| 2-6. Manage stream flow through channelization, sedimentation removal, debris and obstruction removal,        |  |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| and stream  | and stream ecology management practices.   |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 18  | Structurally Engineered  | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | PDM; LOC       |  |
|   | Project  |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 2-7. Collabo  | orate with watershed and co  | onservancy districts and o   | ther counties th   | at share an inte  | erest in       |  |
| common wa   | aterways to facilitate cleani  | ng, maintaining, and corre   | ecting watershe    | d problems.       |                |  |
| 19  | Natural Resource   | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC; ICC       |  |
|   | Protection   |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 2-8. Enforce  | e floodplain, zoning, and bu   | ilding regulations to mana   | age developmen     | t in areas witho  | out            |  |
| regulations or standards; and take part in revision of flood maps, monitoring the need for local construction |  |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| regulation a  | nd maintaining adequate e  | fforts to reduce vulnerabi   | ility through ma   | nagement of de    | evelopment.    |  |
| 20  | Prevention   | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC            |  |
| 2-9. Mainta   | in participation in NFIP; est  | ablish CRS participation; e  | engage in federa   | l floodplain act  | ivities to     |  |
| support floo  | pd prevention.   |                              |                    | •                 |                |  |
| 21  | Prevention   | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC            |  |
| 2-10. Identi  | 2-10. Identify and implement means to collect crop debris in runoff water and manage it before it clogs      |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| ditches, stre   | ditches, streams, culverts, and other waterways.   |                              |                    |                   |                |  |
| 22  | Property Protection  | Safety Service Director      | 05-22-2020         | 05-21-2025        | LOC            |  |

| Priority   | Action Type                   | Lead                        | Start Date        | End Date          | Funding         |  |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| 2-11. Protect waterway banks and land near waterways from deterioration due to rapid or excessive flow by    |                               |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| planting veg   | getation, installing dormant  | woody stakes and posts,     | , planting trees, | shrubs and gras   | sses along      |  |
| banks and b  | perms, or using deflectors to | prevent deterioration, o    | or other similar  | methods.          |                 |  |
| 23   | Natural Resource              | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |  |
|  | Protection                    |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 2-12. Utilize  | e biotechnical methods (pla   | cement of willow posts, h   | nardwood tree p   | lantings, fascin  | es, brush       |  |
| layering, ev   | ergreen revetments, log rev   | vetments, tree kickers, lu  | nker structures,  | or placed rocks   | as examples)    |  |
| to minimize  | the deterioration or destru   | uction of stream banks du   | ie to excessive f | low.              |                 |  |
| 24   | Natural Resource              | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |  |
|  | Protection                    |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 2-13. Utilize  | e natural habitats and/or ve  | getative buffers inside w   | aterways to slow  | v the rapid flow  | of floodwater   |  |
| and/or hold  | excess storm water.           |                             |                   | -                 | •               |  |
| 25   | Natural Resource              | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |  |
|  | Protection                    |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 2-14. Utilize  | e stream bank protection m    | easures such as gabion re   | evetments, ripra  | p revetments, a   | and crib walls, |  |
| and other st   | tructural methods to protee   | ct the banks and berms a    | s well as current | use of the area   | is.             |  |
| 26   | Natural Resource              | Safety Service              | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |  |
|  | Protection                    | Director                    |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 2-15. Requi  | re developers and/or const    | ruction crews in urban de   | evelopment zon    | es to use tools s | such as         |  |
| chemical sta   | abilization, compost blanke   | ts, geotextiles to control  | soil deterioratio | n, mulching of I  | new plantings,  |  |
| seeding and  | l sodding of areas highly vu  | Inerable to rapid surface   | runoff, installat | ion of grass line | d channels,     |  |
| slope divers   | sion for surface runoff, and  | other methods to reduce     | the damages to    | o land and prop   | erty due to     |  |
| heavy and r  | apid surface runoff or drair  | age from rainfall or othe   | r precipitation c | or draining wate  | r.              |  |
| 27   | Natural Resource              | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | LOC             |  |
|  | Protection                    |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 2-16. Advocate the use of green materials and practices in development, such as green parking policies,      |                               |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| green roof r   | materials, and alternate pay  | ving materials that promo   | ote absorption in | nstead of runoff  |                 |  |
| 28   | Structurally Engineered       | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | PDM; HMGP       |  |
|  | Project                       |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| GOAL 3 – IN  | IFRASTRUCTURE: Mount V        | ernon will act to harden,   | improve, and r    | eplace various    | forms of        |  |
| infrastructu   | ire to reduce damages and     | consequences from stor      | ms and disaster   | rs.               |                 |  |
| 3-1. Mainta  | in, repair, upgrade, and/or   | replace storm sewers and    | d wastewater m    | anagement syst    | ems; add        |  |
| street curbs   | s or replace deteriorating or | nes; repair or replace stor | rmwater basins.   | 1                 | 1               |  |
| 1  | Structurally Engineered       | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | PDM: HMGP       |  |
|  | Project                       |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 3-2. Ensure that high hazard and classified dams have current emergency plans that identify inundation areas |                               |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| and facilitat  | e emergency actions in the    | event of a failure.         |                   |                   | I               |  |
| 2  | Structurally Engineered       | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | LOC             |  |
|  | Project                       |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 3-3. Maintain, repair, and replace dams and upground reservoirs that are structurally compromised or that    |                               |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| place the public in danger during a structural failure.  |                               |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 3  | Structurally Engineered       | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | PDM: HMGP       |  |
|  | Project                       |                             |                   |                   |                 |  |
| 3-4. Improv  | e and repair roadways that    | are damaged by rapid ru     | noff and heavy    | precipitation.    | 1               |  |
| 4  | Property Protection           | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025        | LOC             |  |

| Priority  | Action Type  | Lead                      | Start Date                | End Date             | Funding          |  |
|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 3-5. Advoca   | 3-5. Advocate and support improvements to utility systems (distribution lines, generating plants, pumps, |                           |                           |                      |                  |  |
| filters, and  | other system components)   | that result in more depen | dable, resilient i        | nfrastructure f      | or the           |  |
| purpose of  | maintaining lifelines during   | disaster response and rec | covery.                   | 1                    |                  |  |
| 5   | Structurally Engineered<br>Project   | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | PDM: HMGP        |  |
| 3-6. Conduc   | t hydrology and hydraulics,  | storm water and sewer,    | inundation, and           | other studies t      | o determine      |  |
| the root cau  | use of flooding and water m  | anagement problems as v   | well as vulnerab          | le populations a     | and property.    |  |
| 6   | Structurally Engineered<br>Project   | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | PDM: HMGP        |  |
| 3-7. Mainta   | in, repair, upgrade and or re  | eplace water treatment a  | nd distribution s         | systems.             |                  |  |
| 7   | Structurally Engineered<br>Project   | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | PDM: HMGP        |  |
| 3-8. Replace  | e, improve, or create sanita   | ry sewer systems for neig | hborhoods, subo           | divisions or juri    | sdictions to     |  |
| replace sep   | tic systems or outdated sew  | ver systems.              |                           |                      |                  |  |
| 8   | Structurally Engineered<br>Project   | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | PDM: HMGP        |  |
| 3-9. Repair,  | replace, retrofit, or upgrad   | e communications equipr   | nent including to         | owers, transmis      | ssion lines,     |  |
| telephone l   | ines, distribution lines, rece   | ivers and transmitters to | provide improve           | ed or redundan       | t capabilities   |  |
| to commun   | icate at all times.  |                           |                           |                      |                  |  |
| 9   | Structurally Engineered<br>Project   | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | PDM: HMGP        |  |
| 3-10. Repla   | 3-10. Replace roadways and streets with deteriorating pavement due to heavy drainage; replace worn       |                           |                           |                      |                  |  |
| bridges and   | culverts and increase size a   | and span as appropriate.  |                           |                      |                  |  |
| 10  | Structurally Engineered<br>Project   | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | PDM: HMGP        |  |
| 3-11. Devel   | op infrastructure redundan   | cy through the addition o | f generators, dev         | velopment of a       | lternate         |  |
| power, wat  | er, and fuel supplies; and ac  | dition of alternate vendo | ors for service an        | d products like      | portable         |  |
| generators,   | fuel supplies, bottled wate  | r, etc.                   |                           |                      |                  |  |
| 11  | Property Protection  | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | PDM: HMGP        |  |
| 3-12. Devel   | op infrastructure system re  | dundancy for operating co | ontingencies and          | d individual res     | ponse plans      |  |
| for all facilit   | ies serving critical users and   | d perform an annual revie | w of these critic         | al user facilitie    | s and            |  |
| coordinatio   | n efforts.   |                           |                           |                      |                  |  |
| 12  | Property Protection  | City Engineer             | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | LOC              |  |
| GOAL 4 – LA   | AND SUBSIDENCE: Mount V  | ernon will reduce the eff | fects of various          | forms of land s      | ubsidence        |  |
| and landslid  | les.   |                           | a a uti sa ula a ula a ul | litele le culto me e | d h a mar a la d |  |
| 4-1. Provide<br>areas that v  | e programs that reduce sedi<br>vash away   | mentation along shorelin  | es, riverbanks, d         | litch banks, roa     | d berms, and     |  |
| 44  | Natural Resource<br>Protection   | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | ICC; LOC         |  |
| 4-2. Develo   | p collaborative efforts with   | environmental advocates   | to prevent cont           | amination of g       | roundwater.      |  |
| 45  | Prevention   | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | LOC              |  |
| GOAL 5 – SI   | EVERE STORMS (THUNDERS   | STORM, TORNADO, WINE      | 0): Mount Verno           | on will take act     | ion to reduce    |  |
| the consequences and damages from severe thunderstorms, windstorms, and other severe weather.           |  |                           |                           |                      |                  |  |
| 5-1. Support the construction of safe rooms for single- and multi-family homes and areas such as mobile |  |                           |                           |                      |                  |  |
| home parks  | , campgrounds, apartment   | complexes, condominium    | n neighborhoods           | , etc.               |                  |  |
| 29  | Property Protection  | Safety Service Director   | 05-22-2020                | 05-21-2025           | PDM; HMGP        |  |

| Priority  | Action Type                   | Lead                        | Start Date        | End Date         | Funding        |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 5-2. Repair or retrofit public properties with wind-resistant materials (i.e. metal roofing, siding, wind-  |                               |                             |                   |                  |                |
| resistant glass, etc.) to decrease damage due to wind or other storms.                                      |                               |                             |                   |                  |                |
| 30  | Structurally Engineered       | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | PDM: HMGP      |
|   | Project                       |                             |                   |                  |                |
| 5-3. Mainta   | in trees and vegetation on p  | public property, and remo   | ove dead or dise  | ased trees that  | are            |
| vulnerable t  | o wind damage.                |                             |                   |                  |                |
| 31  | Property Protection           | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |
| 5-4. Suppor   | t implementation of constru   | uction standards that requ  | uire wind resista | int building ma  | terials and    |
| roofing type  | es for structures.            |                             |                   | 1                |                |
| 32  | Prevention                    | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |
| 5-5. Promot   | e warning and notification    | systems to warn the publ    | ic about impend   | ling dangers an  | d threats.     |
| 33  | Public Information            | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | PDM;HMGP;      |
|   |                               |                             |                   |                  | EMPG           |
| 5-6. Enhanc   | e warning and notification    | systems through improve     | ments to digital  | technology and   | d other        |
| hardware a  | nd software used in the ope   | eration of warning and no   | tification system | 1S.              |                |
| 34  | Public Information            | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | PDM;HMGP;      |
|   |                               |                             |                   |                  | EMPG           |
| 5-7. Educate  | e the community about war     | nings and notifications ar  | nd the actions th | ey should take   | when issued.   |
| 35  | Public Information            | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |
| 5-8. Educate  | e the community about the     | protective actions they sl  | nould take wher   | an emergency     | occurs.        |
| 36  | Public Information            | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |
| 5-9. Teach school aged children about 9-1-1 and emergency warning and notification systems, including what  |                               |                             |                   |                  |                |
| to do when  | a warning is issued.          |                             | 05 00 0000        | 05 04 0005       | 100            |
| 3/  | Public Information            | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LOC            |
| 5-10. Educa   | te the public about what to   | do in emergency situatio    | ns such as torna  | ido/severe       |                |
| thunderstor   | m/wind storm/earthquake       | /flood/flash flood/blizzard |                   | 05 21 2025       | 100            |
| 38<br>5 11 Aduse  | Public Information            | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       |                |
| 3-11. Advoc   | Dreporty Protection           | Sequate and appropriate     |                   |                  |                |
| 59<br>E 12 Work   | Property Protection           | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | LUC            |
| 5-12. WORK  | to develop anordable and i    | unctional city communica    | ation system wit  | n the capability | for multi-     |
|   | Droporty Protoction           | Safaty Sanvice Director     | 05 22 2020        | 05 21 2025       |                |
|   | ATER OUALITY: Mount Vo        | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | that a cafe    |
| GOAL 0 - W  | ter supply is available for r | non win take action to p    | fotect the source | les of water so  | tildt a sale   |
| 6-1 Advoca  | te for improvements to wa     | ter treatment plants to in  | clude enhanced    | testing and mo   | nitoring and   |
| to improve  | treatment canabilities        | ter treatment plants to m   | ciude ennanceu    | testing and me   | fintoning, and |
| Δ1  | Structurally Engineered       | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       |                |
| 71  | Project                       | Salety Service Director     | 05 22 2020        | 05 21 2025       |                |
| 6-2 Advocate and support continuing research into all causes of water quality issues, including analysis of |                               |                             |                   |                  |                |
| old industrial sites that are now abandoned or not being used   |                               |                             |                   |                  |                |
| 42  | Prevention                    | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | PDM: HMGP      |
| 6-3. Develo   | o inter-connected and redu    | ndant water systems that    | are able to swit  | ch over when a   | one is         |
| contaminated to provide a safe water supply for the population.   |                               |                             |                   |                  |                |
| 43  | Structurally Engineered       | Safety Service Director     | 05-22-2020        | 05-21-2025       | PDM: HMGP      |
| -   | Project                       | ,                           |                   |                  |                |
## 3.4 IMPLEMENTATION

These mitigation strategies are general actions that could reduce the negative impact of disasters. For a strategy to become an actionable item, it must be converted to a project with a funding source, action steps, timelines, and project goals. For example, a project to acquire and demolish a repetitive loss property begins by identifying the property to be acquired and a funding source. The property owner must agree to accept the buy-out and use the money to purchase another home and the jurisdiction must accept its share of cost, planning responsibility, and project management roles. Only then can the actual project be executed. A similar process must be followed for any of the strategies identified here to become projects.

The Knox County EMA will monitor the implementation of these strategies through ongoing communication with jurisdiction officials and stakeholders. When mitigation grants or other funding sources become available, reasonable efforts will be made to secure funding. For strategies funded through local budgets, jurisdictions will work diligently to identify local funding sources that can be used to address disaster vulnerability. When funding is secured, a detailed project timeline will be developed and action steps taken to complete the project. Upon completion, the jurisdiction will evaluate project effectiveness and share that information with the EMA and planning team for consideration in developing future projects.

In some instances, a potential mitigation project may be most efficiently administered by a property owner, special district, or nonprofit organization. Examples might include a property owners' association, college or university, school district, utility district, or other service district. In such cases, the jurisdiction having authority may delegate grant application and administration directly to that entity. This delegation might be done in consideration of staffing and oversight capabilities, timeliness of work performance, or logistics. The authority having jurisdiction will require that all building codes, floodplain regulations, and other development and land use regulations be followed and will verify compliance. Non-governmental and external entities performing this work will be required to comply with all rules, procedures, and grant terms and conditions. All permits will be reviewed and issued by the appropriate local authority and all inspections and final occupancy approvals will be given by the designated local or state. This delegation will not compromise or reduce the collaborative efforts of mitigation planning, land use planning, or development regulations in Knox County.

Developing this plan required stakeholders to evaluate hazards and risks in their community through extensive collaboration and conversation; this collaboration was the most valuable part of the mitigation planning process. Stakeholders were required to examine the local community, predict where and under what conditions damages would occur, and identify opportunities to reduce or eliminate those damages. The identified solutions were developed to maximize benefit while minimizing cost. This process required whole community involvement so that all sectors of the community were represented in the planning process. Ultimately, this work resulted in comprehensive, relevant, and effective solutions to Knox County's unique risks and vulnerabilities. Given the availability of funding, personnel, and support, Knox County and its jurisdictions are positioned to move forward and implement these strategies and accomplish their goal of making the county more disaster resilient.

# 4.0 PLAN ADOPTION

Formal plan adoption is the final step in the mitigation planning process. Knox County followed the process for state review, federal approval, and local adoption. This section describes that process and includes all dates relevant to plan approval, adoption, and expiration.

### 4.1 STATE REVIEW AND FEDERAL PLAN APPROVAL

After extensive review by the planning team, stakeholders, and the community, the Knox County Hazard Mitigation Plan was submitted to the Ohio Emergency Management Agency for review on DATE. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) issued approval pending adoption on DATE. Upon receipt of this approval, the EMA pursued adoption by the jurisdictions.

### 4.2 LOCAL ADOPTION

Knox County was the first jurisdiction to adopt the plan. Following county adoption, all incorporated jurisdictions pursued formal adoption. The county EMA provided a sample resolution to assist in this process. A complete list of plan adoptions by jurisdiction is provided in table 4-1.

| Jurisdiction    | Date of Adoption |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Knox County     |                  |
| Centerburg      |                  |
| Danville        |                  |
| Fredericktown   |                  |
| Gambier         |                  |
| Gann/Brinkhaven |                  |
| Martinsburg     |                  |
| Mount Vernon    |                  |

#### **Table 4-1: Jurisdiction Adoption**

Following adoption, FEMA issued final plan approval on DATE. The approved plan was uploaded into the Ohio EMA's SHARRP portal.

### 4.3 PLAN EXPIRATION

The Knox County Hazard Mitigation Plan will expire on DATE. The process to maintain the plan will be ongoing throughout the five-year period, as explained in section 1.0 The Planning Process.

# **5.0 APPENDIX A: HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING**

A broad group of stakeholders and community members were invited to participate in the hazard mitigation planning process. More than 75 individuals representing jurisdictions and organizations across Knox County contributed to the plan. The table below identifies each individual who participated and the agency or jurisdiction they represent.

| Participant       | Position/Title                  | Agency/Jurisdiction            |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Suzy Davidson     | Volunteer                       | American Red Cross             |
| Lauren Sclafani   | Facility Operations Coordinator | Area Agency on Aging           |
| Teresa Cook       |                                 | Area Agency on Aging           |
| Jen Odenweller    | Executive Director              | Ariel Foundation               |
| Dave Beck         | Mayor                           | Centerburg                     |
| Joe Hardin        | Village Administrator           | Centerburg                     |
| John Jackson      | Village Council                 | Centerburg                     |
| Mike Hebenthal    | Superintendent                  | Centerburg Local Schools       |
| Dan Weckesser     | Police Chief                    | Danville                       |
| Joe Mazzari       | Village Council/Mayor Elect     | Danville                       |
| Larry Stimpert    | Chief                           | East Knox County Fire District |
| Bruce Snell       | Village Administrator           | Fredericktown                  |
| Irl Rulh          | Street Foreman                  | Fredericktown                  |
| Jerry Day         | Mayor                           | Fredericktown                  |
| Kyle Johnson      | Police Chief                    | Fredericktown                  |
| Matt Thompson     | Service Department              | Fredericktown                  |
| Mike Hannon       | Street Superintendent           | Fredericktown                  |
| Mike Warner       | Village Employee                | Fredericktown                  |
| Rick Lanuzza      | EMS Chief                       | Fredericktown                  |
| Steve Awwiller    | Service Department              | Fredericktown                  |
| Cindy Wamsley     | EHS Manager                     | FT Precision                   |
| Stephanis Furnis  | EHS Assistant Manager           | FT Precision                   |
| Kachen Kimmell    | Mayor                           | Gambier                        |
| RC Wise           | Village Administrator           | Gambier                        |
| Brenda Ferenbaugh | Village Council                 | Gann/Brinkhaven                |
| Herb Gardner      | Village Council                 | Gann/Brinkhaven                |
| Josh Moreland     | Mayor                           | Gann/Brinkhaven                |
| Joyce Fawcett     | Village Council                 | Gann/Brinkhaven                |
| Lowell Bawbury    | Village Council                 | Gann/Brinkhaven                |
| Roxanne Eddy      | Village Council                 | Gann/Brinkhaven                |

| Participant      | Position/Title                       | Agency/Jurisdiction              |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Trisha Moreland  | Village Council                      | Gann/Brinkhaven                  |
| Michael Sweazy   | Director of Campus Safety            | Kenyon College                   |
| Todd Bell        | Campus Safety                        | Kenyon College                   |
| Russell Maroni   | Safety Officer                       | Knox Community Hospital          |
| Bill Pursell     | Commissioner                         | Knox County                      |
| Bill Stroud      | EC Radio Club                        | Knox County                      |
| Bryan Price      | Sheriff's Office                     | Knox County                      |
| Clint Cochran    | Engineer's Office                    | Knox County                      |
| Darrel Severns   | Regional Planning Director           | Knox County                      |
| Frank Counts     | Community Member                     | Knox County                      |
| John Carhart     | Dog Warden                           | Knox County                      |
| Jonnette Curry   | Auditor                              | Knox County                      |
| Kyle Clark       | EMA/Health Department                | Knox County                      |
| Laura Webster    | 911 Operations Director              | Knox County                      |
| Lizz Forthufer   | Community Member                     | Knox County                      |
| Mark Maxwell     | EMA Director                         | Knox County                      |
| Philip Lohmeyer  | EMA/CERT Volunteer                   | Knox County                      |
| Randy Canterbury | Recycling Coordinator                | Knox County                      |
| Roger Yarman     | Community Member                     | Knox County                      |
| Thom Collier     | County Commissioner                  | Knox County                      |
| Derek Fisher     | Principal                            | Knox County Career Center        |
| Jeff Lavin       | Director                             | Knox County Career Center        |
| Carol Grubaugh   | Director                             | Knox County Chamber of Commerce  |
| Julie Miller     | Health Commissioner                  | Knox County Health Department    |
| Nate Overholt    | Environmental Health Director        | Knox County Health Department    |
| Rob Clendening   | Administrator                        | Knox Soil and Water Conservation |
| Joe Sellers      | Safety Manager                       | Kokosing Construction            |
| David Denune     | Mayor                                | Martinsburg                      |
| John Cox         | Police Chief                         | Martinsburg                      |
| Larry Grant      | Village Council                      | Martinsburg                      |
| Nikki Whitecraft | Village Council                      | Martinsburg                      |
| Andy Burns       | Police Department                    | Mount Vernon                     |
| Brian Ball       | City Engineer                        | Mount Vernon                     |
| Chad Christopher | Fire Chief                           | Mount Vernon                     |
| Greg Bemiller    | Code Enforcement                     | Mount Vernon                     |
| Ken Griffith     | Assistant Director, Public Utilities | Mount Vernon                     |
| Mathias Orndorf  | Director, Public Utilities           | Mount Vernon                     |

| Participant      | Position/Title                    | Agency/Jurisdiction              |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Matt Starr       | City Council                      | Mount Vernon                     |
| Scott McKnight   | Police Captain                    | Mount Vernon                     |
| Tom Hinkle       | Street Superintendent             | Mount Vernon                     |
| Troy Glazier     | Police Administrative Supervisor  | Mount Vernon                     |
| Jaimi Dennison   | Campus Safety Coordinator         | Mount Vernon Nazarene University |
| Lorna Hagner     | Campus Safety Coordinator         | Mount Vernon Nazarene University |
| Heather Doherty  | Central Ohio Scenic River Manager | Ohio Department of Natural       |
|                  |                                   | Resources                        |
| John Barker      | Extension Educator                | OSU Extension Service            |
| Bryan Dusenterry | Business Support                  | Station Break Senior Center      |
| Meredith Lowther | Director                          | Station Break Senior Center      |
| George Durbin    | Trustee                           | Union Township                   |

# **6.0 APPENDIX B: HAZARD MITIGATION ANNUAL REPORTS**

Since the approval of the 2015 Knox County Hazard Mitigation Plan, the planning team participated in annual plan maintenance activities. Each annual event included a review of the identified mitigation strategies and a discussion of hazard occurrences and community development. This section demonstrates the ongoing mitigation discussion that occurred during annual review meetings in 2016, 2017, and 2018.

### 6.1 2016 Annual Review Summary

Participating Jurisdictions

Centerburg, Gambier, Fredericktown, Knox County, Mount Vernon,

#### Meeting Summary

The Knox County Emergency Management Agency conducted an annual review of the current Knox County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan on Tuesday, April 19, 2016 at the Mt. Vernon Community Center. The meeting was organized by EMA Director Mark Maxwell. Facilitators included Sandy Hovest and Lauren Yeagle of Resource Solutions Associates; Dean Ervin, State Mitigation Planner, was a guest speaker. All attendees are evidenced by the attached sign-in sheet. The meeting was called to order at approximately 10:00 am by Mark Maxwell. Twenty-two local attendees were present. (See Attachment A and B)

Mark Maxwell opened with a guest welcome and thank you. He reminded attendees that the meeting was intended to enable jurisdictions to keep the goals and strategies identified in the plan moving forward in an effort to bring forth interest in mitigation projects and actions. He told them that this was the first annual review meeting, and that it would focus on education and awareness of the effectiveness of mitigation and the strategies that had been determined to be potentially effective. Mark then introduced the facilitators and guest speaker.

Sandy Hovest asked the attendees to introduce themselves and their agencies. She reviewed the development of the 2015 Knox County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, including how and when it was developed, the criteria that needed to be reviewed, and the plan maintenance section. Sandy's slide presentation is attached to this report for detail. (See Attachment C)

Dean Ervin, State Mitigation Planner, spoke to the group about potential projects, and how a strategy might be developed into a project. He reviewed the process of applying for federal mitigation funding, the requirements of the applications, and the assistance available from the Ohio EMA Mitigation Branch during such work. Mr. Ervin's presentation outlined the federal grant process, including cost-benefit analysis as it varies from the cost-benefit review considered during the development of the current mitigation plan. His slide presentation is attached to this report. (See Attachment D).

Lauren Yeagle presented a session that covered tips and ideas about how to evaluate your progress in implementing a mitigation plan, bridging the information from planning to to doing.

She presented this right after lunch and included a brief review of points made by the previous speakers.

After a short lunch break, the group broke into two discussion groups. One group included Knox County representatives and the other included municipal representatives. The City of Mt. Vernon, and the Villages of Centerburg, Fredericktown, and Gambier were represented. The Villages of Danville, Gann, and Martinsburg were not represented.

Each group was given a work sheet for the mitigation actions in the plan, and they were asked to review each one. They received a comprehensive list of various types of mitigation actions and a table of the current plan actions and strategies taken from the current plan.

Each group was asked to evaluate progress for every action item in the current plan, and then to review the overall information and to outline anything that should be added to the plan when it is revised in 2019. They were given a guide of mitigation types and actions to help them understand the purpose and function of each type project or action.

Hovest and Yeagle facilitated the discussion groups, and Ervin worked with both groups to answer questions and present feedback as needed. Each group selected a member as the group recorder, and one as the group presenter. They evaluated each action according to worksheets which are attached to this report. (See Attachment E)

Attendees considered any significant weather incidents that took place in the past year. The consensus was that there were no significant weather events that had occurred in 2015 or up until April 19 in 2016. Weather and precipitation were categorized as "very mild", although recognition was given to the NWS prediction that this upcoming summer may be extremely hot and dry

In general, both groups felt that there had been accomplishments that have contributed to achievement of many of the mitigation actions in the plan. Some achievements were small parts of a bigger project. They felt that without the annual review, these same accomplishments would be forgotten or disregarded if the review were to be postponed for several years. This documentation of actual accomplishment also allowed them to break each action into achievable steps, lessening the effect of financial and other practical challenges.

After all potential action items were reviewed, each group presented their group's findings to the entire audience. Questions and comments were addressed. Mark thanked Mr. Ervin for his participation, and thanked the local attendees for spending the greater part of the day working to make emergency management, specifically mitigation, an important part of their job.

The meeting adjourned at 1:30.

#### 6.2 2017 Annual Review Summary

#### Participating Jurisdictions

Centerburg, Fredericktown, Knox Community Hospital, Knox County, Mount Vernon, Mount Vernon City Schools, Ohio Department of Natural Resources

#### Meeting Summary

The Knox County Emergency Management Agency sponsored a countywide disaster mitigation plan update and review on Thursday, April 27 at the Community Center in Mt. Vernon. The core planning team, representing a wide array of interests across the county, were invited to participate in the meeting. (Agenda and sign in sheets are attached to this report.)

County EMA Director Mark Maxwell welcomed participants and spoke of the importance of the county's mitigation plan in preventing storm damage as well as reducing it. Mr. Maxwell introduced Lauren Yeagle and Sandy Hovest of Resource Solutions Associates, the company that prepared the current mitigation plan and assisted with the annual update meeting in 2016 as well as this year.

Ms. Hovest presented a mitigation overview to the group, and showcased several projects in Ohio that have successfully reduced losses for particular businesses. She spoke about elevation techniques used in Findlay at Rosilli's Restaurant, acquisition and relocation used in Ft. Recovery for their fire department and six flood-prone homes; and Shelby's comprehensive flood program that relocated 57 property owners, creates parks and walkways along the Black Fork River, and created several retention basins for flood water.

The Zuck Riparian preserve project conducted by the Knox County Park District was discussed. This project created a scenic river access point and a waterside hiking trail through creation of natural habitat, funded by multiple local and state sources. This project not only contributed to the health of the Kokosing River but also added recreational area to the county's park system. Heather Doherty from the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, one of the meeting participants, was able to speak about the project and answer questions.

The Blackberry Alley Restoration project combined sources of funding to improve water detention and water quality in an area of Mt. Vernon that has difficulty in managing flood water. The project managers are trying to determine how to build a brick roadway as the three-phase project connect the downtown walking trail to the Grand Hotel area. Brian Ball, engineer for the City of Mt. Vernon, was able to provide an overview of the project and its purpose, accomplishments, and challenges. He was able to answer questions about the project.

Ms. Hovest spoke about changes at the state and federal mitigation review points. Luan Nguyen has replaced Dean Ervin in Columbus. Mr. Ervin was a speaker at the meeting last year, and retired in December 2016. Steve Green is the new reviewer at the Region V Chicago office, and is an experienced mitigation planner and reviewer. The federal and state attention to mitigation continues to increase, and statistics still point to a cost of \$1 to mitigate a problem as opposed

to \$4 to repair or replace after a disaster. Hovest urged the group to continue to approach mitigation from a whole-community perspective as has been done the past several years.

Lunch was provided by the Knox County EMA. Participants took a short break before the afternoon session began.

Ms. Hovest reviewed the storm incidents over the past year. Most agreed that the 2016-2017 recent past has yielded mild weather and little storm damage. Incidents over the past year, as recorded by the National Weather Service, include snowfall in northern Ohio on April 8 and 9, 2016 amounting to a measured 2.5 inches in northeast Richland County; rain and thunderstorms on June 4 – 6 but no reports of any damage in Knox County; high wind and thunderstorms near Academia with \$35K of damages on June 15, 2016; record high temperatures with no damages in August 2016; high winds on September 10, 2016 caused \$15K in damages south-southwest of Mt. Vernon; December 18, 2016 brought a record high of 73 in Mansfield; a December 8 – 10, 2016 snowstorm dumped snow on Knox County, but only measured 1.5 inches in Fredericktown; and high winds were measured at 41 knots at the county airport on January 10 and 11, 2017. Other than the earlier-identified damages, no other losses or casualties were noted by the meeting participants.

The attention of the group turned to the Knox County Mitigation Strategy Update form. Participants were directed to split into small groups and review the 10-page list of strategies taken from the Knox County Hazard Mitigation Plan. A copy of that handout with summarized comments is attached to this report. Originals are scanned and maintained by the EMA Director and Resource Solutions.

Mr. Maxwell wrapped the meeting up with a short summary and thanked everyone for attending. He indicated that any questions about mitigation could be submitted to him at the EMA and he would help as needed.

### 6.3 2018 Annual Review

#### Participating Jurisdictions

Centerburg, Fredericktown, Kenyon College, Knox County, Mount Vernon, Mount Vernon Nazarene University

### Meeting Summary

The Knox County Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee met on May 22, 2018 to review the current hazard mitigation plan that expires in two years. This was the third annual review meeting and twenty local officials were in attendance. Resource Solutions Associates managed the meeting, and EMA Director Maxwell participated as a county official. The attendance sheet is attached.

The meeting opened with Sandy Hovest (RSA) speaking about recent feedback on county mitigation plans. Sandy provided a handout with numerous areas of inclusion that listed dam failure, earthquake, water quality emergency, hazardous materials incidents, invasive species,

infrastructure failure, and wind categorized by derecho, tornado and severe thunderstorms. She identified the following areas as having increased importance in the plan development process:

- Participation by all jurisdictions, including the smallest villages in the county as well as special groups like economic development, natural resources, agriculture, and utilities
- Non-participation must be documented and jurisdictions must provide written evidence that they voluntarily and knowingly opted out of the program
- Vulnerabilities must be clearly and accurately assessed for each jurisdiction and the entire county
- How disaster mitigation is considered and incorporated into community growth activities must be documented by an explanation of exactly how that occurs
- Risk assessment must include all hazards that are reasonably present and those not considered must be explained and specifically excluded; these can include, for Knox County, hurricane, volcano, tsunami, landslide, and wildfire. (Wildfire is not the same as field fire or urban conflagration)

Discussion opened the first activity on risk assessment. Mark explained that he felt the next mitigation plan should be all-hazards, adding technological and human-caused disasters to the plan. Participants broke into two work groups and assessed the probability and magnitude of a comprehensive list of hazards broken into three categories – natural, human-caused, and technological.

Activity #2 involved the same groups in assessing community growth areas. They were asked to list the growth and development, or loss of entities, in several areas. These areas included residential, industrial, retail and service, agriculture, government and institution, and other areas not specified.

Over a working lunch, the groups assessed progress on all county and jurisdiction strategies identified in the 2015 Knox County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. They were asked to consider each of about 60 mitigation actions for various areas of the county. Not all areas were represented and some assessments could not be provided. Mark said he would mail meeting handouts to those jurisdictions and ask them to return completed surveys.

After lunch, groups turned in their completed paperwork. Sandy and Mark provided a brief summary and expression of appreciation for participation, and reminded them that handouts were available for anyone who wanted to complete them because they were unable to attend.

The meeting began at 9:00 a.m. and concluded at 12:45 p.m.

# 6.4 Strategy Progress Report 2016 – 2018

| KNOX COUNTY1. Knox County will accurately and thoroughly<br>identify flood prone areas in the county,<br>including all non-municipal jurisdictions, and<br>identify development practices that allow or<br>facilitate flooding through interference with<br>natural drainage as structures are improved,<br>renovated, and/or built.Knox County Flood<br>Plain Administrator01.01.15121.1 Knox County will maintain and update flood maps and adopt or<br>appeal and modify any FEMA revisions during the 5-year plan2016: Ongoing<br>2017 Ongoing; Comparison by EEMA   | 2.31.15<br>orps mod<br>A is outd<br>9; need t   | General<br>Budget<br>del used for  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Knox County will accurately and thoroughly<br>identify flood prone areas in the county,<br>including all non-municipal jurisdictions, and<br>identify development practices that allow or<br>facilitate flooding through interference with<br>natural drainage as structures are improved,<br>renovated, and/or built.Nnox County Flood<br>Plain Administrator01.01.15121.1 Knox County will maintain and update flood maps and adopt or<br>appeal and modify any FEMA revisions during the 5-year plan2016: Ongoing<br>2017 Ongoing; Company   | 2.31.15<br>orps mod<br>A is outd<br>9; need t   | General<br>Budget<br>del used for  |  |
| natural drainage as structures are improved,<br>renovated, and/or built.       2016: Ongoing         1.1 Knox County will maintain and update flood maps and adopt or<br>appeal and modify any FEMA revisions during the 5-year plan       2017 Ongoing; Co  | orps mod<br>A is outd<br>9; need t  | del used for   |  |
| natural orainage as structures are improved,<br>renovated, and/or built.       2016: Ongoing         1.1 Knox County will maintain and update flood maps and adopt or<br>appeal and modify any FEMA revisions during the 5-year plan       2017 Ongoing; Co<br>mapping by FEMA   | orps mod<br>A is outd<br>9; need t  | del used for   |  |
| 1.1 Knox County will maintain and update flood maps and adopt or<br>appeal and modify any FEMA revisions during the 5-year plan       2016: Ongoing<br>2017 Ongoing; County of the second | orps moc<br>A is outd<br>'9; need t   | lel used for   |  |
| appeal and modify any FEMA revisions during the 5-year plan 2017 Ongoing; Co   | orps moc<br>A is outd<br>9; need t  | del used for   |  |
| Updated July 200         model and make         observe, monitor         flood module         2018: Last updated         1.2 Knox County will develop and enhance the county's capability and         2016: Ongoing  | 2016: Ongoing<br>2017 Ongoing; Corps model use<br>mapping by FEMA is outdated;<br>updated July 2009; need to rev<br>model and make amendments<br>observe, monitor and update; i<br>flood module<br>2018: Last update 2009; localiz<br>needed<br>2016: Ongoing |  |  |
| capacity to review new construction and/or substantial<br>modifications to existing buildings to assure that natural drainage<br>and water runoff will not be impeded by development, expansion,<br>and other changes.   | lanning –<br>water no<br>ater perr<br>ig that is<br>is have a<br>County ha<br>e; Mt.V. a  | - ongoing;<br>ow; done<br>mits; requires<br>not available;<br>runoff<br>as storm water<br>and Centerburg |  |
| 1.3 Knox County will collaborate with jurisdictional officials (cities, 2016: Ongoing  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>villages, and townships) to establish regulations and construction<br/>standards where they could be beneficial in managing construction<br/>in floodplains or areas at high risk for flooding.</li> <li>per the City of M<sup>2</sup><br/>runoff</li> <li>2017: Regional PI<br/>cumulative impact<br/>needed for proper<br/>per the City of M<sup>2</sup><br/>runoff</li> <li>2018: Ongoing; B<br/>municipalities have</li> </ul>  | 2017: Regional Planning – ongoing;<br>on cumulative impacts; requirements<br>needed for property owner over 1 ac<br>per the City of Mt. Vernon for water<br>runoff<br>2018: Ongoing; Being done; all  |  |  |
| 1.4 Knox County will work with officials in jurisdictions where 2016: Ongoing  |   |  |  |
| regulations do not exist to educate and advocate the value of land<br>use planning as a tool to prevent the consequences of construction<br>within flood plains and high-risk properties. 2018: Ongoing; W   | teps to m<br>ships<br>Norking v   | nove toward<br>with Danville   |  |

| Mitigation Goal  | Lead Agency/Person       | Start Date                              | End Date                          | Funding         |  |
|--|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 2. Knox County will develop and complete                         | Knox County              | 01.01.16                                | 12.31.19                          | General         |  |
| structural projects to mitigate against                          | Engineer                 |   |                                   | Budget          |  |
| flooding in areas where repetitive loss has                      |                          |   |                                   | PDM             |  |
| occurred or where structures and                                 |                          |   |                                   | Special         |  |
| development have been built on high-risk                         |                          |   |                                   | Grants          |  |
| properties, including acquisition and                            |                          |   |                                   |                 |  |
| demolition when appropriate and effective.                       |                          |   |                                   |                 |  |
| 2.1 Knox County will facilitate and coordinate na                | atural stream            | 2016: Ongoir                            | וg                                |                 |  |
| improvement projects with conservation gro                       | oups and agencies to     | 2017: n/a; ODNR Scenic Rivers putting   |                                   |                 |  |
| facilitate natural drainage on public propert                    | у.                       | together Kokosing State Scenic River    |                                   |                 |  |
|  |                          | council to ind                          | clude various                     | local partners  |  |
|  |                          | (see notes);                            | engineer cheo                     | cks and         |  |
|  |                          | replaces culv                           | er with high v                    | water issues to |  |
|  |                          | reduce the p                            | roblem; who                       | owns Rt. 229    |  |
|  |                          | dike wash-ou                            | it? KCSWCD a                      | and Corps of    |  |
|  |                          | Engineers                               |                                   |                 |  |
|  |                          | 2018: Ongoir                            | ng; Has been                      | met             |  |
| 2.2 Knox County will conduct projects to elevate                 | e sections of county     | y 2016: Ongoing                         |                                   |                 |  |
| roads and bridges that flood on a regular basis and become       |                          | 2017: Ongoir                            | 2017: Ongoing; Mt. Vernon Avenue; |                 |  |
| blocked to raise them above frequent floodwater levels and       |                          | Beaver and Beckley Roads both raised by |                                   |                 |  |
| protect them against wear and tear due to floodwater flow.       |                          | engineer; replaced several culverts and |                                   |                 |  |
|  |                          | bridges with                            | appropriate of                    | openings;       |  |
|  |                          | county engin                            | eer with tow                      | nship trustees  |  |
|  |                          | ongoing; brid                           | ge and culve                      | rt replacement  |  |
|  |                          | program in p                            | lace                              |                 |  |
|  |                          | 2018: Yes, br                           | idges as repla                    | aced; roads –   |  |
|  |                          | no; Has beer                            | i met                             |                 |  |
| 2.3 Knox County will coordinate with the variou                  | s watershed and          | 2016: Ongoir                            | ng                                |                 |  |
| conservation groups to initiate embankment clearing to eliminate |                          | 2017: Contin                            | ue to coordir                     | nate with       |  |
| impediments to natural drainage so that wa                       | terways drain at         | ODNR and th                             | ie engineer; C                    | DNR, county,    |  |
| maximum capacity during high precipitation                       | or floods.               | MWCD                                    |                                   |                 |  |
|  |                          | 2018: No; Ha                            | is been met; o                    | ongoing         |  |
|  |                          | continuous is                           | ssue                              |                 |  |
| 2.4 Knox County will construct retention ponds                   | and waterway             | 2016: Ongoir                            | וg                                |                 |  |
| diversions where heavy rain and runoff accu                      | imulates and causes      | 2017: Annua                             | l inspection b                    | y engineer and  |  |
| flooding to existing residential and commerce                    | cial properties.         | Soil Conserva                           | ation; county                     | ditches exist   |  |
|  |                          | 2018: Park D                            | istrict?; On a                    | ny new          |  |
|  |                          | developmen                              | ts                                |                 |  |
| 2.5 Knox County will acquire, demolish, and retu                 | urn residential property | 2016: Ongoir                            | ng                                |                 |  |
| to natural habitat where repeated flood loss                     | ses are incurred.        | 2017: None i                            | dentified but                     | ongoing         |  |
|  |                          | monitor; not                            | during this p                     | lan cycle       |  |
|  |                          | 2018: Land B                            | ank? None cu                      | urrently; Not   |  |
|  |                          | had any issue                           | es                                |                 |  |
| 2.6 Knox County will work with economic develo                   | opment groups to         | 2016: Ongoir                            | ng                                |                 |  |

| Mitigation Goal   | Lead Agency/Person        | Start Date                               | End Date                                  | Funding          |  |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|------------------|--|
| create retention ponds and/or waterway div                                | ersion as a condition     | 2017: Ongoi                              | ng; ODNR Rive                             | er Mt. Vernon    |  |
| of approval where new construction would cause or be vulnerable           |                           | Plan; storm water utility plan approval; |   |                  |  |
| to frequent flooding.   |                           | in current plan; storm water regulations |   |                  |  |
|   |                           | 2018: Ongoing; Doing this                |   |                  |  |
| 2.7 Knox County will identify ways to construct new water                 |                           | 2016: Ongoi                              | ng  |                  |  |
| management tools such as berms and flood                                  | walls in the place of     | 2017: City er                            | ngineer contin                            | nues to work     |  |
| dikes that were built 50 years ago and are at                             | risk of failure and may   | with ODNR for solutions; concern         |   |                  |  |
| be vulnerable to breech today.  |                           | expressed at                             | expressed about taking responsibility for |                  |  |
|   |                           | dikes; workir                            | dikes; working with Corp of Engineers     |                  |  |
|   |                           | and city                                 |   |                  |  |
|   |                           | 2018: Corp d                             | loing a full ins                          | pection of       |  |
|   |                           | levee; pare c                            | of non-federal                            | levee pilot      |  |
|   |                           | project; Mt.                             | V. levees have                            | e been           |  |
|   |                           | identified                               |   |                  |  |
| 2.8 Knox County will work with ODNR to suppor                             | t their program that      | 2016: Ongoi                              | ng  |                  |  |
| will assess and identify risks and needed imp                             | provements for            | 2017: Ongoi                              | ng ODNR and                               | SWCD working     |  |
| privately held dams across the county for the                             | e purpose of              | with owners                              | ; Robbins Lake                            | e? ODNR          |  |
| advocating for adequate maintenance of the                                | e dams to prevent         | working with                             | n owners, goo                             | d relationship   |  |
| flooding caused by dam failure, either during storms or at any            |                           | 2018: Ongoing; Held dam workshop 25      |   |                  |  |
| other time.   |                           | persons attended; have not taken pro-    |   |                  |  |
| 2.8.1 Knox County will work with private dam                              | owners to keep            | active stance with this; could push more |   |                  |  |
| information up to date about the dam a                                    | nd its condition as it    | info out rout                            | inely                                     |                  |  |
| relates to flood risk.  |                           |  |   |                  |  |
| 2.9 Knox County will improve and maintain the o                           | dikes in all areas of the | 2016: Ongoi                              | ng  |                  |  |
| county.   |                           | 2017: Contin                             | ue to maintai                             | in and           |  |
|   |                           | improve; cor                             | icern express                             | es over          |  |
|   |                           | maintenance                              | e; being maint                            | tained; there is |  |
|   |                           | no budget fo                             | or improveme                              | nt; need an      |  |
|   |                           | EPA complia                              | nt watershed                              | plan to          |  |
|   |                           | facilitate eas                           | y flow in Koko                            | osing and        |  |
|   |                           | considers de                             | ad trees and o                            | debris in the    |  |
|   |                           | way now                                  |   |                  |  |
|   |                           | 2018: Ongoi                              | ng  |                  |  |
| 3. Knox County will conduct public  | Knox County Soil and      | 01.01.15                                 | 12.31.19                                  | General          |  |
| information campaigns to improve and                                      | Water Conservation        |  |   | Budget           |  |
| expand property owners' participation in                                  | District                  |  |   |                  |  |
| voluntary facilitation of adequate and                                    |                           |  |   |                  |  |
| effective drainage through maintenance of                                 |                           |  |   |                  |  |
| Individual and private property.  | lual landownors about     | 2016, 0220                               |   |                  |  |
| 3.1 Knox county will educate private and individ                          |                           | 2016: Ungoi                              | lg<br>attan avablia in                    |                  |  |
| ditches and streams on their property with t                              | he intent that they       | 2017: Newsi                              |   | news by          |  |
| then remove fallen trees, debris, and evenes                              | ive codiment from         | SWCD to cor                              | nmunicate; of                             | ngoing; county   |  |
| streams on their property to provent fleedin                              |                           |  |   |                  |  |
| streams on their property to prevent noodin                               | ıд.                       | 2018: 2018                               | water?; Cont                              | inually doing    |  |
|   |                           |  |   |                  |  |
| 3.2 Knox County will work to identify and develop working   2016: Ongoing |                           |  |   |                  |  |

| Mitigation Goal   | Lead Agency/Person      | Start Date                      | End Date                              | Funding           |  |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| relationships with conservancy and watershe                       | ed interest groups and  | 2017: Ongoii                    | 2017: Ongoing                         |                   |  |
| organizations to facilitate joint projects and collaboration with |                         | 2018: Working on Muskingum      |                                       |                   |  |
| emergency management.   |                         | watershed; "cover crop" ongoing |                                       |                   |  |
| 4. Knox County will collaborate with                              | Knox Regional           | 01.01.15 12.31.19 Ge            |                                       | General           |  |
| economic development leaders to ensure that                       | Planning                |                                 |                                       | Budget            |  |
| new construction and commercial                                   |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| development includes conservation and                             |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| mitigation practices to prevent flooding.                         |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| 4.1 Knox County will work to include the purchase of set-aside    |                         | 2016: Ongoir                    | 2016: Ongoing                         |                   |  |
| property and retention pond construction in                       | new development         | 2017: Ongoii                    | ng; part of sul                       | odivision         |  |
| where flooding may be lessened by doing so                        | . (Clarify statement in | regulations                     |                                       |                   |  |
| plan revision)  |                         | 2018: Ongoii                    | ng; Have done                         | e this            |  |
| 4.2 Knox County will work to educate economic                     | development groups      | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng                                    |                   |  |
| and agencies about the need for flood mitigation                  | ation and watershed     | 2017: Ongoii                    | ng                                    |                   |  |
| conservation measures.  |                         | 2018: Ongoii                    | ng; Doing this                        | on continual      |  |
|   |                         | basis with ar                   | ea developme                          | ent               |  |
| 5. Knox County will maintain and support                          | Knox County EMA         | 01.01.15                        | 12.31.16                              | General           |  |
| county-wide communications capabilities to                        |                         |                                 |                                       | Budget            |  |
| notify and warn county residents of dangers                       |                         |                                 |                                       | Special           |  |
| and natural hazards through public warning                        |                         |                                 |                                       | Funding           |  |
| and mass notification systems that are                            |                         |                                 |                                       | HSGP/EMPG         |  |
| compatible and functional in concert with                         |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| new technology such as text messages,                             |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| electronic mail, social media, and other                          |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| means to convey information in a timely                           |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| fashion.  |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| 5.1 Knox County will develop a partnership betw                   | veen Emergency          | 2016: Ongoir                    | ng                                    |                   |  |
| Management, 9-1-1 services, and 2-1-1 servi                       | ces to effectively and  | 2017: WENS                      | 2017: WENS system added; Knox Co. JIC |                   |  |
| quickly distribute information and provide co                     | onnection to services   | 2018: Ongoing; Completed        |                                       |                   |  |
| for residents across the county.                                  |                         |                                 |                                       |                   |  |
| 5.2 Knox County will develop and implement me                     | ethods to communicate   | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng                                    |                   |  |
| with all populations and communities in the                       | county during           | 2017: Ongoii                    | ng; update co                         | unty's warning    |  |
| disasters, taking into account lifestyles and h                   | abits of special        | and public in                   | formation an                          | nexes; ARES;      |  |
| populations of residents within the county.                       |                         | WENS additi                     | on; MARCS up                          | ograde; 211       |  |
|   |                         | partnership;                    | social media                          | -                 |  |
|   |                         | 2018: Ongoii                    | ng; Looking to                        | start doing       |  |
|   |                         | this more (Fi                   | re dept. deve                         | lop more and      |  |
|   |                         | ARC)                            |                                       |                   |  |
| 5.3 Knox County will maintain and support the o                   | utdoor warning sirens   | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng                                    |                   |  |
| in the county for the purpose of providing er                     | nergency warnings to    | 2017: Testec                    | l bi-weekly; n                        | otifies villages; |  |
| people working and spending time outside w                        | vnen inclement          | ongoing; ARI                    | -S plan to mo                         | nitor siren       |  |
| weather and dangerous conditions exist with                       | n special emphasis      | 2018: Ongoii                    | ng; Continuou                         | isly maintain     |  |
| upon areas where populations work out-of-o                        | toors on a daily basis, | and update                      |                                       |                   |  |
| as funding is available.  | · · · · · · · · · ·     | 2016 6                          |                                       |                   |  |
| 5.4 Knox County will maintain a registry of funct                 | ional needs             | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng                                    |                   |  |

| Mitigation Goal   | Lead Agency/Person       | Start Date                               | End Date        | Funding         |
|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| populations for the purpose of being able to                          | better serve them        | 2017: Ongoii                             | ng Knox Alerts  | s; EMA          |
| during disasters, and to mitigate the long term health effects they   |                          | Maintains a list; EMA updates            |                 |                 |
| may endure because of a lack of timely assistance during a            |                          | equipment lists; functional needs update |                 |                 |
| disaster, and continue to promote participation in this registry for  |                          | in Knox Alerts in 2016; KCHD working     |                 |                 |
| the benefit of all.   |                          | with agencies to identify special needs  |                 |                 |
|   |                          | populations                              |                 |                 |
|   |                          | 2018: Ongoing; Fire departments are      |                 |                 |
|   |                          | working to update continuously           |                 |                 |
| 5.5 Knox County will complete updates to the fin                      | st response early        | 2016: Ongoii                             | ng              |                 |
| warning and notification system that suppor                           | ts responder readiness   | 2017: Impler                             | nented iPaws    | ; MARCCS        |
| and situational awareness during disaster an                          | id emergency             | system utiliz                            | ed              |                 |
| incidents.  |                          | 2018: IPAWS                              | ; Ongoing wit   | h agencies      |
|   |                          | listed; updat                            | ing aps         |                 |
| 6. Knox County will conduct a public                                  | Knox County EMA          | 01.01.15                                 | 12.31.19        | General         |
| awareness campaign that includes                                      |                          |  |                 | Budget          |
| Information about warning and mass                                    |                          |  |                 | EIMIPG          |
| notification methods, evacuation and other                            |                          |  |                 |                 |
| emergencies and disasters   |                          |  |                 |                 |
| 6.1 Knox County will include in the public inform                     | l<br>Nation campaign     | 2016: Ongoing                            |                 |                 |
| relevant information about the bazard assessment and disaster         |                          | 2017: ongoing                            |                 |                 |
| storm risk analysis for all of Knox County, ide                       | entifying those types of | 2018: Ongoing: Ongoing info campaign     |                 |                 |
| hazards that are reasonably present in Knox County.                   |                          | utilizing all tools available            |                 |                 |
| 6.2 Knox County will include information about the public warning and |                          | 2016: Ongoir                             | ng              |                 |
| mass notification systems in Knox County in the campaign to           |                          | 2017: Ongoii                             | ng; WENS info   | ormation        |
| inform residents about the purpose of each                            | system and how to        | distributed a                            | t public event  | ts              |
| identify additional emergency information, a                          | as it is needed.         | 2018: Ongoii                             | ng; Ongoing     |                 |
| 6.3 Knox County will include information in the public information    |                          | 2016: Ongoir                             | ng              |                 |
| campaign about how and where to find shelter locations and how        |                          | 2017: Updat                              | ed Public Info  | rmation         |
| to access timely evacuation information whe                           | en evacuation is         | annex; Red Cross; ongoing; in county     |                 |                 |
| ordered, including but not limited to where                           | a shelter has been       | EOP                                      |                 |                 |
| established, how to get there, and how to sa                          | ifely travel to the      | 2018: Ongoing; Utilize the ARC Shelter   |                 |                 |
| shelter.  |                          | app that pro                             | vides this info | )               |
| 7. Knox County will conduct a public                                  | Knox County EMA          | 01.01.15                                 | 12.31.16        | General         |
| information campaign to educate the general                           |                          |  |                 | Budget          |
| public about evacuation and shelter locations,                        |                          |  |                 | Private (ARC)   |
| sate rooms and storm sneiters, community                              |                          | 2016 0                                   |                 | EMPG            |
| centers, and respite and gathering locations                          |                          | 2016: Ungoii                             | ng              |                 |
| during disasters and emergencies.                                     |                          | 2017: No update                          |                 |                 |
|   |                          | 2018: Ongoing; Ongoing during different  |                 | uring different |
| 9 Knov County will complete improvements                              | Knov County Auditor      | uisaster seas                            |                 | Conoral         |
| o. Knox County will complete improvements                             | Knox County Auditor      | Ongoing                                  | 12.31.19        | Budget          |
| canabilities to enhance and improve first                             |                          | Ougoing                                  |                 | συυχει          |
| responders' ability to ranidly identify and                           |                          |  |                 |                 |
| locate specific properties during emergencies.                        |                          |  |                 |                 |

| Mitigation Goal  | Lead Agency/Person     | Start Date                             | End Date         | Funding       |
|--|------------------------|--|------------------|---------------|
| 8.1 Update the county GIS and mapping capability                             | ties to improve        | 2016: Ongoing                          |                  |               |
| numbering consistencies and logical sequencing of addresses.                 |                        | 2017: Ongoing; contacted and worked    |                  |               |
|  |                        | with mobile home parks and improved    |                  |               |
|  |                        | technology; been improved and          |                  |               |
|  |                        | ongoing; Upo                           | dates in 2017    |               |
|  |                        | 2018: Ongoir                           | ng; Updating a   | as needed     |
| 9. Knox County will establish a shelter and                                  | Knox County EMA        | 01.01.15                               | 12.31.16         | General       |
| comfort station system that meets the need                                   | ,                      |  |                  | Budget        |
| for a variety of disasters in local jurisdictions                            |                        | Ongoing                                |                  | Private       |
| including townships, villages, and cities so the                             |                        |  |                  | Funding       |
| centers are readily available to residents                                   |                        |  |                  | -             |
| during emergencies without significant                                       |                        |  |                  |               |
| dangerous travel.  |                        |  |                  |               |
| 9.1 Knox County will identify locations for and co                           | onstruct safe rooms or | 2016: Ongoir                           | ng               |               |
| storm shelters in areas without adequate she                                 | elter such as mobile   | 2017: Promo                            | ted safe roon    | n grant;      |
| home parks, multi-family housing communit                                    | ies, and gathering     | identified bu                          | t not publiciz   | ed            |
| facilities.  |                        | 2018: No                               |                  |               |
| 9.2 Knox County will establish and communicate                               | locations of           | 2016: Ongoir                           | ng               |               |
| temporary storm shelters and safe gathering                                  | places where           | 2017: List updated in 2016; updated    |                  |               |
| residents and workers can go during and after storms to obtain               |                        | data in EOC and hazardous materials    |                  |               |
| critical information, recovery information, and to find services they        |                        | analysis; Red Cross has established    |                  |               |
| need in the course of returning to normalcy.                                 |                        | 2018: No update                        |                  |               |
| 9.3 Knox County will establish and implement shelters and gathering          |                        | 2016: Ongoir                           | ng               |               |
| locations for special and functional needs populations for use               |                        | 2017: ARC ha                           | as established   |               |
| during storms, power outages, and other times when their unique              |                        | 2018: All con                          | gregate shelt    | ers have been |
| needs are difficult to maintain in personal homes.                           |                        | identified to                          | support acce     | ss and        |
|  | functional ne          | eds                                    |                  |               |
| 9.4 Knox County will identify alternate power source needs for 2016: Ongoing |                        |  |                  |               |
| residential locations that experience extended power outages                 |                        | 2017: Include                          | ed in plan       |               |
| during storms, and identify funding sources                                  | to install alternate   | 2018: No update                        |                  |               |
| power sources at those locations.  |                        |  |                  |               |
| 9.5 Knox County will acquire alternate power so                              | urces for all critical | 2016: Ongoir                           | ng               |               |
| facilities in the county, including overnight sl                             | nelters, community     | 2017: Included in plan                 |                  |               |
| gathering locations, fire and police stations,                               | healthcare facilities, | 2018: Addressed in functional disaster |                  |               |
| and other locations where large numbers of                                   | residents may          | annexes                                |                  |               |
| congregate during disasters.   |                        |  |                  |               |
| 9.6 Knox County will create a plan to ensure fue                             | l is provided to       | 2016: Ongoing                          |                  |               |
| alternate power sources during disasters.                                    |                        | 2017: Included in plan                 |                  |               |
|  |                        | 2018: Addres                           | ssed in function | onal annexes  |
| 10. Knox County will establish a debris                                      | Knox County EMA        | 01.01.16                               | 12.31.16         | General       |
| management plan that facilitates rapid                                       |                        |  |                  | Budget        |
| recovery from severe storms, and facilitates                                 |                        |  |                  |               |
| the restoration of utilities as quickly as                                   |                        |  |                  |               |
| possible to improve recovery of the  |                        |  |                  |               |
| community after such an incident.  |                        |  |                  |               |
| 10.1 Knox County will identify local resources to                            | complete rapid debris  | 2016: Ongoir                           | าย               |               |

| Mitigation Goal   | Lead Agency/Person        | Start Date                      | End Date        | Funding      |  |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| removal after a severe storm.   |                           | 2017: Currer                    | ntly working o  | n debris     |  |
|   |                           | management plan                 |                 |              |  |
|   |                           | 2018: Has been met              |                 |              |  |
| 10.2 Knox County will identify disposal sites to re                     | eceive debris after a     | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng              |              |  |
| severe storm, and obtain EPA pre-approval                               | as feasible for the       | 2017: Currer                    | ntly working o  | n plan       |  |
| sites.  |                           | 2018: Has been met              |                 |              |  |
| 10.3 Knox County will establish contact with and                        | cooperation from the      | 2016: Ongoii                    | 2016: Ongoing   |              |  |
| utility companies, as a debris management                               | plan is developed to      | 2017: Currently working on plan |                 |              |  |
| rapidly remove storm debris after incidents                             |                           | 2018: Has be                    | en met in cou   | unty plan    |  |
| 11. Knox County will conduct a public                                   | Knox County Soil and      | 01.01.15                        | 12.31.19        | General      |  |
| awareness campaign that conveys the critical                            | Water Conservation        |                                 |                 | Budget       |  |
| points of a drought and the need for regular                            | District                  |                                 |                 |              |  |
| water conservation in preparation for times                             |                           |                                 |                 |              |  |
| of severe drought. Section needs to be                                  |                           |                                 |                 |              |  |
| addressed; some fire dept. work to install dry                          |                           |                                 |                 |              |  |
| hydrants and working to identify new areas                              |                           |                                 |                 |              |  |
| 11.1 Knox County will educate the public about t                        | he need for ongoing       | 2016: Ongoing                   |                 |              |  |
| water conservation.   |                           | 2017: Ongoing; CERT training    |                 |              |  |
|   |                           | 2018: No update                 |                 |              |  |
| 11.2 Knox County will educate the public about t                        | he consequences of        | 2016: Ongoing                   |                 |              |  |
| severe drought.   |                           | 2017: Ongoing; CERT training    |                 |              |  |
|   |                           | 2018: No update                 |                 |              |  |
| 11.3 Knox County will educate the public about the needs of agriculture |                           | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng              |              |  |
| during a severe drought.  |                           | 2017: Ongoii                    | ng; CERT train  | ing          |  |
|   |                           | 2018: No up                     | 2018: No update |              |  |
| 11.4 Knox County will educate the public about f                        | ire prevention needs      | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng              |              |  |
| during a drought.   |                           | 2017: Ongoing; CERT training    |                 |              |  |
| 11.4.1 Knox County will educate the public abo                          | ut fire prevention and    | 2018: No update                 |                 |              |  |
| property protection from fire.  |                           |                                 |                 |              |  |
| 11.4.2 Knox County will educate the public abo                          | ut the benefits of dry    |                                 |                 |              |  |
| hydrants in farm and rural ponds as it re                               | lates to fire protection. |                                 |                 |              |  |
| 11.5 Knox County will work with the Farm Servic                         | e Agency (FSA) to         | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng              |              |  |
| facilitate and support the installation of por                          | ids with dry hydrants     | 2017: Ongoii                    | ng              |              |  |
| in rural areas to be used for alternate water                           | supply, firefighting      | 2018: No up                     | date            |              |  |
| water supply, or other critical water needs,                            | and work with the         |                                 |                 |              |  |
| USDA Natural Resources Conservation Servi                               | ce Environmental          |                                 |                 |              |  |
| quality Incentives Program to achieve this.                             |                           | 2016 0                          |                 |              |  |
| 11.6 Knox County will facilitate improvements to                        | the countywide water      | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng              |              |  |
| supply infrastructure so that a greater perce                           | entage of county          | 2017: Ongoii                    | ng; Bladensbu   | irg-Millwood |  |
| residents can benefit from a public water sy                            | stem.                     | 2018: No up                     | date            |              |  |
| 11.7 Knox County will establish redundancy to th                        | e water supply across     | 2016: Ongoii                    | ng              |              |  |
| the county, to be used during times of disas                            | ter or when the           | 2017: Ongoii                    | ng              |              |  |
| primary system is not available or in working order.                    |                           | 2018: No up                     | date            |              |  |

| Mitigation Goal   | Lead Agency/Person      | Start Date                               | End Date                               | Funding         |  |
|---|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 12. Knox County will improve the                                    | Knox County             | 01.01.15                                 | 12.31.19                               | General         |  |
| dependability of utilities through                                  | Engineer                |  |  | Budget          |  |
| establishment of resilient utility lines and                        |                         |  |  | Private         |  |
| delivery systems that withstand the stress of                       |                         |  |  | Funding         |  |
| severe storms and disasters.  |                         |  |  |                 |  |
| 12.1 Knox County will establish underground uti                     | lity delivery in new    | 2016: Ongoii                             | ng                                     |                 |  |
| residential and commercial neighborhoods.                           |                         | 2017: Ongoii                             | ng with privat                         | e utilities     |  |
|   |                         | 2018: No update                          |  |                 |  |
| 12.2 Knox County will support and advocate for                      | improvements to the     | 2016: Ongoii                             | ng                                     |                 |  |
| power grids both inside and outside Knox C                          | ounty with the          | 2017: Worki                              | 2017: Working with AEP upgrading lines |                 |  |
| purpose of making utility service more depe                         | endable and resilient.  | in entire cou                            | nty                                    |                 |  |
|   |                         | 2018: No up                              | date                                   |                 |  |
| 12.3 Knox County will establish redundancy in su                    | ppliers of natural gas  | 2016: Ongoir                             | ng                                     |                 |  |
| and other fuels with the purpose of resilien                        | cy during disasters and | 2017: Not ac                             | ldressed at th                         | is time;        |  |
| times of supply shortages.  |                         | unknown                                  |  |                 |  |
|   |                         | 2018: No up                              | date                                   |                 |  |
| CENTERBURG  | 1                       | <b>-</b>                                 |  | 1               |  |
| 1. The Village of Centerburg will develop                           | Village Administrator   | 01.01.15                                 | 12.31.19                               | General         |  |
| projects to lessen the damages to property                          |                         |  |  | Budget          |  |
| from flooding, including consideration of                           |                         |  |  | Special         |  |
| acquisition and demolition.   |                         |  |  | Funding         |  |
|   |                         |  |  | PDM             |  |
| 1.1 Centerburg will consider acquisition and demolition projects to |                         | 2016: No up                              | date                                   |                 |  |
| remove repetitive loss structures and preven                        | nt further losses.      | 2017: Not ac                             | ldressed yet;                          | considering; in |  |
|   |                         | progress wo                              | rking with pro                         | perty owners;   |  |
|   | · · · · · · · ·         | 2018: No up                              | date                                   |                 |  |
| 1.2 Centerburg will consider the construction of                    | retention ponds to      | 2016: No up                              | date                                   |                 |  |
| noid runoff waters during periods of neavy p                        | precipitation.          | 2017: Storm                              | water Utility                          | activity; not   |  |
|   |                         | 2018: No undate                          |  |                 |  |
| 1.2 Contarburg will improve storm sower system                      | as to more adequately   | 2016: No up                              | date                                   |                 |  |
| manage runoff water for all areas and thus r                        | revent/lessen flooding  | 2010. No update                          |  |                 |  |
| in residential and/or commercial properties                         | nevent/lessen noouling  | 2017: Creating storm water utility with  |  |                 |  |
| in residential and/or commercial properties.                        |                         | 2018: No up                              | dato                                   | ne, în progress |  |
| 1.4. Centerburg will identify and develop elevation                 | on ontions for          | 2018: No up                              | date                                   |                 |  |
| roadways streets and other infrastructure                           | that is negatively      | 2010. No up                              |  |                 |  |
| affected by flooding on a regular basis                             | that is negatively      | 2017: Not ut                             |  |                 |  |
| 1.5 Centerburg will maintain repair and/or rep                      | lace dams dikes and     | 2018: No update                          |  |                 |  |
| reservoirs that hold or contain waters that c                       | therwise may flood      | 2010: NO up                              | nsider deferre                         | al or deletion? |  |
| home and other properties   | the wise may nood       | 2017: N/a consider deferral or deletion? |  |                 |  |
| 2 The Village of Centerburg will educate the                        | Village Administrator   | 01 01 15                                 |  | General         |  |
| community about the notification systems                            | village Automistrator   | 01.01.13                                 | 12.31.10                               | Budget          |  |
| that warn residents of impending disasters                          |                         |  |  | Duuget          |  |
| and the actions they should take immediately                        |                         |  |  |                 |  |
| to protect themselves and their property                            |                         |  |  |                 |  |
| 2.1 Centerburg will investigate and identify com                    | munication tools such   | 2016: No up                              | date                                   | 1               |  |

| Mitigation Goal                                    | Lead Agency/Person       | Start Date                             | End Date        | Funding          |  |  |
|--|--------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| as text messages, phone call systems, and ot       | hers, perhaps as part    | 2017: Using Knox Alert System;         |                 |                  |  |  |
| of a countywide system, to effectively notify      | the community of         | advertised on website and on water     |                 |                  |  |  |
| impending danger and/or inclement weathe           | r risks.                 | bills; WENS                            |                 |                  |  |  |
|  |                          | 2018: No up                            | date            |                  |  |  |
| 2.2 Centerburg will improve communication system   | tems between first       | 2016: No up                            | date            |                  |  |  |
| responders and other critical resources in th      | e event of an            | 2017: Coord                            | inating with C  | ounty and Fire   |  |  |
| emergency or disaster.                             |                          | Dept.; updat                           | ing systems     |                  |  |  |
|  |                          | 2018: No up                            | date            |                  |  |  |
| 2.3 Centerburg will develop partnerships with K    | nox County Emergency     | 2016: No up                            | date            |                  |  |  |
| Management and other agencies to develop           | information              | 2017: Worki                            | ng on it; ongo  | ing              |  |  |
| dissemination capabilities that might include      | e 2-1-1 and other        | 2018: No up                            | date            |                  |  |  |
| community agencies, and would enhance the          | e community's ability    |  |                 |                  |  |  |
| to communicate with all populations, includi       | ing those with           |  |                 |                  |  |  |
| tunctional and special needs.                      |                          |  |                 |                  |  |  |
| 2.4 Centerburg will work to effectively identify a | ind communicate with     | 2016: No up                            | date            |                  |  |  |
| special populations within the jurisdiction as     | it relates to disasters, | 2017: Not ac                           | dressed; still  | valid            |  |  |
| warning and notification, and response.            |                          | 2018: No update                        |                 |                  |  |  |
| 2.5 Centerburg will educate the community abo      | ut evacuation            | 2016: No update                        |                 |                  |  |  |
| procedures and how to identify shelter locat       | ions, comfort stations,  | 2017: Work through Red Cross; needs to |                 |                  |  |  |
| and service centers during disasters.              |                          | be addressed                           | d               |                  |  |  |
|  |                          | 2018: No update                        |                 |                  |  |  |
| 2.6 Centerburg will work to expand and/or main     | itain the outdoor        | 2016: No up                            | 2016: No update |                  |  |  |
| warning siren system in the community to ha        | arden and improve        | 2017: Addre                            | ssed and test   | ed at this point |  |  |
| warning and notification capabilities.             |                          | 2018: No up                            | date            |                  |  |  |
| 3. The Village of Centerburg will establish        | Village Administrator    | 01.01.15                               | 12.31.16        | General          |  |  |
| sites to be used as sneiters, comfort stations,    |                          | 2016 No.                               |                 | Budget           |  |  |
| and service centers during disasters and will      |                          | 2016: No up                            |                 |                  |  |  |
| scrive to equip these sites with the features      |                          | 2017: Work                             | with Red Cros   | s; should be     |  |  |
| during storms, nowor outages, and other            |                          | done                                   | -l-+-           |                  |  |  |
| disasters.   |                          | 2018: NO UP                            | uate            |                  |  |  |
| 4. The Village of Centerburg will identify and     | Village Administrator    | 01.01.15                               | 12.31.15        | General          |  |  |
| implement a water supply plan for emergency        | C C                      |  |                 | Budget           |  |  |
| purposes that supports, supplements, or            |                          |  |                 | C                |  |  |
| services in place of a municipal or county         |                          |  |                 |                  |  |  |
| water supply in cases of later fires.              |                          |  |                 |                  |  |  |
| 4.1 Centerburg will advocate and support the co    | onstruction of ponds     | 2016: No up                            | date            |                  |  |  |
| with the capacity of being used as an alterna      | ite water supply, and    | 2017: Contin                           | igency plan w   | ith Delco        |  |  |
| that are equipped with dry hydrants.               |                          | Water; in pro                          | ocess of evalu  | ation of water   |  |  |
|  |                          | system                                 |                 |                  |  |  |
|  |                          | 2018: Same                             | as 2017         |                  |  |  |

| Mitigation Goal                                      | Lead Agency/Person       | Start Date      | End Date | Funding |  |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------|--|
| DANVILLE   |                          |                 |          |         |  |
| 1. The Village of Danville will educate the          | Village Administrator    | 01.01.15        | 12.31.16 | General |  |
| community about notification systems that            |                          |                 |          | Budget  |  |
| warn residents of impending disasters and the        |                          |                 |          |         |  |
| actions they should take immediately to              |                          |                 |          |         |  |
| protect themselves and their property.               |                          |                 |          |         |  |
| 1.1 Danville will investigate and identify commun    | nication tools such as   | 2016: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| text messages, phone call systems, and other         | rs, perhaps as part of a | 2017: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| countywide system, to effectively notify the         | community of             | 2018: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| impending danger and/or inclement weather            | r risks.                 |                 |          |         |  |
| 1.2 Danville will improve communication system       | s between first          | 2016: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| responders and other critical resources in the       | e event of an            | 2017: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| emergency or disaster.                               |                          | 2018: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| 1.3 Danville will develop partnerships with Knox     | County Emergency         | 2016: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| Management and other agencies to develop             | information              | 2017: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| dissemination capabilities that might include        | 2-1-1 and other          | 2018: No upo    | date     |         |  |
| community agencies, and would enhance the            | e community's ability    |                 |          |         |  |
| to communicate with all populations, includi         | ng those with            |                 |          |         |  |
| Tunctional and special needs.                        | oommunicato with         | 2016, No. up    | data     |         |  |
| 1.4 Darivine will work to effectively identify and a | it relates to disasters  | 2010. No update |          |         |  |
| warning and notification, and response               | it relates to uisasters, | 2017: No update |          |         |  |
| 4.5. Derwille will educate the community chevit e    |                          | 2016: No update |          |         |  |
| 1.5 Darivine will educate the community about e      | t stations, and sonvice  | 2010: No update |          |         |  |
| centers during disasters                             | t stations, and service  | 2017: No update |          |         |  |
| 1.6 Danville will expand and/or maintain the out     | door warning siron       | 2016: No update |          |         |  |
| system in the community to harden and impl           | rove warning and         | 2010: No update |          |         |  |
| notification canabilities                            |                          | 2017: No update |          |         |  |
| 2 The Village of Danville will educate               | Village Administrator    | 01 01 15        | 12 31 16 | General |  |
| property owners about and advocate for good          | vindge / tarihistrator   | 01.01.15        | 12.51.10 | Budget  |  |
| regular maintenance practices that result in         |                          | 2016: No up     | date     | Budget  |  |
| preventing excessive damages to structures           |                          | 2017: No up     | date     |         |  |
| during storms, such as ditch bank                    |                          | 2018: No up     | date     |         |  |
| maintenance, trimming trees, and clearing            |                          |                 |          |         |  |
| excessive vegetation from streams,                   |                          |                 |          |         |  |
| waterways, and embankments.                          |                          |                 |          |         |  |
| 3. The Village of Danville will develop projects     | Village Administrator    | 01.01.15        | 12.31.19 | General |  |
| to lessen damages to property from flooding,         |                          |                 |          | Budget  |  |
| including acquisition and demolition.                |                          |                 |          | Special |  |
|  |                          |                 |          | Funding |  |
|  |                          |                 |          | PDM     |  |
|  |                          | 2016: No upo    | date     |         |  |
|  |                          | 2017: No upo    | date     |         |  |
|  |                          | 2018: No upo    | date     |         |  |

| Mitigation Goal                                      | Lead Agency/Person     | Start Date                        | End Date             | Funding         |  |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| FREDERICKTOWN  |                        |                                   |                      | -               |  |
| 1. The Village of Fredericktown will identify        | Village Administrator  | 01.01.15                          | 12.31.15             | General         |  |
| locations and develop plans for community            |                        |                                   |                      | Budget          |  |
| gathering locations throughout the village to        |                        |                                   |                      | Private         |  |
| provide residents with shelter, information,         |                        |                                   |                      | Funding         |  |
| and services during weather related events.          |                        |                                   |                      |                 |  |
| 1.1 Locations considered might include the Mair      | building, Presbyterian | 2016: Ongoir                      | ng                   |                 |  |
| Church; Old High School; and the police stati        | on and area behind     | 2017: MOU's                       | s with churche       | es, schools for |  |
| building for future buildings.                       |                        | disasters                         |                      |                 |  |
|  |                        | 2018: Coordi                      | inated throug        | h police, fire, |  |
|  |                        | ems                               |                      |                 |  |
| 2. The Village of Fredericktown will prohibit        | Village Administrator  | 01.01.15                          | 12.31.15             | General         |  |
| construction of new homes inside flood zones.        |                        |                                   |                      | Budget          |  |
|  |                        |                                   |                      |                 |  |
| 2.1 Areas such as the old football field will not be | e used for residential | 2016: Ongoir                      | ng                   |                 |  |
| development, and will be maintained as ope           | n, natural space.      | 2017: No up                       | date                 |                 |  |
|  | 1                      | 2018: Yes                         |                      |                 |  |
| 3. The Village of Fredericktown will identify        | Village Administrator  | 01.15.15                          | 12.31.16             | General         |  |
| and develop a redundant water system                 |                        |                                   |                      | Budget          |  |
| connection.  |                        |                                   |                      | Private         |  |
|  |                        |                                   |                      | Funding         |  |
|  |                        |                                   |                      | Special         |  |
|  |                        |                                   |                      | Funding         |  |
| 3.1 Delco may provide a feasible redundant con       | nection as alternate   | 2016: Ongoir                      | ng                   |                 |  |
| system, and will be investigated along with o        | ther reasonable        | 2017: No up                       | date                 |                 |  |
| alternatives.  |                        | 2018: Have been working with FT   |                      |                 |  |
|  |                        | Precision and                     | d AEP for acce       | ss to           |  |
|  |                        | easement across property; so far, |                      |                 |  |
|  |                        | unsuccessful                      | 12 24 47             | Carriel         |  |
| 4. The Village of Fredericktown will develop a       | Village Administrator  | 01.01.15                          | 12.31.17             | General         |  |
| long-range plan for storm sewer protection           |                        | 2016 0                            |                      | Budget          |  |
| and maintenance.                                     |                        | 2016: Ungoii                      | ng                   |                 |  |
|  |                        | 2017: No up                       |                      |                 |  |
|  |                        | 2018: Plan o                      | n implementii        | ng a storm      |  |
|  |                        | water utility                     | In 2019; curre       | entiy           |  |
|  |                        | separating st                     | orm water an         | id sanitary     |  |
|  |                        | 6/11 comple                       | gn an eieven-j<br>te | Shase project   |  |
| 5. The Village of Fredericktown will strive to       | Village Administrator  | 01.01.15                          | 12,31,18             | General         |  |
| maintain and improve aged and low volume             |                        | 01.01.13                          | 12.01.10             | Budget          |  |
| water supply lines for reasons of public health      |                        |                                   |                      | Special         |  |
| and fire protection.                                 |                        |                                   |                      | Funding         |  |
|  |                        | 2016: Ongoir                      | ng                   | - '0            |  |
|  |                        | 2017: No up                       | date                 |                 |  |
|  |                        | 2018: Incom                       | plete                |                 |  |

| Mitigation Goal   | Lead Agency/Person     | Start Date      | End Date        | Funding       |  |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 6. The Village of Fredericktown will develop  | Village Administrator  | 01.01.15        | 12.31.19        | General       |  |
| and strive to implement a street repair and   |                        |                 |                 | Budget        |  |
| maintenance plan to maintain proper storm   |                        |                 |                 | Special       |  |
| water flow and to minimize roadway erosion  |                        |                 |                 | Funding       |  |
| damage sue to storms.   |                        |                 |                 |               |  |
| 6.1 The village will strive to maintain and improv  | e curbing, paving, and | 2016: Ongoir    | ng              |               |  |
| catch basins to better handle storm runoff ar   | nd to prevent flooding | 2017: Currer    | ntly in Phase 4 | of 5 in       |  |
| of residential and commercial properties.   |                        | ongoing proj    | ect             |               |  |
|   |                        | 2018: Receiv    | ed OPWC fun     | ding the past |  |
|   |                        | three years f   | or annual stre  | eet           |  |
|   |                        | improvemen      | t program       |               |  |
| 7. The Village of Fredericktown will strive to  | Village Administrator  | 01.01.15        | 12.31.18        | General       |  |
| create hardened electrical service to critical  |                        |                 |                 | Budget        |  |
| facilities through acquisition and installation   |                        |                 |                 | Special       |  |
| of alternate power sources.   |                        |                 |                 | Funding       |  |
|   |                        |                 |                 | Private       |  |
|   |                        |                 |                 | Funding       |  |
|   |                        |                 |                 |               |  |
|   |                        | 2016: Ongoir    | ng              |               |  |
|   |                        | 2017: No up     | date            |               |  |
|   |                        | 2018: No up     | date            |               |  |
|   | GAMBIER                | 1               |                 |               |  |
| 1. The Village of Gambier will work with utility  | Village Administrator  | 01.01.15        | 12.31.19        | General       |  |
| providers to harden utility services and  |                        |                 |                 | Budget        |  |
| prevent excessive outages during storms and   |                        |                 |                 | Private       |  |
| inclement weather.  |                        |                 |                 | Funding       |  |
|   |                        |                 |                 | Special       |  |
|   |                        |                 |                 | Funding       |  |
| 1.1 Gambier will coordinate with utility compani  | es to bury power and   | 2016: No up     | date            |               |  |
| utility lines in all neighborhoods, homes, and  | businesses.            | 2017: No up     | date            |               |  |
|   |                        | 2018: No update |                 |               |  |
| 1.2 Gambier will replace aging and deteriorating  | power, water, sewer,   | 2016: No up     | date            |               |  |
| and other utility distribution systems.   |                        | 2017: No up     | date            |               |  |
|   |                        | 2018: No up     | date            |               |  |
| 1.3 Gambier will develop protective actions to protective actions | revent or lessen the   | 2016: No up     | date            |               |  |
| likelihood of damage to water treatment and   | 2017: No up            | date            |                 |               |  |
| systems during disasters and inclement weat   | her.                   | 2018: No up     | date            |               |  |
| 1.4 Gambier will work to coordinate dependable  | and resilient wireless | 2016: No up     | date            |               |  |
| service through those providers within the ju   | risdiction to improve  | 2017: No up     | date            |               |  |
| the capability to communicate during inclem   | ent weather and        | 2018: No up     | date            |               |  |
| disasters.  |                        |                 |                 |               |  |

| Mitigation Goal                                  | Lead Agency/Person       | Start Date   | End Date | Funding |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| GANN   |                          |              |          |         |
| 1. The Village of Gann will educate the          | Mayor                    | 01.01.15     | 12.31.16 | General |
| community about notification systems that        |                          |              |          | Budget  |
| warn residents of impending disasters and the    |                          |              |          |         |
| actions they should take immediately to          |                          |              |          |         |
| protect themselves and their property.           |                          |              |          |         |
| 1.1 Gann will develop partnerships with Knox Co  | ounty Emergency          | 2016: No up  | date     |         |
| Management and other agencies to develop         | information              | 2017: No up  | date     |         |
| dissemination capabilities that might include    | 2-1-1 and other          | 2018: No up  | date     |         |
| community agencies, and would enhance the        | e community's ability    |              |          |         |
| to communicate with all populations, includi     | ng those with            |              |          |         |
| functional and special needs.                    |                          |              |          |         |
| 1.2 Gann will educate the community about eva    | cuation procedures       | 2016: No up  | date     |         |
| and how to identify shelter locations, comfor    | rt stations, and service | 2017: No up  | date     |         |
| centers during disasters.                        |                          | 2018: No up  | date     |         |
|  | MARTINSBURG              | 1            |          |         |
| 1. The Village of Martinsburg will investigate   | Mayor                    | 01.01.15     | 12.31.19 | Special |
| and implement means to create and provide        |                          |              |          | Funding |
| safe rooms for residents who live in mobile      |                          |              |          | Private |
| homes, homes built on concrete slabs, and        |                          |              |          | Funding |
| other structures that lack storm shelters used   |                          | 2016: No up  | date     |         |
| as protection in wind and other severe           |                          | 2017: No up  | date     |         |
| storms.  |                          | 2018: No up  | date     |         |
| 2. The Village of Martinsburg will establish     | Mayor                    | 01.01.15     | 12.31.16 | General |
| sites to be used as shelters, comfort stations,  |                          |              |          | Budget  |
| and service centers during disasters, and will   |                          |              |          | Private |
| strive to equip these sites with the features    |                          |              |          | Funding |
| necessary to adequately serve the community      |                          | 2016: No up  | date     |         |
| during storms, power outages, and other          |                          | 2017: No up  | date     |         |
| disasters.                                       |                          | 2018: No up  | date     |         |
| 3. The Village of Martinsburg will develop       | Mayor                    | 01.01.15     | 12.31.19 | General |
| storm sewer systems to more adequately           |                          |              |          | Budget  |
| manage runoff water for all areas and thus       |                          |              |          | Special |
| prevent/lessen flooding in residential and/or    |                          |              |          | Funding |
| commercial properties.                           |                          | 2016: No up  | date     |         |
|  |                          | 2017: No up  | date     |         |
|  |                          | 2018: No up  | date     |         |
|  | MOUNT VERNON             | I            |          |         |
| 1. The City of Mount Vernon will develop         | Safety Service           | 01.01.15     | 12.31.19 | General |
| projects to lessen the damages to property       | Director                 |              |          | Budget  |
| from flooding, including acquisition and         |                          |              |          | Special |
| demolition projects for repetitive loss          |                          |              |          | Funding |
| properties when and where appropriate.           |                          |              |          | Private |
|  |                          |              |          | Funding |
| 1.1 Mount Vernon will consider acquisition and o | demolition projects to   | 2016: Ongoii | ng       |         |

| Mitigation Goal                                   | Lead Agency/Person     | Start Date                                 | End Date                    | Funding         |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| remove repetitive loss structures and preven      | t further losses.      | 2017: No rep loss structures at this time; |                             |                 |  |  |
|   |                        | old Middle S                               | chool might b               | e a project     |  |  |
|   |                        | 2018: Use la                               | nd bank, flood              | d regulations   |  |  |
| 1.2 Mount Vernon will consider the construction   | of retention ponds to  | 2016: Ongoing                              |                             |                 |  |  |
| hold runoff waters during periods of heavy p      | 2017: Blackb           | erry Alley pro                             | ject; ongoing               |                 |  |  |
|   |                        | with new co                                | nstruction; ali             | ready in        |  |  |
|   |                        | current plans                              | s; B.A. addres              | ses             |  |  |
|   |                        | underground                                | l retention                 |                 |  |  |
|   |                        | 2018: Yes, in                              | developmen                  | ts raingardens  |  |  |
| 1.3 Mount Vernon will improve storm sewer syst    | tems to more           | 2016: Ongoii                               | ng                          |                 |  |  |
| adequately manage runoff water for all areas      | s and thus             | 2017: Develo                               | oping a storm               | water utility;  |  |  |
| prevent/lessen flooding in residential and/or     | commercial             | has been and                               | d will continue             | e to be         |  |  |
| properties.                                       |                        | monitored                                  |                             |                 |  |  |
|   |                        | 2018: CDBG                                 | Grant for 201               | 9-2020          |  |  |
| 1.4 Mount Vernon will identify and develop elev   | ation options for      | 2016: Ongoii                               | ng                          |                 |  |  |
| roadways, streets, and other infrastructure t     | hat is negatively      | 2017: Mt. Ve                               | rnon Ave. bri               | dge is an       |  |  |
| affected by flooding on a regular basis.          |                        | example                                    |                             |                 |  |  |
|   |                        | 2018: Yes; M                               | lt. V Avenue E              | Bridge          |  |  |
| 1.5 Mount Vernon will maintain, repair, and/or r  | eplace dams, dikes,    | 2016: Ongoii                               | าg                          |                 |  |  |
| and reservoirs that hold or contain waters th     | at otherwise may       | 2017: Developed new watershed plan;        |                             |                 |  |  |
| flood homes and other properties.                 |                        | new bridge o                               | on Mt. Vernor               | n Ave. 2018-19; |  |  |
|   |                        | has been ass                               | essed already               | ; hoping for    |  |  |
|   |                        | EPA funding;                               | partially don               | e for plan;     |  |  |
|   |                        | need a wate                                | rshed plan tha              | at is EPA       |  |  |
|   |                        | compliant                                  |                             |                 |  |  |
|   |                        | 2018: Yes; \$0                             | J.5M OEPA GI                | rant Request    |  |  |
| 2. The City of Mount Vernon Will Work With        | Safety Service         | 01.01.15                                   | 12.31.17                    | General         |  |  |
| utility providers to harden utility services and  | Director               |  |                             | Budget          |  |  |
| to prevent excessive outages during storms        |                        |  |                             | Private         |  |  |
| and inclement weather.                            |                        |  |                             | Funding         |  |  |
|   |                        |  |                             | Special         |  |  |
| 2.1 Maunt Vanage will hum against and utility lin |                        | 2016, Ongoin                               |                             | Funding         |  |  |
| 2.1 Mount vernon will bury power and utility in   | es in new              | 2018. Ongoii                               | ig<br>ng: nowor noi         | abbarbaads      |  |  |
| heighborhoods, nomes, and businesses.             |                        | doing under                                | round: newer                | griborrioous    |  |  |
|   |                        |  | d developme                 | nts are buried  |  |  |
|   |                        | 2019: 10 Von                               | r Plan and Ac               | sot Mat Plan    |  |  |
| 2.2 Mount Vernon will replace aging and deterio   | rating power water     | 2018: 10 Tea                               |                             | Set Migt. Flatt |  |  |
| sewer and their utility distribution systems      | Tating power, water,   | 2010: Oligon<br>2017: Impler               | <u>י6</u><br>nenting rate i | ncreases: raise |  |  |
| sewer, and then during distribution systems.      |                        | rates to cove                              | or ground wat               | erline breaks   |  |  |
|   |                        | on an as nee                               | ded basis: nu               | mber of line    |  |  |
|   |                        | breaks is una                              | accentable so               | they need to    |  |  |
|   |                        | repair and re                              | place to avoi               | d breaks        |  |  |
|   |                        | 2018: 10 Yea                               | r Plan and As               | set Mgt. Plan   |  |  |
| 2.3 Mount Vernon will develop protective action   | s to prevent or lessen | 2016: Ongoi                                | ng                          |                 |  |  |
| the likelihood of damage to water treatment       | and/or distribution    | 2017: No update                            |                             |                 |  |  |

| Mitigation Goal                                  | Lead Agency/Person       | Start Date                | End Date        | Funding          |  |  |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| systems during disasters and inclement weat      | her.                     | 2018: No upo              | 2018: No update |                  |  |  |
| 2.4 Mount Vernon will work with wireless service | e providers to establish | 2016: Ongoir              | ng              |                  |  |  |
| dependable and resilient wireless service wit    | hin the jurisdiction to  | 2017: Codes               | need to be re   | vised; new       |  |  |
| improve the capability to communicate durir      | ng inclement weather     | annual maint              | tenance for ci  | ty IT system     |  |  |
| and disasters.                                   |                          | with local pro            | ovider; tower   | s not able to    |  |  |
|  |                          | be installed i            | n the city so t | his is difficult |  |  |
|  |                          | to do                     |                 |                  |  |  |
|  |                          | 2018: No up               | date            |                  |  |  |
| 3. The City of Mount Vernon will consider        | Safety Service           | 01.01.15 12.31.17 General |                 |                  |  |  |
| development of a comprehensive plan for          | Director                 |                           |                 | Budget           |  |  |
| land use within the jurisdiction, taking into    |                          |                           |                 | Special          |  |  |
| account the consequences of development          |                          |                           |                 | Funding          |  |  |
| and the effect on existing properties and        |                          |                           |                 |                  |  |  |
| businesses, as well as the consequences on       |                          |                           |                 |                  |  |  |
| the communities downstream in Knox County        |                          |                           |                 |                  |  |  |
| and in counties beyond Knox County.              |                          |                           |                 |                  |  |  |
| 3.1 Mount Vernon will consider creation of build | ling standards for       | 2016: Ongoir              | ng              |                  |  |  |
| construction, including such practices as con-   | tractor-vendor           | 2017: Ongoir              | ng; no resider  | itial building   |  |  |
| approval, acceptable industry standards for o    | construction, and        | codes now; c              | ty is very op   | posed to this    |  |  |
| reasonable construction materials guidance.      |                          | and not unde              | er considerati  | on delete?       |  |  |
|  |                          | 2018: Yes                 |                 |                  |  |  |

# 7.0 APPENDIX B: HAZARD AND VULNERABILITY DATA

This appendix is a supplement to Section 2: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment. A complete list of historical incidents of each hazard is provided here. Additionally, detailed data on the anticipated damage to Knox County from a 100-year flood and earthquake, per HAZUS estimates, is provided.

## 7.1 HAZARD HISTORY DATA

The National Climactic Data Center has maintained records on weather incidents across the United States since 1950. The tables below provide a history of the incidents on record for Knox County from 1950 through present day.

### 7.1.1 Drought and Extreme Heat

These incidents include all occurrences categorized as drought or extreme heat.

| Hazard  | Location    | Date       | Injuries | Deaths | Property<br>Damage | Crop<br>Damage |
|---------|-------------|------------|----------|--------|--------------------|----------------|
| Drought | Knox County | 08/01/1996 | 0        | 0      | 0                  | 0              |
| Drought | Knox County | 06/01/1999 | 0        | 0      | 0                  | 0              |
| Drought | Knox County | 07/01/1999 | 0        | 0      | 0                  | 0              |
| Drought | Knox County | 08/01/1999 | 0        | 0      | 0                  | 0              |
| Drought | Knox County | 09/01/1999 | 0        | 0      | 0                  | 5M             |

## 7.1.2 Flood

The flood incidents identified in this table include events classified as flood and flash flood.

| Hazard      | Location         | Date       | Deaths | Injuries | Property<br>Damage | Crop<br>Damage |
|-------------|------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| Flash Flood | Southern Portion | 04/29/1996 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 05/11/1996 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 06/01/1997 | 0      | 0        | 40K                | 15K            |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 06/01/1997 | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 15K            |
| Flash Flood | Mount Vernon     | 07/26/1997 | 0      | 0        | 5K                 | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 06/27/1998 | 0      | 0        | 50K                | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 06/27/1998 | 0      | 0        | 3M                 | 1M             |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 06/27/1998 | 0      | 0        | 3M                 | 500K           |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 06/28/1998 | 0      | 0        | 150K               | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 04/08/2000 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Knox County      | 04/19/2002 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |

| Hazard      | Location          | Date       | Deaths | Injuries | Property<br>Damage | Crop<br>Damage |
|-------------|-------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| Flash Flood | Knox County       | 04/19/2002 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Knox County       | 05/21/2004 | 0      | 0        | 600K               | 0              |
| Flood       | Knox County       | 06/17/2004 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Flood       | Knox County       | 01/01/2005 | 0      | 0        | 750K               | 0              |
| Flash Flood | North Portion     | 06/10/2005 | 0      | 0        | 250K               | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Northeast Portion | 07/10/2006 | 0      | 0        | 3.5M               | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Mount Vernon      | 07/14/2006 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Knox County       | 07/22/2006 | 0      | 0        | 200K               | 0              |
| Flood       | Batemantown       | 08/21/2007 | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 0              |
| Flood       | Waterford         | 02/28/2011 | 0      | 0        | 400K               | 0              |
| Flood       | Danville          | 07/08/2013 | 0      | 0        | 200K               | 0              |
| Flood       | Fredericktown     | 07/09/2013 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Chesterville      | 06/03/2017 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Flood       | Mount Liberty     | 11/18/2017 | 0      | 0        | 50K                | 0              |
| Flood       | Mount Vernon      | 02/15/2018 | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 0              |
| Flood       | Waterford         | 04/03/2018 | 0      | 0        | 4K                 | 0              |
| Flash Flood | Mount Liberty     | 07/03/2019 | 0      | 0        | 2K                 | 0              |

## 7.1.3 Severe Thunderstorm

Thunderstorm incidents include events that produced any combination of hail, lightning and thunderstorm wind; all hazards were not necessarily present in all incidents.

| Hazard            | Location    | Date       | Deaths | Injuries | Property<br>Damage | Crop<br>Damage |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|--------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| Hail              | Knox County | 07/24/1961 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Knox County | 05/10/1963 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Knox County | 08/09/1966 | 0      | 1        | <1K                | 0              |
| Lightning         | Knox County | 08/09/1966 | 0      | 1        | <1K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County | 08/09/1966 | 0      | 1        | <1K                | 0              |
| Lightning         | Knox County | 06/10/1968 | 0      | 0        | <1K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County | 06/10/1968 | 0      | 0        | <1K                | 0              |
| Hail              | Knox County | 07/22/1968 | 0      | 0        | <1K                | 0              |
| Lightning         | Knox County | 05/13/1970 | 0      | 0        | 6K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County | 05/13/1970 | 0      | 0        | 6K                 | 0              |
| Hail              | Knox County | 04/14/1974 | 0      | 1        | 3K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County | 04/14/1974 | 0      | 1        | 3K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County | 09/08/1977 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County | 07/05/1980 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |

|                   |                  |            | Deaths | njuries | <sup>o</sup> roperty<br>Jamage | Crop<br>Damage |
|-------------------|------------------|------------|--------|---------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Hazard            | Location         | Date       |        | 0       |                                |                |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 07/21/1980 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 06/08/1981 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 07/18/1982 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 04/02/1983 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 05/02/1983 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Нап               | Knox County      | 07/21/1983 | 0      | 0       | 3K                             | 0              |
|                   | Knox County      | 0//0//1985 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 06/15/1986 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 05/14/1987 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 08/05/1988 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 06/08/1990 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 06/30/1990 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 08/28/1990 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Hail              | Knox County      | 07/07/1991 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 05/02/1992 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Hail              | Knox County      | 11/22/1992 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown    | 08/31/1993 | 0      | 0       | 50K                            | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown    | 09/02/1993 | 0      | 0       | 5K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Artanna          | 06/16/1994 | 0      | 0       | 50K                            | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 06/16/1994 | 0      | 0       | 5K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Patton Road      | 06/16/1994 | 0      | 0       | 5K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon     | 06/29/1994 | 0      | 0       | 5K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 08/28/1994 | 0      | 0       | 50K                            | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville         | 09/24/1994 | 0      | 0       | 5K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 04/11/1995 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Hail              | Bladensburg      | 04/18/1995 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon     | 06/07/1995 | 0      | 1       | 700K                           | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier          | 06/07/1995 | 0      | 0       | 3K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg       | 06/14/1995 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Hail              | Knox City        | 06/21/1995 | 0      | 0       | 3K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon     | 06/21/1995 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon     | 06/21/1995 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County      | 07/13/1995 | 0      | 0       | 20K                            | 10K            |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown    | 07/15/1995 | 0      | 0       | 2К                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown    | 07/16/1995 | 0      | 0       | 2К                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | West Half        | 08/15/1995 | 0      | 0       | 15K                            | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville         | 08/17/1995 | 0      | 0       | 2K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown    | 09/13/1995 | 0      | 0       | 2K                             | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Southern Portion | 04/29/1996 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |
| Hail              | Centerburg       | 06/03/1996 | 0      | 0       | 0                              | 0              |

| Hazard            | Location      | Date       | Deaths | Injuries | Property<br>Damage | Crop<br>Damage |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|--------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County   | 06/24/1996 | 0      | 0        | 2K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Howard        | 08/15/1996 | 0      | 0        | 2K                 | 0              |
| Hail              | Howard        | 08/15/1996 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown | 12/01/1996 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 05/14/1997 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Howard        | 07/26/1997 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 08/16/1997 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Gambier       | 05/03/1998 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 05/19/1998 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 06/27/1998 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 06/27/1998 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 06/27/1998 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Gambier       | 06/27/1998 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Howard        | 06/27/1998 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Lightning         | Mount Vernon  | 07/01/1999 | 0      | 0        | 150K               | 0              |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 06/12/2000 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 07/28/2000 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Hail              | Martinsburg   | 04/09/2001 | 0      | 0        | 10K                | 0              |
| Lightning         | Mount Vernon  | 07/01/2001 | 0      | 0        | 10K                | 0              |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 04/19/2002 | 0      | 0        | 20K                | 0              |
| Hail              | Ankenytown    | 04/19/2002 | 0      | 0        | 5K                 | 0              |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 04/19/2002 | 0      | 0        | 5K                 | 0              |
| Hail              | Bladensburg   | 05/31/2002 | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 0              |
| Hail              | Millwood      | 05/31/2002 | 0      | 0        | 5K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Millwood      | 04/04/2003 | 0      | 0        | 5K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Martinsburg   | 04/20/2003 | 0      | 0        | 50K                | 0              |
| Hail              | Martinsburg   | 04/20/2003 | 0      | 0        | 3K                 | 0              |
| Hail              | Martinsburg   | 04/20/2003 | 0      | 0        | 5K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 05/07/2003 | 0      | 0        | 10K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 07/04/2003 | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 07/07/2003 | 0      | 0        | 15K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 07/08/2003 | 0      | 0        | 50K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg    | 07/21/2003 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County   | 07/27/2003 | 0      | 0        | 15K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County   | 08/26/2003 | 0      | 0        | 300K               | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County   | 08/26/2003 | 0      | 0        | 10K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown | 08/27/2003 | 0      | 0        | 8K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 08/27/2003 | 0      | 0        | 7K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 09/27/2003 | 0      | 0        | 2К                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Millwood      | 11/12/2003 | 0      | 0        | 100K               | 0              |

|                   |                        |            | eaths | njuries | roperty<br>Jamage | rop<br>Jamage |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------|-------|---------|-------------------|---------------|
| Hazard            | Location               | Date       |       |         |                   | 0 0           |
| Hail              | Fredericktown          | 05/07/2004 | 0     | 0       | 2K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County            | 05/18/2004 | 0     | 0       | 100K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County            | 05/18/2004 | 0     | 0       | 25K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Millwood               | 05/21/2004 | 0     | 0       | 2K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County            | 05/21/2004 | 0     | 0       | 250K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier                | 05/30/2004 | 0     | 0       | 5K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier                | 06/09/2004 | 0     | 0       | 10K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Howard                 | 06/09/2004 | 0     | 0       | 0                 | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown          | 06/13/2004 | 0     | 0       | 10K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County            | 06/14/2004 | 0     | 0       | 15K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg             | 06/15/2004 | 0     | 0       | 10K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon           | 06/15/2004 | 0     | 0       | 4K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier                | 08/19/2004 | 0     | 0       | 40K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier                | 08/19/2004 | 0     | 0       | 30K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Centerburg             | 08/28/2004 | 0     | 0       | 50K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon           | 08/28/2004 | 0     | 0       | 25K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County            | 05/13/2005 | 0     | 0       | 12K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown          | 06/05/2005 | 0     | 0       | 3K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown          | 06/10/2005 | 0     | 0       | 10K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County            | 06/30/2005 | 0     | 0       | 10K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County            | 07/25/2005 | 0     | 0       | 15K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon           | 07/26/2005 | 0     | 0       | 15K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon           | 07/26/2005 | 0     | 0       | 10K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown          | 08/20/2005 | 0     | 0       | 2K                | 0             |
| Hail              | Mount Liberty          | 04/14/2006 | 0     | 0       | 0                 | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown          | 05/25/2006 | 0     | 0       | 6K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon           | 05/25/2006 | 0     | 0       | 2K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | <b>Central Portion</b> | 06/22/2006 | 0     | 0       | 175K              | 0             |
| Hail              | Martinsburg            | 07/02/2006 | 0     | 0       | 0                 | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon           | 07/10/2006 | 0     | 0       | 50K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Centerburg             | 07/18/2006 | 0     | 0       | 0                 | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Central Portion        | 08/03/2006 | 0     | 0       | 120K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg             | 04/11/2007 | 0     | 0       | 15K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Millwood               | 05/01/2007 | 0     | 0       | 0                 | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon           | 06/02/2007 | 0     | 0       | 4K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Martinsburg            | 06/13/2007 | 0     | 0       | 2K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg             | 06/17/2007 | 0     | 0       | 10K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Amity                  | 08/09/2007 | 0     | 0       | 50K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg             | 09/25/2007 | 0     | 0       | 5K                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon           | 09/25/2007 | 0     | 0       | 0                 | 0             |

|                   |               |            | eaths | ijuries | roperty<br>amage | rop<br>Jamage |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|-------|---------|------------------|---------------|
| Hazard            | Location      | Date       | Δ     |         | 4 0              | O D           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 01/09/2008 | 0     | 0       | 75K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 01/09/2008 | 0     | 0       | 8K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 05/31/2008 | 0     | 0       | 1K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown | 05/31/2008 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 06/22/2008 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Amity         | 06/23/2008 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Centerburg    | 06/23/2008 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 06/28/2008 | 0     | 0       | 2K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 07/08/2008 | 0     | 0       | 2K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 08/01/2008 | 0     | 0       | 1K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 08/07/2008 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 100K          |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 08/07/2008 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier       | 02/11/2009 | 0     | 0       | 3K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg    | 06/25/2009 | 0     | 0       | 3K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 08/20/2009 | 0     | 0       | 2K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Loudonville   | 04/25/2010 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Danville      | 04/25/2010 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 05/07/2010 | 0     | 0       | 5K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 05/07/2010 | 0     | 0       | 5K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Danville      | 06/02/2010 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Brandon       | 06/03/2010 | 0     | 0       | 20K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Ankenytown    | 06/04/2010 | 0     | 0       | 10K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier       | 06/05/2010 | 0     | 0       | 3K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Jelloway      | 06/27/2010 | 0     | 0       | 8K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg    | 08/04/2010 | 0     | 0       | 2K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 09/07/2010 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 09/07/2010 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 03/23/2011 | 0     | 0       | 2K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 03/23/2011 | 0     | 0       | 5K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 04/20/2011 | 0     | 0       | 10K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County   | 06/10/2011 | 0     | 0       | 20K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Bladensburg   | 07/07/2011 | 0     | 0       | 2K               | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown | 07/11/2011 | 0     | 0       | 10K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown | 07/11/2011 | 0     | 0       | 10K              | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 07/22/2011 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Howard        | 07/29/2011 | 0     | 0       | 1K               | 0             |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 03/15/2012 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Centerburg    | 03/30/2012 | 0     | 0       | 0                | 0             |
| Hail              | Centerburg    | 03/30/2012 | 0     | 0       | 25K              | 0             |
| Hail              | Martinsburg   | 03/30/2012 | 0     | 0       | 5K               | 0             |

|                   |               |            | eaths | juries | operty<br>amage | op<br>amage |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|-------|--------|-----------------|-------------|
| Hazard            | Location      | Date       | D     | Ľ      | Pr<br>Di        | D<br>D      |
| Hail              | Martinsburg   | 03/30/2012 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 05/28/2012 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Waterford     | 06/29/2012 | 0     | 0      | 1.4M            | 0           |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 07/04/2012 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 07/04/2012 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 07/26/2012 | 0     | 0      | 15K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 07/26/2012 | 0     | 0      | 10K             | 0           |
| Hail              | Monroe Mills  | 08/09/2012 | 0     | 0      | 18K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown | 04/10/2013 | 0     | 0      | 4K              | 0           |
| Hail              | Fredericktown | 05/10/2013 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier       | 05/10/2013 | 0     | 0      | 10K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Brandon       | 06/12/2013 | 0     | 0      | 1K              | 0           |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 06/12/2013 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 06/12/2013 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 06/12/2013 | 0     | 0      | 15K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 06/12/2013 | 0     | 0      | 50K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Martinsburg   | 06/13/2013 | 0     | 0      | 15K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Academia      | 06/25/2013 | 0     | 0      | 1K              | 0           |
| Hail              | Mount Vernon  | 07/10/2013 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 07/10/2013 | 0     | 0      | 75K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 07/10/2013 | 0     | 0      | 8K              | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville      | 07/23/2013 | 0     | 0      | 4K              | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Knox County   | 10/06/2013 | 0     | 0      | 3K              | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg    | 11/01/2013 | 0     | 0      | 5K              | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Martinsburg   | 11/17/2013 | 0     | 0      | 12K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 12/22/2013 | 0     | 0      | 5K              | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg    | 04/29/2014 | 0     | 0      | 2K              | 0           |
| Hail              | Howard        | 05/14/2014 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Hail              | Gambier       | 05/28/2014 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Howard        | 05/28/2014 | 0     | 0      | 5K              | 0           |
| Hail              | Danville      | 05/28/2014 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Martinsburg   | 05/11/2015 | 0     | 0      | 25K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 06/12/2015 | 0     | 0      | 2K              | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Monroe Mills  | 06/18/2015 | 0     | 0      | 4K              | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Lock          | 07/14/2015 | 0     | 0      | 50K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Academia      | 06/15/2016 | 0     | 0      | 35K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown | 09/10/2016 | 0     | 0      | 15K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Howard        | 06/13/2017 | 0     | 0      | 15K             | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon  | 07/07/2017 | 0     | 0      | 8K              | 0           |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Gambier       | 07/22/2017 | 0     | 0      | 125K            | 0           |

| Hazard            | Location           | Date       | Deaths | Injuries | Property<br>Damage | Crop<br>Damage |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| Thunderstorm Wind | Pipesville         | 07/22/2017 | 0      | 1        | 75K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville           | 07/22/2017 | 0      | 0        | 2K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown      | 08/19/2017 | 0      | 0        | 15K                | 0              |
| Hail              | Monroe Mills       | 08/19/2017 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Martinsburg        | 04/14/2019 | 0      | 0        | 5K                 | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Howard             | 04/14/2019 | 0      | 0        | 5K                 | 0              |
| Hail              | Palmyra            | 06/02/2019 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Fredericktown      | 07/02/2019 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Danville           | 07/02/2019 | 0      | 0        | 10K                | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Hunt               | 07/02/2019 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Martinsburg        | 07/02/2019 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Centerburg         | 08/18/2019 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | Mount Vernon       | 08/18/2019 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |
| Thunderstorm Wind | South Mount Vernon | 08/20/2019 | 0      | 0        | 0                  | 0              |

# 7.1.4 Tornado/Windstorm

Confirmed tornadoes and high wind events are listed below.

| Hazard    | Location     | Date       | Fujita<br>Scale | Deaths | Injuries | Property<br>Damage | Crop<br>Damage |
|-----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|--------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| Tornado   | Knox County  | 06/11/1957 | F2              | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 0              |
| Tornado   | Knox County  | 04/02/1970 | F2              | 0      | 1        | 250K               | 0              |
| Tornado   | Knox County  | 09/03/1970 | FO              | 0      | 0        | 2.5K               | 0              |
| Tornado   | Knox County  | 06/08/1981 | F2              | 0      | 2        | 250K               | 0              |
| Tornado   | Knox County  | 06/21/1981 | FO              | 0      | 0        | 2.5K               | 0              |
| Tornado   | Knox County  | 03/31/1982 | F2              | 0      | 4        | 250K               | 0              |
| Tornado   | Knox County  | 04/03/1982 | F2              | 0      | 9        | 250K               | 0              |
| Tornado   | Knox County  | 07/09/1990 | FO              | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 0              |
| Tornado   | Danville     | 06/27/1998 | FO              | 0      | 0        | 10K                | 0              |
| Tornado   | Mount Vernon | 09/20/2000 | F1              | 0      | 0        | 150K               | 35K            |
| Tornado   | Mount Vernon | 08/03/2006 | FO              | 0      | 1        | 325K               | 0              |
| High Wind | Knox County  | 12/01/2006 |                 | 0      | 0        | 20K                | 0              |
| High Wind | Knox County  | 12/23/2007 |                 | 0      | 0        | 15K                | 0              |
| High Wind | Knox County  | 01/30/2008 |                 | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 0              |
| High Wind | Knox County  | 09/14/2008 |                 | 0      | 0        | 4M                 | 750K           |
| High Wind | Knox County  | 02/11/2009 |                 | 0      | 0        | 400K               | 0              |
| High Wind | Knox County  | 12/09/2009 |                 | 0      | 0        | 150K               | 0              |
| High Wind | Knox County  | 04/28/2011 |                 | 0      | 0        | 40K                | 0              |
| High Wind | Knox County  | 02/24/2012 |                 | 0      | 0        | 25K                | 0              |

| Hazard    | Location    | Date       | Fujita<br>Scale | Deaths | Injuries | Property<br>Damage | Crop<br>Damage |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------------|--------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| High Wind | Knox County | 11/24/2018 |                 | 0      | 0        | 200K               | 0              |
| High Wind | Knox County | 02/24/2019 |                 | 0      | 0        | 50K                | 0              |

## 7.1.6 Winter Storm

Winter storm events include incidents classified as blizzard, extreme cold/wind chill, ice storm, or winter storm.

|                         |             |            | eaths | juries | operty<br>Image | op<br>Image |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|-------|--------|-----------------|-------------|
| Hazard                  | Location    | Date       | De    | [n]    | Pr<br>Da        | Da<br>C     |
| Extreme Cold/Wind Chill | Knox County | 02/02/1996 | 0     | 0      | 20K             | 0           |
| Extreme Cold/Wind Chill | Knox County | 01/10/1997 | 0     | 0      | 5K              | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 01/02/1999 | 0     | 2      | 15K             | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 01/08/1999 | 0     | 0      | 2K              | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 01/13/1999 | 0     | 0      | 2K              | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 12/13/2000 | 0     | 0      | 125K            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 03/24/2002 | 0     | 0      | 75K             | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 03/26/2002 | 0     | 0      | 300K            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 01/25/2004 | 0     | 0      | 350K            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 02/05/2004 | 0     | 0      | 125K            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 12/22/2004 | 0     | 0      | 5.4M            | 0           |
| Ice Storm               | Knox County | 01/05/2005 | 0     | 0      | 6.8M            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 02/13/2007 | 0     | 0      | 30K             | 0           |
| Ice Storm               | Knox County | 02/25/2007 | 0     | 0      | 20K             | 0           |
| Ice Storm               | Knox County | 03/15/2007 | 0     | 0      | 50K             | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 03/04/2008 | 0     | 0      | 400K            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 03/07/2008 | 0     | 0      | 750M            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 12/19/2008 | 0     | 0      | 20K             | 0           |
| Extreme Cold/Wind Chill | Knox County | 01/15/2009 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 01/27/2009 | 0     | 0      | 150K            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 02/05/2010 | 0     | 0      | 200K            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 02/15/2010 | 0     | 0      | 300K            | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 02/01/2011 | 0     | 0      | 250K            | 0           |
| Extreme Cold/Wind Chill | Knox County | 03/27/2012 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 12/26/2012 | 0     | 0      | 75K             | 0           |
| Extreme Cold/Wind Chill | Knox County | 01/06/2014 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Extreme Cold/Wind Chill | Knox County | 01/28/2014 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |
| Winter Storm            | Knox County | 01/19/2019 | 0     | 0      | 75K             | 0           |
| Extreme Cold/Wind Chill | Knox County | 01/30/2019 | 0     | 0      | 0               | 0           |

# 7.2 HAZUS LOSS ESTIMATES

HAZUS is a nationally accepted methodology that utilizes U.S. Census and local geographic information systems (GIS) data to estimate losses for earthquakes, hurricanes, and floods. Because floods and earthquakes are identified as risks in Knox County, HAZUS was used to generate and evaluate the county's vulnerability to these incidents. Estimates from HAZUS were generated using 2010 U.S. Census Bureau data. This data shows Knox County's population as 60,921 and building count as 25,000. Current 2019 figures will be slightly different than the data used in this report.

# 7.2.1 Flood

Knox County's vulnerability to flood was evaluated utilizing a HAZUS scenario for a 100-year flood event. Table 7-1 identifies buildings by occupancy type for all of Knox County and those exposed to risk in this scenario.

| Table 7 1. Building Exposure by Occupancy |                   |                  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Occupancy                                 | Knox Co           | ounty            | 100-Year Flood Scenario |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Exposure (\$1000) | Percent of Total | Exposure (\$1000)       | Percent of Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential                               | \$5,186,928       | 74.2%            | \$1,511,027             | 68.9%            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial                                | \$896,832         | 12.8%            | \$292,028               | 13.3%            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial                                | \$302,965         | 4.3%             | \$67,780                | 3.1%             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural                              | \$79,193          | 1.1%             | \$28,187                | 1.3%             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Religion                                  | \$153,055         | 2.2%             | \$42,105                | 1.9%             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government                                | \$27,240          | 0.5%             | \$8,498                 | 0.4%             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education                                 | \$337,938         | 4.8%             | \$243,471               | 11.1%            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total                                     | \$6,994,151       | 100%             | \$2,193,096             | 100%             |  |  |  |  |  |

# Table 7-1: Building Exposure by Occupancy

## Essential Facility Inventory

Essential facilities are healthcare facilities like hospitals and clinics, fire and EMS stations, police stations, and operations and dispatch centers. Schools are included in essential facilities. Knox County's essential facilities are identified in Table 7-2. Note that these inventory numbers are based on 2010 US Census data and may vary slightly from the county's current inventory.

| Facility Type   | Number      |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Hospital        | 1 (75 beds) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools         | 24          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Stations   | 6           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Stations | 5           |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 7-2: Essential Facility Inventory

### Estimated Building Damage

Per HAZUS estimates, 208 buildings will sustain at least moderate damage. This accounts for 83% of the total buildings identified for the scenario. Four buildings are estimated to be completely destroyed. Tables 7-3 and 7-4 identify the anticipated building damage based on occupancy type and building type.

|             |                 |        | 0      | 0 1    |          |       |  |  |  |
|-------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-------|--|--|--|
|             | Percent Damaged |        |        |        |          |       |  |  |  |
| Occupancy   | 1-10%           | 11-20% | 21-30% | 31-40% | 41- 50 % | > 50% |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 0               | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |  |  |
| Commercial  | 2               | 7      | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |  |  |
| Education   | 6               | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |  |  |
| Government  | 0               | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |  |  |
| Industrial  | 0               | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |  |  |
| Religious   | 0               | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |  |  |
| Residential | 124             | 154    | 38     | 7      | 1        | 1     |  |  |  |
| Total       | 132             | 161    | 38     | 7      | 1        | 1     |  |  |  |

### Table 7-4: Expected Building Damage by Building Type

|                      | Percent Damaged |        |        |        |          |       |  |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| Building Type        | 1-10%           | 11-20% | 21-30% | 31-40% | 41- 50 % | > 50% |  |
| Concrete             | 1               | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |
| Manufactured Housing | 0               | 0      | 1      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |
| Masonry              | 9               | 27     | 3      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |
| Steel                | 1               | 2      | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |  |
| Wood                 | 119             | 129    | 34     | 7      | 1        | 1     |  |
| Total                | 130             | 158    | 38     | 7      | 1        | 1     |  |

Based on this scenario, HAZUS predict that a limited number of critical facilities will sustain moderate or significant damage. Per estimates, one school would sustain moderate damage and loss of use. All other schools as well as hospital beds, emergency services, and institutional services normally present in the county would continue to be functional in a 100-year flood scenario.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |       |                    |                       |             |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Classification                  | Total | Moderate<br>Damage | Substantial<br>Damage | Loss of Use |  |  |  |
| Fire Stations                   | 6     | 0                  | 0                     | 0           |  |  |  |
| Hospitals                       | 1     | 0                  | 0                     | 0           |  |  |  |
| Police Stations                 | 5     | 0                  | 0                     | 0           |  |  |  |
| Schools                         | 24    | 1                  | 0                     | 1           |  |  |  |

#### **Table 7-5: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities**

#### Debris Generation

The amount of debris generated by a flood can be substantial. HAZUS classifies debris into different types based on the handling equipment required: finishes, structure, and foundation. In the given scenario, a total of 5,410 tons of debris is anticipated. Finishes would comprise 23% of that amount. When converting these totals to truckloads, debris removal would require 217 truckloads, assuming 25 tons per truck.
#### Shelter Requirements

When flooding forces people from their homes, some will seek refuge at a public shelter. In this incident, it is anticipated that 1,061 households (approximately 3,184 people) would be displaced. Of those households, approximately 42 people are anticipated to seek temporary shelter in a public shelter.

## **Building Related Losses**

The total economic loss for the identified 100-year flood event is estimated to be \$333.61M.

Building-related losses are addressed in two loss categories: direct building loss and business interruption loss. Building losses include structural damage and damage to contents. Business interruption losses include the costs associated with not being able to conduct normal business, displaced workers, and lost opportunities. Table 7-6 provides a summary of the anticipated losses.

| Area                 | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others | Total  |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|
| <b>Building Loss</b> |             |            |            |        |        |
| Building             | 33.02       | 6.95       | 1.91       | 5.12   | 46.99  |
| Content              | 18.64       | 22.81      | 3.84       | 38.33  | 83.62  |
| Inventory            | 0           | 0.34       | 0.64       | 0.10   | 1.07   |
| Business Interru     | otion       |            |            |        |        |
| Income               | 1.02        | 19.33      | 0.12       | 32.83  | 53.29  |
| Relocation           | 12.91       | 4.60       | 0.10       | 10.79  | 28.39  |
| Rental Income        | 6.55        | 3.39       | 0.02       | 1.16   | 11.11  |
| Wage                 | 2.39        | 22.74      | 0.19       | 83.81  | 109.13 |
| Total                | 74.54       | 80.16      | 6.80       | 172.11 | 333.61 |

# Table 7-6: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

# 7.2.2 Earthquake

The simulated earthquake epicenter was assumed to be in Mount Vernon, the county's most populated jurisdiction. The simulated earthquake had a magnitude of 5.0 on the Richter Scale and a dept of 5.0 km. The HAZUS loss estimation program utilized 2010 U.S. Census data for this scenario. There are an estimated 25,000 buildings in the county with a replacement value of \$6,994M.

# Critical Facility Inventory

HAZUS separates critical facilities into essential facilities and high potential loss (HPL) facilities. Essential facilities are healthcare facilities like hospitals and clinics, fire and EMS stations, police stations, and operations centers. Schools are included in essential facilities. HPL facilities include dams, levees, nuclear power plants, military installations and hazardous material sites. Note that these inventory numbers are based on 2010 US Census data and may vary slightly from the county's current inventory.

| rable 7 7. critical racinty inventory |             |                                |        |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| <b>Essential Facilities</b>           |             | High Potential Loss Facilities |        |  |  |  |
| Facility Type Number                  |             | Facility Type                  | Number |  |  |  |
| Hospital                              | 1 (75 beds) | Hazardous Materials Sites      | 4      |  |  |  |
| Schools                               | 24          |                                |        |  |  |  |
| Fire Stations                         | 6           |                                |        |  |  |  |
| Police Stations                       | 5           | -                              |        |  |  |  |

# **Table 7-7: Critical Facility Inventory**

#### Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory

Lifeline systems are defined as transportation and utilities. Transportation systems include highways, railways, and airports. Utility systems include water treatment and potable water plants, wastewater treatment plants, natural gas suppliers, fuel oil suppliers, electrical power plants, and communications hubs. The total value of these lifeline systems exceeds \$1,681M and includes 98.8 miles of highway, 302 bridges, and 9,819 miles of pipes.

#### System Components Quantity Replacement Value Highways Bridges 302 \$96.17M Segments 24 \$732.21M Facilities \$2.66M Railways 1 Segments 4 \$16.52M Facilities 2 \$21.30M Airport Runways 2 \$75.92M Total \$944.80M

#### **Table 7-8: Transportation System Inventory**

#### Table 7-9: Utility System Inventory

| System        | Components                | Quantity | <b>Replacement Value</b> |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| Potable Water | <b>Distribution</b> Lines | N/A      | \$158.03M                |
| Waste Water   | <b>Distribution Lines</b> | N/A      | \$94.81M                 |
|               | Facilities                | 6        | \$419.58M                |
| Natural Gas   | <b>Distribution Lines</b> | N/A      | \$63.21M                 |
|               | Facilities                | 1        | \$1.14M                  |
| Communication | Facilities                | 4        | \$0.42M                  |
| Total         |                           |          | \$737.20M                |

#### **Building Damage**

The estimated building damage according to HAZUS is extensive. The number of buildings projected to sustain moderate damage is 4,781, approximately 19% of all buildings in the county. It is estimated that 295 buildings would be destroyed. Table 7-10 summarizes the anticipated building damages.

| Occupancy                 | None   | Slight | Moderate | Extensive | Complete |  |  |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
| Agriculture               | 141    | 56     | 62       | 30        | 7        |  |  |
| Commercial                | 543    | 301    | 348      | 171       | 50       |  |  |
| Education                 | 40     | 17     | 18       | 7         | 2        |  |  |
| Government                | 18     | 8      | 10       | 4         | 2        |  |  |
| Industrial                | 217    | 105    | 126      | 66        | 18       |  |  |
| Other Residential         | 1,119  | 556    | 536      | 212       | 47       |  |  |
| Religion                  | 95     | 44     | 40       | 20        | 6        |  |  |
| Single Family Residential | 12,610 | 4,695  | 2,240    | 596       | 164      |  |  |
| Total                     | 14,783 | 5,782  | 3,380    | 1,106     | 296      |  |  |

Depending on the type of building construction, damage from an earthquake can be more or less serious. Based on common types of construction, the scenario is extrapolated into damage according to type of construction type.

|                      |        | 0      | 0 1      | 0 /1      |          |
|----------------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Building Type        | None   | Slight | Moderate | Extensive | Complete |
| Wood                 | 11,205 | 3,953  | 1,397    | 168       | 13       |
| Steel                | 270    | 124    | 217      | 141       | 40       |
| Concrete             | 98     | 42     | 53       | 26        | 6        |
| Precast              | 92     | 34     | 57       | 40        | 8        |
| Reinforced Masonry   | 38     | 11     | 19       | 13        | 2        |
| Unreinforced Masonry | 2,518  | 1,324  | 1,254    | 559       | 195      |
| Manufactured Housing | 562    | 294    | 383      | 159       | 32       |
| Total                | 14,783 | 5,782  | 3,380    | 1,106     | 296      |

Table 7-11: Expected Building Damage by Building Type

#### Essential Facility Damage

According to HAZUS estimates, only 13 of the county's hospital beds (17%) would be available and functional on the day of the earthquake. After one week, it is estimated that 30% of the beds would be available. By the 30-day mark, an estimated 61% would be fully functional. Anticipated damage to other essential facilities is detailed in Table 7-12.

| Classification  | Total | Moderate<br>Damage >50% | Complete Damage<br>> 50% | With Functionality<br>>50% on Day 1 |
|-----------------|-------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Hospitals       | 1     | 1                       | 0                        | 0                                   |
| Schools         | 24    | 11                      | 0                        | 8                                   |
| Police Stations | 5     | 2                       | 0                        | 3                                   |
| Fire Stations   | 6     | 1                       | 0                        | 3                                   |

#### Transportation and Utility Lifeline Damage

Per HAZUS estimates, most highways, bridges, railways, and airports will have more than 50% functionality on the first day after an earthquake and will continue to experience greater than 50% function throughout the recovery period. Limited damage to these transportation systems is expected.

Tables 7-13 and 7-14 describe the anticipated damage to utility system facilities and pipelines.

| Table 7-13: Expected Utility System Facility Damage |       |                    |                    |                             |                             |  |
|---|-------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| System  | Total | Moderate<br>Damage | Complete<br>Damage | Day 1 >50%<br>Functionality | Day 7 >50%<br>Functionality |  |
| Waste Water   | 6     | 3                  | 0                  | 3                           | 6                           |  |
| Natural Gas   | 1     | 1                  | 0                  | 0                           | 1                           |  |
| Communication                                       | 4     | 4                  | 0                  | 1                           | 4                           |  |

#### T-1-1- 7 40 F -. . . . . . . .

#### Table 7-14: Expected Utility System Pipeline Damage

|               |                       |                   | 0                              |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Utility       | <b>Total Pipeline</b> | Anticipated Leaks | <b>Anticipated Line Breaks</b> |
| Potable Water | 4,910                 | 591               | 148                            |
| Waste Water   | 2,946                 | 297               | 74                             |
| Natural Gas   | 1,964                 | 102               | 25                             |

Electrical service and potable water systems are more difficult to restore. Table 7-15 outlines the number of customers anticipated to be without potable water or electric service following the incident. There are 22,607 households in the county.

| Days Post-Event | Potable Water | <b>Electric Power</b> |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Day 1           | 324           | 9,616                 |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3           | 59            | 5,909                 |  |  |  |  |
| Day 7           | 0             | 2,205                 |  |  |  |  |
| Day 30          | 0             | 358                   |  |  |  |  |
| Day 90          | 0             | 12                    |  |  |  |  |

#### Table 7-15: Expected Without Service

#### Debris Generation

The amount of debris generated by an earthquake can be substantial. HAZUS classifies debris into two types based on the handling equipment required: brick/wood and reinforced concrete/steel. In the given scenario, a total of 201,000 tons of debris is anticipated. Brick/wood would comprise 49% of that amount. When converting these totals to truckloads, debris removal would require 8,040 truckloads, assuming 25 tons per truck.

#### Shelter Needs

Temporary public shelters are often necessary post-quake to provide housing for people displaced by the event. HAZUS estimates that 368 households would be displaced and 239 people would seek temporary housing in a public shelter.

#### Casualties

The number of people estimated to be injured or killed by the earthquake is divided into four categories based on the extent of the victim's injuries:

Severity Level 1 – Require medical attention but not hospitalization Severity Level 2 – Require hospitalization for non-life-threatening injuries Severity Level 3 – Require hospitalization for critical injuries Severity Level 4 – Fatalities

Casualty estimates are provided for 3 times of day that represent periods of the day that various sectors of the community operate at peak capacity loads. These figures are provided in Table 7-16.

| Time | Location                  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
|------|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2 AM | Commercial                | 1.55    | 0.35    | 0.05    | 0.09    |
|      | Commuting                 | 0       | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0       |
|      | Educational               | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
|      | Hotels                    | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
|      | Industrial                | 3.44    | 0.76    | 0.09    | 0.18    |
|      | Other Residential         | 45.21   | 10.34   | 1.37    | 2.68    |
|      | Single Family Residential | 95.06   | 20.77   | 2.75    | 5.38    |
|      | TOTAL                     | 145     | 32      | 4       | 8       |
| 2 PM | Commercial                | 93.85   | 21.57   | 2.80    | 5.43    |
|      | Commuting                 | 0.04    | 0.05    | 0.09    | 0.02    |
|      | Educational               | 60.82   | 14.92   | 2.16    | 4.17    |
|      | Hotels                    | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
|      | Industrial                | 25.34   | 5.63    | 0.69    | 1.32    |
|      | Other Residential         | 10.29   | 2.42    | 0.34    | 0.63    |
|      | Single Family             | 20.95   | 4.75    | 0.66    | 1.23    |
|      | TOTAL                     | 211     | 49      | 7       | 13      |
| 5 PM | Commercial                | 69.18   | 15.97   | 2.10    | 4.01    |
|      | Commuting                 | 0.67    | 0.92    | 1.52    | 0.30    |
|      | Educational               | 13.83   | 3.44    | 0.50    | 0.97    |
|      | Hotels                    | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
|      | Industrial                | 15.83   | 3.52    | 0.43    | 0.83    |
|      | Other Residential         | 17.60   | 4.11    | 0.56    | 1.06    |
|      | Single Family Residential | 38.12   | 8.60    | 1.19    | 2.23    |
|      | TOTAL                     | 155     | 37      | 6       | 9       |

Table 7-16: Casualty Estimates

# Economic Loss

Total economic loss for this earthquake scenario is estimated to be \$803.91M. This includes building and lifeline related losses and is based on the building inventory in the county. Building losses are examined in two categories: direct building loss and business interruption loss. Direct building losses include structural damage and damage to contents. Business interruption losses

include the costs associated with not being able to conduct normal business, displaced workers, and lost opportunities.

Total estimated building losses are anticipated to be \$716.81M. Business interruption expenses account for 18% of this total. Residential structures are expected to sustain the greatest loss by far, more than 53% of the total loss for the county.

Table 7-17 provides a summary of the anticipated building-related losses. All figures are expressed in millions of dollars.

| Area                 | Single-Family | <b>Other Residential</b> | Commercial | Industrial | Other | Total  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Income Losses        |               |                          |            |            |       |        |  |  |  |  |
| Wage                 | 0             | 2.14                     | 21.43      | 1.50       | 2.14  | 27.21  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Related      | 0             | 0.91                     | 18.44      | 0.91       | 0.71  | 20.97  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental               | 6.68          | 6.88                     | 9.65       | 0.46       | 1.05  | 24.72  |  |  |  |  |
| Relocation           | 23.30         | 3.85                     | 16.42      | 2.07       | 9.83  | 55.48  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Stock Losses |               |                          |            |            |       |        |  |  |  |  |
| Structural           | 37.59         | 14.01                    | 25.20      | 7.67       | 11.57 | 96.03  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Structural       | 147.56        | 60.91                    | 71.99      | 23.90      | 29.62 | 333.98 |  |  |  |  |
| Content              | 60.02         | 18.24                    | 40.03      | 16.77      | 18.64 | 153.70 |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory            | 0             | 0                        | 0.83       | 3.51       | 0.37  | 4.71   |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL                | 275.15        | 106.95                   | 204.00     | 56.80      | 73.92 | 716.81 |  |  |  |  |

## Table 7-17: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

# Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses

Earthquakes often cause extensive damage to a community's infrastructure. Tables 7-18 and 7-19 depict the potential damage Knox County could expect to its transportation and utility systems. Loss figures address only the cost to repair, not business interruption costs.

| System   | Component  | Inventory Value | Economic Loss |  |  |  |
|----------|------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Highway  | Segments   | \$732.21M       | 0             |  |  |  |
|          | Bridges    | \$96.18M        | \$1.51M       |  |  |  |
| Railways | Segments   | \$16.52M        | 0             |  |  |  |
|          | Facilities | \$2.66M         | \$1.19M       |  |  |  |
| Airport  | Facilities | \$21.30M        | \$8.55M       |  |  |  |
|          | Runways    | \$75.93M        | \$8.56M       |  |  |  |
| Total    |            | \$944.81M       | \$11.27M      |  |  |  |

#### Table 7-18: Transportation System Economic Losses

| System        | Component                 | Inventory Value | Economic Loss |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Potable Water | Distribution Lines        | \$158.03M       | \$2.66M       |
| Waste Water   | Facilities                | \$419.58M       | \$70.93M      |
|               | <b>Distribution Lines</b> | \$94.82M        | \$1.34M       |
| Natural Gas   | <b>Distribution Lines</b> | \$63.21M        | \$0.46M       |
|               | Facilities                | \$1.14M         | \$0.32M       |
| Communication | Facilities                | \$0.42M         | \$0.13M       |
| Total         |                           | \$737.21M       | \$75.83M      |